NASA CR-134860 REPORT MDC J4518

1



L.

# DC-9 FLIGHT DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM

# WITH REFANNED JT8D ENGINES

FINAL REPORT

VOLUME IV

FLYOVER NOISE

by

Douglas Aircraft Company McDonnell Douglas Corporation Long Beach, California 9084€

(NASA-CE-134860) DC-S FLIGHT DEMONSTRATION	N76-13363
TECGRAM WITH REFANNED JIRD ENGINE". VOLUME	
4: FIYOVEF NOISE Final Report [Couglas	
Aircraft Cc., Inc.) 400 p HC \$10.75	Unclas
CSCI 010 G3/05	24741

Prepared for National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA Lewis Research Center Contract NAS 3-17841 Robert W. Schroeder, Project Manager



 $\frac{O_1}{O_1}$ 

1, Report No.	2. Government Accessio	n No.	3, Recipient's Catalog	No.		
A Title and Subtide			E Baara Dava			
DC-9 FLIGHT DEMONSTRATION PROG	RAM WITH REFANNED .	TTAD ENGINES -	5. Report Date July 1975			
FINAL REPORT, VOLUME IV, FLYOV		6. Performing Organization Code				
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.				
		MDC J4518				
0. Reference Ortagization Name and Address			10. Work Unit No.			
Be it an Adverse for Company						
McDonnell Douglas Corporation			11. Contract or Grant	No.		
Long Beach, California		Ļ	NAS 3-17841	<u></u>		
12 Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			13, Type of Report and	d Period Covered		
Netley-1 According and Perto	. Administration	-	Contractor Re	port		
Washington, D.C. 20546	Administration		14. Sponsoring Agency	Code		
15. Supplementary Notes		<i></i>	<u>_</u>	<u></u>		
Project Manager, Robert W. Sch NASA Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio	roeđer					
16, Abstract						
tests were conducted to detern nacelles of DC-9-30 alrplanes, the JT8D-9 engine with a large materials in the engines and r The noise levels determined in 95.3 EPNdB at the sideline, 96 thrust cutback, and 97.4 EPNdB hardwall JT8D-9 were 8.2 EPNdJ The 90 EPNdB noise-contour are design takeoff and landing wei reductions ware 19 percent for The 95 EPNdB contour areas wer with cutback for both missions 1 to 5 percent in the 90 EPNdB procedure.	The modification The modification ar-diameter, single facelles. A accordance with F 5.2 EPNdB for a ful 3 for landing appro 3 for takeoff with eas were reduced by ights. At the weig full-thrust takeo re reduced by 50 pe s. The two-segmen 3 contour areas, de	etions achievable 1 s consisted of rep -stage fan and inco ederal Aviation Rep 1 thrust takeoff, 6 ach. The noise rec cutback and 8.7 EP 40 percent for min hts required for a ff and 34 percent rcent for takeoff a t landing approach pending upon the g	y modifying the lacing the two-st orporating sound- gulations, Part 3 87.5 EPNdB for ta juctions relative NdB for landing a seions requiring typical mission, for takeoff with and 30 percent fo provided reducti ross weights and	engines and age fan of absorbing 6 were keoff with to the pproach. maximum the cutback. r takeoff ons of takeoff		
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Atmospheric Turbulence, Community DC-9-30, DC-9-Refan, Flight Effect Ground Effects, JT&D-109 Engine, I Attenuation, Noise Levels, Noise I Source Separation, Static Ground I Duct Linings, Acoustically Treater	Noise Contours, ts, Flyover-Noise, Lateral Noise Prediction, Noise Fest, Acoustic d Nacelles.	18. Distribution Statement Unclassified -	Unlimited			
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of Unclassifi	this page) ed	21. No. of Pages 400	22. Price'		

ł

 $^{*}$  For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151

NASA-C-168 (Rev. 6-71)

Ì

į

İ

{ {

#

ņ

ټ

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

j.

ø

5

đ

OF OF

SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	3
AIRPLANE AND ENGINE/NACELLE DESCRIPTION	5
TEST DESCRIPTION	9
Flyover Noise Tests	9
Test Site	21
Measurement Systems	23
Data Processing System	31
DATA ANALYSIS AND CONFIDENCE	35
Data Analysis	35
Data Confidence	37
NOISE LEVELS AT PART 36 LOCATIONS	39
Sideline	41
Takeoff	49
Takeoff with Cutback	53
Approach	59
Accuracy	65
Baseline Noise Levels	67
NOISE LEVEL VARIATION WITH DISTANCE	69
LATERAL NOISE ATTENUATION	75
NOISE CONTOURS	79
GROUND EFFECTS	87
ATMOSPHERIC TURBULENCE EFFECTS	93
NOISE SOURCE LEVELS AND ENGINE/NACELLE ACOUSTIC CHARACTERISTICS	101
Noise Source Separation Procedures	103

<u>page</u>

# <u>Page</u>

Jet and core noise - static data
Jet and core noise - flight data
Turbomachinery noise - static data123
Turbomachinery noise - flight data
Prediction Procedures137
Core noise
Jet noise
Turbomachinery noise142
Engine/Nacelle Acoustic Characteristics
Noise Source Levels
Flight Effects on Jet and Core Noise
Comparison of Static-Predicted and Flyover Noise Levels
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS 185
CONCLUSIONS
APPENDIX A - Data Acquisition Validity 191
APPENDIX B - Test Site Meteorological Data
APPENDIX C - Summaries of Acoustic and Aircraft Operational Data 259
APPENDIX D - Summaries of Data Analyses
APPENDIX E - C4 and C6 Microphone Location Evaluation
REFERENCES
SYMBOLS

( (

#### SUMMARY

The purpose of the DC-9 Refan Program was to establish the technical and economic feasibility of reducing the noise of existing JT8D powered DC-9 aircraft. The Refan Program was divided into two phases.

Phase I provided engine and nacelle/aircraft integration definition documents for installation of the JT8D-109 Refan engine on the DC-9 series aircraft, prepared preliminary design of nacelle and airplane modifications, conducted model tests for design information, and provided analyses for economic and retrofit considerations. Phase II included detailed analyses, hardware design and fabrication, and flight testing to substantiate the design and obtain flyover-noise data.

The JT8D-109 Refan derivative of the basic JT8D-9 engine with the minimum treatment acoustic nacelle was selected from Phase I for the design, analyses, construction and flight testing during Phase II. The work described in this report documents the effort carried out under this phase of Contract NAS 3-17841.

The noise levels determined as a result of the DC-9 Refan test program conducted in compliance with Federal Aviation Regulations, Part 36 were 95.3 EPNdB for sideline, 96.2 EPNdB for takeoff, 87.5 EPNdB for takeoff with cutback, and 97.4 EPNdB for landing approach.

The noise reductions achieved by the DC-9 Refan airplane may be indicated by comparison with a baseline airplane equipped with JT8D-9 hardwall nacelles. A limited flyover-noise test of a C-9A military version of this configuration indicated that the FAR Part 36 noise levels were 95.7 EPNdB for takeoff with cutback and 106.1 EPNdB for landing approach.

The DC-9 Refan flight test program provided extensive flyover noise data in a range of power settings and distances from the aircraft to the microphones. Because of the completeness of the data the limits of the 90 percent confidence for all derived noise levels were within + 0.8 EPNdB.

The use of the Refan engine on the DC-9 would reduce the 90 EPNdB community noise exposure contour areas by 40 percent for the maximum gross weight airplane and between 19 and 34 percent (takeoff with and without cutback, respectively) for a typical mission airplane. The 95 EPNdB contour area was reduced by 50 percent for takeoff without cutback for both the maximum gross weight and typical mission airplanes. For takeoff with cutback, the 95 EPNdB contour area is reduced by 30 percent for both the maximum gross weight and typical mission airplanes.

0 C

The use of microphones at a height of 10 meters (33 feet) to acquire free-field noise data and the effect of air turbulence on noise propagation were studied.

The test data also provided information for the study of engine noise source levels and engine/nacelle acoustic characteristics. A description

is provided of the noise source separation and prediction procedures used to identify, isolate, and predict jet, core, fan inlet, fan exhaust and turbine noise levels, spectra and directivity from ground static and flyover noise data. Evaluation of inlet and tailpipe treatment effectiveness, flight effects on jet and core noise, and engine installation effects on turbomachinery noise are also included.

# INTRODUCTION

O

0

The continuing growth of the air transportation industry, with resulting increased numbers of operations from established or emerging airports and increased population density near airports, has resulted in an effort to control human exposure to aircraft noise. The government and industrial organizations have pursued a number of programs directed at producing quieter airplanes and aircraft engines. During the late 1960's, research related to the noise generated within the engine itself and research related to absorptive materials were sufficiently refined to be applied to the development of the quieter high-bypass-ratio turbofan power plants for the new generation of wide-body commercial transports.

However, much of the existing and expanding fleet of standard-bodied transports are powered by the JT3D of JT8D low-bypass-ratio engines. Two approaches to reduce the noise of these low-bypass-ratio engines appear to be feasible. One approach is to apply the technology of sound absorbing materials (SAM) to nacelle treatment, with possibly a jet noise suppressor. A number of government and industry studies have considered that approach, and commercial transports being delivered in the mid-1970's include the SAM treatment. A second approach is to replace the fans of the present low-bypassratio engines (JT3D and JT8D engines) with larger fans with minimal changes in the components and general operating characteristics of the core engine. The result would be a reduction in jet exhaust noise - of particular interest for the JT8D engine - and possibly both improved engine fuel consumption and a substantial increase in thrust.

In August 1972, the NASA Lewis Research Center authorized the Douglas Aircraft Company, the Boeing Company, and Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Company to develop and investigate the economic and technical feasibility of reducing noise by developing engine and airframe/nacelle modifications. The program covered the JT3D engine and the DC-8 and B-707 it powers and the JT8D engine and the DC-9, B-727, and B-737 it powers. At the end of approximately four and one-half months, all effort on the JT3D was terminated. All subsequent studies were performed on a derivative engine of the Pratt and Whitney JT8D-9 engine designated the JT8D-109.

On the basis of the results of the Phase I effort the Douglas Aircraft Company was authorized on 30 June 1973 to proceed with a Phase II study that would include the nacelle/aircraft design and construction, kit costs, ground compatibility tests, analysis of ground static noise data, and flight worthiness, flight engine/aircraft performance and flyover noise tests.

This volume presents FAR Part 36 noise levels, EPNL- and dB(A)-distance maps, noise contours, spectral studies on extra ground attenuation, turbulence, ground reflection, noise source levels, static-to-flight predictions, and engine/nacelle acoustical characteristics of the DC-9/JT8D-109 Refan aircraft.

The Douglas effort on the Phase II of the NASA Refan program is documented as a "Summary" in reference 1, the "Design and Construction" in reference 2,

and the "Performance and Analysis" in reference 3, which contains the engine/ aircraft performance, flight test results, supplemental test results, structural analysis, and the economic and retrofit analysis.

In this report, both U.S. Customary Units and International System of Units (SI) are used, however, all calculations and measurements are with U.S. Customary Units.

0

# AIRCRAFT AND ENGINE/NACELLE DESCRIPTION

The Refan flight test program was performed using a DC-9-31 aircraft powered by Pratt and Whitney Aircraft JT8D-109 engines with acoustically treated nacelles. The aircraft had a structurally modified fuselage and a new shorter span pylon to accommodate the new larger engine/nacelle and thrust reverser. Figure 1 compares the JT8D-109 Refan engine/nacelle with the existing JT8D-9 baseline engine/nacelle.

The Refan engine/nacelle installation (which replaces the existing twostage fan with a larger diameter single-stage fan) includes an extended inlet with 49 inches of treatment on the cowl wall, a long acoustically treated fan duct with a treated duct-length-to-height ratio (L/H) of 7.2, and an extended tailpipe with 51 inches of treatment (L/H = 2.3) on the tailpipe walls (figure 2). Photographs of the inlet and tailpipe acoustical treatments are shown in figures 3 and 4, respectively.



JT8D-9

92

FIGURE 1. JT8D ENGINE/NACELLE COMPARISON

\$

1-



INDICATES ACOUSTICAL TREATMENT

and the second 
LOCATION		TREATMENT LENGTH (L)		PASSAGE HEIGHT (H)		DUCT LENGTH/ HEIGHT BATIO	HONEYCOMB DEPTH		PERCENT OPENING FACE		
AREA	SYMBOL	in.	(m)	in.	(m)	L/H	in.	(cm)	SHEET	ACOUSTIC TREATMENT (Hz)	
INLET	$\odot$	48,6	(123,4)	24,0*	(60,9)*	2,00	0.56	(1,42)	6	3150	
FAN CASE FWD OF ROTOR	2	7.0	(17,7)	33,2	(84,3)	0.2	1.0	(2,54)	20	1250	
FAN CASE	3	6.0	(15.2)	8.7	(22.1)	1.5	0,5	(1,27)	12		
	4A	11.25	(28.6)	6.1	(15.5)	0.4	0.25	(0.64)	12	6300	
FAN DUCT	48	56.0	(14,2)	8,9	(22.6)	2.5	0.5	(1.27)	12	3150 .	
	40	15.6	(39.5)	7 <u>.</u> 9	(20,1)	1.0	0.5	(1,27)	12	3150	
TAILPIPE	5	51,0	(129.5)	22,5	(57,2)	2.27	0,35	(0,89)	12	6300	
FAN DUCT	6A	8.4	(21,3)	6,1	(15,5)	1.6	0.25	(0.64)	12		
SURFACE	68	11.0	(27,9)	8,4	(21,3)	1.3	0.5	(1.27)	12		

NOTE: (1) ALL ACOUSTIC TREATMENT WAS HONEYCOMB CORE ON PERFORATED SHEET

(2) TOP SHEET HOLE DIAMETER IS 0,114-0,152 cm (0,045-0,060 in.)

(3) TOP SHEET THICKNESS IS 0.0405 cm (0.016 in.)

(4) CORE HONEYCOMB CELL SIZE IS 0,95 cm (0.375 in.)

+(RADIUS)

-1

de la

### FIGURE 2. ACOUSTICAL TREATMENT DETAILS FOR THE DC-9 REFAN



#### TEST DESCRIPTION

### Flyover Noise Tests

The flyover-noise tests consisted of actual and simulated takeoff and approach flights and correction flyover flights as listed in table ], with flight profiles as shown in figures 5 through 14. A total of 112 runs (aircraft flyovers) were attempted; 48 to simulate takeoff including takeoff with cutback and 47 to simulate approach including two segment approaches. Data from 17 of the runs were not analyzed because of various equipment or operational problems. However, sufficient data were obtained to satisfy all test objectives. The microphone locations required to acquire the necessary data are shown in figure 15.

The test aircraft was a DC-9 Series 31 (Fuselage 741) equipped with JT8D-109 Refan engines. The configuration of the aircraft systems for the noise test were: pneumatic and hydraulic systems normal, auxiliary power unit off, air conditioning packs off during takeoff and bleeds off during approach, and landing gear up for takeoffs and down for approaches.

Oi OF

4

しているというというでは、からいないたいないないである。

	DATE	TARGET THRUST			HEIGH MICROPH	T OVER IONE C6	FLIGHT PROFILE
RUN	UN TIME LB (N)		E LB (N) TYPE OF FLYOVES		ft	(m)	.5 THROUGH 14)
1				NO TRACKING			
2				NO TRACKING			
3				NO TRACKING			
4	1·29·75 0744	13,500	{60,048}	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2250	(686)	D1
5	0755	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2200	(671)	E1
6	0804	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,255)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	2150	(655)	F1
7	0814	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,256)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	2150	(655)	F2
8	0823	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2350	(716)	E2
9	0932	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2316	(706)	D1
10	0948	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2428	(740)	E1
11	0956	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,256)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	2322	(708)	F1
12	1003	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,256)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	2248	(685)	F2
13	1011	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2382	(726)	E2
14				MILITARY JETS			
15	1034	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2550	(777)	E3A
16	1043	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,256)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	2288	(697)	F3
17	1050	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,256)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	2163	(659)	F4
18	1100	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,256)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	2206	(672)	F5
19	1118	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,256)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	2175	(663)	F6
20	1125	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,256)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	2247	(685)	G4
21	1134	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,256)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	239 <del>9</del>	(731)	G1
22	1142	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,256)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	2213	(675)	G2
23	1149	13,500/9,500	(60,048/42,256)	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	2189	(667)	G3
24	1-31-75	6,900	(30,691)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50-DEG APPROACH	825	(251)	D1
25	0940	5,800	(25,798)	δ <sub>e</sub> = 50-DEG APPROACH	808	(246)	D2
26			1	NO TRACKING			
27	1014	5,500	(24,464)	$\delta_{\rm E} = 50$ -DEG APPROACH	800	(244)	E 1a
28	1033	5,100	(22,685)	δ = 50-DEG APPROACH	803	(245)	E2
29	1042	5,300	(23,574)	δ = 50-DEG APPROACH	792	(241)	E3
30	1052	5,600	(24,909)	δ <sub>E</sub> = 50-DEG APPROACH	841	(256)	E4
31	1102	5,200	(23,130)	8 = 50-DEG APPROACH	845	(258)	£5
32	1110	5,600	(24,905)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50-DžG APPROACH	857	(261)	E6
33	1120	4,700	(20,906)	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	846	(258)	D3
34	1129	4,500	(20,016)	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	832	(254)	D4
35	1137	4,300	(19,126)	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	856	(261)	D5
36	1143	3,400	(15,123)	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	949	(289)	D6
37	1151	3,200	(14,234)	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	801	(244)	D7
38	1157	2,800	(12,454)	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	826	(252)	D8
39	2-1-75 0932	6,500	(28,912)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50-DEG APPROACH	850	(259)	D1
40	0940	6,900	(30,691)	δ = = 50-DEG APPROACH	826	(252)	D2
41	0948	6,100	(27,133)	6 = 50 DEG APPROACH	813	(248)	D3
42	0956	3,200	(14,234)	ه = 50-DEG APPROACH	825	(251)	E1
43	1004	4,600	(20,461)	δ = 35-DEG APPROACH	825	(251)	E2

TABLE 1 DC-9 REFAN FLYOVER-NOISE MEASUREMENTS

	DATE	TARGET	THRUST		HEIGHT OVER MICROPHONE C6			
RUN	TIME	LB	(N)	TYPE OF FLYOVER	ft	(m)	5 THROUGH 14)	
44	1013	3,800	(16,902)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35-DEG APPROACH	842	(257)	E3	
45				TRAFFIC				
46	1031	3,800	(16,902)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35-DEG APPROACH	837	(255)	E4a	
47	1040	3,800	(16,902)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35-DEG APPROACH	844	(257)	E5	
48	1049	3,800	(16,902)	δ <sub>F</sub> ≖ 35-DEG APPROACH	827	(252)	E6	
49	1100	4,000	(17,792	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35-DEG APPROACH	830	(253)	E7	
50	1110	4,100	(18,237	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35-DEG APPROACH	833	(254)	E8	
51	1119	5,400	(24,019)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50-DEG APPROACH	817	(249)	D4	
52	1129	3,100	(13,789)	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	796	(243)	05	
53	2-2-75 0939	13,700	(60,938)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2062	(629)	с	
54	0946	13,700	(60,938)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2117	(645)	D0	
55	0953	13,700	(60,938)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2208	(673)	D1	
56	1001	12,700	(56,490)	TAKEOFF	2066	(630)	D2	
57	1008	12,700	(56,490)	TAKEOFF	2169	(661)	D3	
58				ABORT				
59	1021	11,700	(52,042)	TAKEOFF	2230	(680)	D4	
60	1030	11,700	(52,042)	TAKEOFF	2155	(657)	D5	
61	1037	10,700	(47,594)	TAKEOFF	2134	(650)	D6	
62	1047	10,700	(47,594)	TAKEOFF	2214	(675)	70	
63	ĺ	ł	4	NO TRACKING		(	(	
64		<b>↓</b>	<b>∮</b>	NO TRACKING	<b> -</b>	<b>├</b>	<u> </u>	
65	2-3-75 1105	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2312	(705)	C1	
66	1115	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	5592	(1704)	E1	
67	1123	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	5594	(1705)	E2	
68				MILITARY JETS		1		
69	1140	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	6112	(1863)	E 1a	
70	1149	9,500	(42,256)	TAKEOFF	4860	(1481)	E3	
71				N.G.		10000		
72	1209	8,000	(35,584)		4014	(1224)	1 15	
73	1218	9,500	(42,256)		3840	(1186)	E4a	
74	1226	8,000	(35,584)		3940	(1201)	10 51	
75	1241	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	4293	(1309)	E10	
76	0.0.75	<b> </b>	······	N.G.	<u>∔</u>	<b> </b>	<u>}</u>	
77	1302	8,000	(35,584)	TAKEOFF	2435	(742)	H1a	
78	1319	8,000	(35,584)	TAKEOFF	2200	(671)	H2	
79	1327	7,000	(31,136)	TAKEOFF	2200	(671)	НЗ	
80	1	1	l	MILITARY JETS	l I		l	
81				MILITARY JETS				
82	1348	7,000	(31,136)	TAKEOFF	2300	(701)	H4b	
83	1358	7,000	(31,136)	TAKEOFF	2500	(762)	H4c	
84	1504	13,500	(60,048)	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	2350	(716)	C1	
85	1513	13,500	(60,048)		808	(246)	DI	
86	1521	13,500	(60,048)		745	12711	D2	
87	1528	9,500	(42,256)		625	(191)	03	

and when a second

# TABLE 1 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN FLYOVER-NOISE MEASUREMENTS

I

1

.

1

1.11

Sec. No.

11

1.111

大学の中国の明治などの

and the second

	DATE TARGET THRUST		THRUST		HEIGH MICROPH	T OVER IONE C6	FLIGHT PROFILE
RUN	TIME	LB	(N)	TYPE OF FLYOVER	ft	(m)	5 THROUGH 14)
88				MILITARY JETS			
89				MILITARY JETS			
90	1546	9,500	(42,256)	LEVEL	505	(154)	D4b
91	1553	9,500	(42,256)	LEVEL	570	(174)	D3a
92	2-5-75 0857	6,000	(26,688)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50-DEG APPROACH	2275	(693)	D1
93				N.G.			
94	0914	6,000	(26,688)	δ <sub>F</sub> ≖ 50-DEG APPROACH	2420	(738)	D1b
95	0923	6,000	(26,688)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50-DEG APPROACH	2427	(740)	D1c
96	0932	6,000	(26,688)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50-DEG APPROACH	2531	(771)	D2
97	0940	5,400	(24,019)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50-DEG APPROACH	2555	(779)	D3
98	0947	5,400	(24,019)	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50-DEG APPROACH	2516	(777)	D4
99	1008	3,900	(17,347)	γ = 5,5-DEG APPROACH	1700	(518)	E1
100	1015	3,900	(17,347)	$\gamma = 5.5$ -DEG APPROACH	1801	(549)	E1a
101	1023	3,500	(15,568)	$\gamma$ = 5,5-DEG APPROACH	1910	(582)	E2
102	1030	3,100	(13,789)	$\gamma$ = 5,5-DEG APPROACH	1902	(580)	E3
103	1038	2,900	(12,899)	$\gamma$ = 5.5-DEG APPROACH	1921	(586)	E4
104	1046	3,100	(13,789)	γ = 5.5-DEG APPROACH	1918	(585)	E5
105	1053	3,1 <b>0</b> 0	(13,789)	γ = 5.5-DEG APPROACH	1918	(585)	E6
106	1102	3,200	(14,234)	$\gamma$ = 5.5-DEG APPROACH	1800	(549)	F1
107	1115	2,000	(8,896)	$\gamma = 5.5$ -DEG APPROACH	1897	(578)	F3
108	1157	3,200	(14,234)	$\gamma = 5.5$ -DEG APPROACH	1951	(595)	F2
109	1205	2,000	(8,896)	γ ≂ 5.5-DEG APPROACH	1879	(573)	F4
110	1213	1,500	(6,672)	$\gamma = 5.5$ -DEG APPROACH	1940	(591)	F5
111	1220	1,500	(6,672)	γ = 5.5-DEG APPROACH	1850	(564)	F6
112	1227	2,000	(8,896)	$\gamma = 5.5$ -DEG APPROACH	1899	(579)	F4a

# TABLE 1 (CONCLUDED) DC-9 REFAN FLYOVER-NOISE MEASUREMENTS

#### NOTE:

#### TAKEOFFS

- RUNS 4, 9, 53, 65, AND 84 FULL POWER TAKEOFFS FROM RUNWAY
- ALL REMAINING TAKEOFF RUNS STARTED FROM LEVEL FLIGHT, SIMULATED AFTER ARRIVAL AT A
  SELECTED POINT OVER RUNWAY
- FULL POWER TAKEOFFS RATED TAKEOFF ENGINE PRESSURE RATIO MAINTAINED
- REDUCED THRUST TAKEOFF -- POWER ADJUSTED FOR SPECIFIED ENGINE PRESSURE RATIO AND
   PRESCRIBED AIRSPEED

#### LANDING APPROACHES

- POWER MAINTAINED UNTIL END OF RUN ARRIVAL AT SELECTED POINT OVER RUNWAY, CONTINUED LEVEL UNTIL CLEAR OF AREA
- FOR CONSTANT FLAP SETTING RUNS FLIGHT SPEED MAINTAINED CONSTANT, ENGINE PRESSURE RATIO AS REQUIRED

the second second to be a second s

FOR REDUCED POWER RUNS OR HIGHER ANGLE GLIDESLOPE RUNS - FLIGHT SPEED AND ENGINE
 PRESSURE RATIO MAINTAINED, FLAP JETTING ADJUSTED AS REQUIRED

δ<sub>F</sub> INDICATES FLAP SETTING

**Y INDICATES GLIDESLOPE** 

sites .....







FIGURE 6. DC-9 REFAN FAR PART 36 FLYOVER PROFILES - REDUCED POWER TAKEOFFS

13

「「「「「「「」」」」」







14

ŧ

 $0^{\circ}_{2}$ 

OF-



j,











16

0) 0)



FIGURE 13. DC-9 REFAN COMMUNITY NOISE SURVEY FLIGHT PROFILES - TAKEOFFS WITH CUTBACK

All and



FIGURE 14. DC-9 REFAN COMMUNITY NOISE SURVEY FLIGHT PROFILES -- TAKEOFFS WITH VARIED CUTBACK DISTANCES FROM MONITOR

01 01 ļ



FIGURE 15. MICROPHONE LOCATIONS FOR DC-9 REFAN FAR PART 36 FLYOVER-NOISE TEST

# Test Site

The Douglas Aircraft Company maintains flight test facilities at Yuma International Airport, Yuma, Arizona. The Yuma test site has ground handling equipment, airspace, weather conditions, and a 4054 m (13,300 ft) runway that satisfy the requirements of the test program. It also has a Douglas maintained CAT II ILS, a Laser Tracking System, a surveyed flyover-noise test range, and a microwave transmission system.

The general topography of the test site is shown in figure 15. The measurement locations were situated in an agricultural area southwest of the Yuma airport, with an elevation of approximately 36.5 to 65.5 m (120 to 215 ft) above sea level. The natural surfaces are sandy soil having various degrees of compaction, with loose compaction predominating. The surfaces adjacent to all test microphones were spaded in a random pattern, to assure consistent surface conditions for all microphones and also to eliminate the possibility of excessive surface absorption at any of the measurement locations. There are no obstructions, for example, trees, buildings, hills or cliffs at any measurement point. The test site meets the requirements of reference 4.

Although microphone location C6 was 70 feet below the runway elevation and near a declivity, an analysis of the flyover noise data at microphone locations C4 and C6 (Appendix E) shows that the C6 data were not significantly affected.

# PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

()] ()]

#### Measurement Systems

The Douglas Aircraft Company has designed and developed a variety of special equipment and instrumentation subsystems to meet the requirements of the various company conducted acoustical tests. The subsystems used during aircraft flyover-noise testing are grouped into four categories: those for acoustical, meteorological, space-positioning, and airplane operation parameters. The subsystems are shown in figure 16 and described below.

The components that make up the acoustical subsystem for the acquisition of flyover-noise is shown in figure 17. The control of the system is from the mobile sound-recording van shown in figure 16.

The microphones were tripod-mounted with the microphone cartridge 1.22 m (4 feet) above the ground and oriented in such a way that the sound impingement on the microphone diaphragm was at approximately grazing incidence throughout the noise recording. Several microphones were flush-mounted with the cartridge of the microphone mounted horizontally in the center of a plywood board 1.2 m (4 ft) by 2.4 m (8 ft) by 19 mm (0.75 in.) thick with the microphone diaphragm normal to the ground plane. An additional microphone was mounted on each of two movable towers 10 m (33 foot) high. All microphones (except the flush-mounted) used windscreens for all tests. High-frequency preemphasis was utilized to extend the dynamic range of the measurement system.

For each noise recording, the gain settings on the signal-conditioning amplifiers were set to obtain optimum signal-to-noise ratios for optimum dynamic recording range on the magnetic tape. The flyover-noise data were recorded on a l4-channel intermediate-band FM recorder operating at 76 mm (30 in) per second. In addition, the time of day (IRIG-B code) synchronized to the standard-time broadcast by radio station WWV (National Bureau of Standards) was recorded on a separate tape channel, along with each flyover-noise recording. A dynamic system calibration with a reference sound pressure level was recorded in the field with a piston phone. Also, the frequency response of the recording systems (excluding microphone cartridge) was calibrated with a recording of a broadband "pink" noise generated by a precision pseudo random noise generator for a period of 2.2 seconds. Immediately before or after each flyover-noise measurement, a recording was made of the ambient noise levels, with the same system gain setting as that used for the flyover recording.

The definition of flyover-noise levels for specific aircraft operation parameters requires the monitoring and recording of (1) airplane flight conditions, (2) propulsion system operation, and (3) airplane systems configuration.

The flight test aircraft was equipped with the Douglas Airborne Digital Data System (ADDS) and a cockpit camera focused on the pilot instrument panel.

The ADDS is designed to monitor the aircraft and engine operating parameters by means of an airborne integrating data system, a telemetry microwave link, and a ground data center. The ADDS system provided real-time monitoring aboard the aircraft and a magnetic tape recording for subsequent processing.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED



FIGURE 16. AIRPLANE FLYOVER - NOISE MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS

res inthe



0

1

je Lud Surface temperature and relative humidity required for determining the attenuation of flyover-noise due to atmospheric absorption and for correcting the measured SPL's to standard or reference-day weather conditions were recorded.

The meteorological equipment used to measure surface weather conditions includes a 10 meter Mobile Atmospheric Recording Tower (MART) system (figure 18) with a Weather Measure temperature and relative-humidity measuring system and Beckman-Whitney wind measurement system. Each produced a strip chart record on time-calibrated paper.

Soundings of upper-air weather data were taken before, during, and after the flyover-noise tests, to define the vertical gradients of temperature, humidity, air turbulence, and wind. Temperature and relative humidity were obtained from continuous analog recordings obtained from an instrumented light airplane (figure 19). The aircraft sensors are part of a Meteorology Research Inc. (MRI) airborne instrument package. The ambient air temperature was measured by an MRI Vortex Temperature Sensor. The Humidity Sensor shown in figure 19 was supplemented by a Dewpoint Sensor, to obtain a greater degree of accuracy for these tests. The wind speed and direction were obtained from theodolite tracking of weather balloons. The minimum accuracies of the measurements are  $\pm 0.3^{\circ}$ C ( $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ F) for air temperature and the difference between ary- and wet-bulb temperature,  $\pm 1.5$  m/s ( $\pm 3$  knots) for wind speed, and  $\pm 10^{\circ}$  for wind direction.

Also installed in the airplane was a MRI Universal Indicated Turbulence System, which consisted of four completents: a pitot-static tube(figure 19), a sensitive fast response differential pressure transducer, a solid-state signal converter, and an appropriate panel indicator. Through the use of a miniature computer, the turbulence signal was converted to an output that was then displayed on an analog recorder. The levels of turbulence R, are scaled from 0.0 for calm air to 10.0 for severe turbulence in a small aircraft. The guoted accuracy of the system is + 1.0 R.

Space-positioning data were measured during the flyover-noise testing to determine sound-path distances. The sound path distances were synchronized in time with the noise data. The Mobile Automatic Laser Tracking system (MALT) uses an auto-track monopulse optical-radar, with a multipower laser as the ranging-beam energy source. MALT, is self contained in a small truck (figure 20), uses a portable power source, and can acquire, track, and record the position of a retroreflector-equipped airplane (figure 16). Tracking range is up to 18 288 m (60,000 ft) with elevation coverage of -0.09 to +0.79rad ( $-5^{\circ}$  to  $+45^{\circ}$ ), and azimuth coverage of  $\pm 2.09$  rad ( $\pm 120^{\circ}$ ). Line of sight permitting, microphone locations were also determined from the MALT van, thereby eliminating the need of a transit survey. All space positioning data (and time codes) were recorded on magnetic tape in a digital format for subsequent computer processing.

Certain of the landing approach flyovers were made with flight test paths other than that of the Yuma airport ILS. To help the pilot maintain the required glideslope, a pulsed light visual landing aid (PLVLA) consisting of a portable light system was used (figure 21).

01 |01|



FIGURE 18. 10-METER MOBILE ATMOSPHERIC RECORDING TOWER (MART)

.

no.



# FIGURE 19. VIEW OF METEOROLOGICAL AIRCRAFT



FIGURE 20. MOBILE AUTOMATIC LASER TRACKER SYSTEM (MALT)



#### Data Processing Systems

Noise signal recordings were reduced to time-series spectra by the Douglas-developed Controlled Integrating Spectrum Analyzer (CISA) shown in figure 22. Figure 23 is a block diagram of the system, illustrating the data flow and monitoring points. The system consists primarily of a General Radio (GR) 1921 Real-Time Audio Spectrum Analyzer controlled by a small digital computer. An incremental magnetic tape is generated for further data processing within a large-scale digital computer. The GR-1921 is a hybrid spectrum analyzer with 24 analog 1/3-octave-band filters and a digital detector section employing true integration techniques. This analysis system meets the requirements specified in paragraph A36.2(d) of FAR Part 36. Table 2 lists some of the basis characteristics of the major components comprising CISA.

Each flyover-noise recording was digitized by using a 0.5 second integration period mode within the GR-1921, to encompass ambient noise and the 10-PNdB down points both before and after the point of maximum Tone Corrected Perceived Noise Level (PNLTM). The digitizing time-spans were determined from A-weightedlevel histories of the flyover-noise recordings.

The SPL reference calibration signals, the broadband "pink" random noise, the frequency-response calibration signals, and the ambient noise were digitized for subsequent computer processing. An approximate 10 second period of ambient noise was analyzed for each flyover-noise recording.

The computer program accounts for all gain adjustments applied to the data generated by CISA, normalizes the 1/3-octave-band levels by using reference-level calibration signals of any frequency in the range of interest, adjusts for system frequency response by using recorded broadband-random "pink" noise signals, and accounts for the presence of background noise on an energy basis.

To obtain the maximum degree of repeatability, the "pink" noise frequency response calibration was processed by ensemble averaging of thirty data sample points with 2.3 second integration-time.

The computer program corrects any effects that the ambient noise may have on the flyover-noise SPL's and to ensure that erroneous spectral irregularity corrections are not computed when the flyover-noise levels fall below the ambient noise levels. All flyover-noise levels between 5 dB and 10 dB of the ambient noise were corrected for the presence of the ambient noise on an energy basis. All flyover-noise band levels within 5 dB of the ambient-noise level were deleted

To meet the requirements of FAR Part 36, Paragraph A36.2(d)(4), the computer program performs "moving averages" of three 0.5 second scans (obtained from the CISA 0.5 second integration-time samples) to produce sound pressure values (corresponding to "Slow" on a Sound Level Meter) every 0.5 second. For those engine performance parameters that vary during a flyover, average values were determined over a short time interval (minimum distance divided by 200) centered at time of maximum tone corrected perceived noise level. Other performance parameters that were obtained from the data tabulations.



.

- alto - alton

.

.

\*



1: 'S 1917 M

THE REAL PROPERTY OF

FIGURE 23. CONTROLLED INTEGRATING SPECTRUM ANALYZER (CISA)

## TABLE 2

### CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CONTROLLED INTEGRATING SPECTRUM ANALYZER (CISA)

۱.	GENERAL RADIO 1921 REAL-TIM	E AUDIO SPECTRUM AN	ALYZER	111	KENNEDY MODEL 1600/360 INCREMENTAL TAPE RECORDER		
	FILTERS	ONE-THIRD OCTAVE	E BAND (ANALOG)		TAPE DENSITY	800 BPI	
	CHANNELS	30 PARALLEL			WRITING SPEED	500 CHAR/SEC	
	ERECLIENCY BANGE (GME)	12.5 Hz TO 10 KHz			TAPE	1/2 INCH COMPUTER TAPE	
	DYNAMIC BANGE	60 dB (DISPLAYED)			TAPE FORMAT	IBM SYSTEM/360 COMPATIBLE 9 TRACK NR	
	TYPE OF DETECTOR	DIGITAL (TRUE INT	EGRATION		CONTINUOUS READ CAPABILITY		
	BASIC ACCURACY	105 dB (+1.0 dB OVER ENTIRE AMPLITUDE RANGE)			SYSTRON DONNER 8130 TIME CODE TRANSLATOR		
	RESOLUTION			CODE	MODIFIED IRIG B		
	CREST FACTOR CAPACITY	10 dB AT FULL SCALE			CODE OUTPUT	BCD OF HOUR, MINUTE,	
	OFTECTOR CHARACTERISTICS					NHD 3000HD	
	INTEGRATION PERIODS	NOMINAL (SEC)	ACTUAL (SEC)	V	BELL & HOWELL VA-3700A CEC/DATA	TAPE	
		1/8 1/4 1/2 1 2 4 8 16 32	0 111 0 231 0.500		TRACKS	14	
					SPEED	3 3/4 IPS TO 120 IP5	
					TAPE	1-INCH WIDTH	
			2 300		MODE	FM	
			4 600 9 199 18 398 36 794		BANDWIDTH (13 dB)	DC 10,000 Hz AT 30 IPS IN FM MODE	
					PROGRAMMED SIGNAL SELECTOR AN	ND CONDITIONER	
	DIGITAL OUTPUTS	BCD AND BINARY				16.7 d8 STEPS	
	NOMINAL SENSITIVITY	0.1 VOLTS RMS, FUI	LL SCALE	VIE	SYSTEM OUTPUT AND TIMING	10,00,0.0.	
<b>1</b> 4.	DIGITAL EQUIPMENT CORP. PRO	GRAMMED DATA PROC	ESSOR (PDP 8/L)		MAGNETIC TAPE OUTPUT FORMAT	BINARY AND ASCH	
	MEMORY SIZE	4096 12:81T WORDS 1 6 MICRO-SECONDS ASR-33 TELE TYPE HIGH SPEED PAPER TAPE READER/PUNCH PAL III			CONTENTS	B-ND NO LEVEL (dB)	
	CYCLE TIME					PLUSIDENTIFICATION	
	I/O FACILITIES				24 CHANNEL GR1921/PDP 8 DATA TRANSFER	1 3 MSEC (TOTAL TIME PER INTEGRATION PEHIOD THAT NOISE DATA IS NOT	
	PROGRAM LANGUAGE					BEING ANALYZED	

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POUR QUALITY

٩.

A computer program was used to edit and combine the measured 1/3-octave band levels from the CISA system, the space-positioning data generated by MALT, the airplane-performance data as recorded by the ADDS, and the meteorological data from MART. The resulting magnetic tape was then input to another Douglas-developed computer program for subsequent data analyses.

0 0
#### DATA ANALYSIS AND CONFIDENCE

#### Data Analysis

The magnetic tape generated by the digital computing system is the source of input data for an IBM 360 computer program used to process the flyovernoise data, to calculate test and reference EPNL's and peak A-weighted sound levels.

A flow diagram of that Douglas-developed computer program is shown in figure 24. The computer print out can provide a variety of selectable data presentation formats. One of the basic data presentations available is the measured SPL history that provides 1/3-octave band spectra at 0.5 second intervals. Other data also presented at these same intervals are: overall SPL (OASPL), A-weighted sound level dB(A), perceived noise level (PNL), tone corrected perceived noise level (PNLT), acoustic range, and optical range.

A number of corrections must be applied to the measured data to account for differences between test conditions and required reference conditions. The parameters which must be adjusted to reference conditions are temperature, relative humidity, flight path, referred net thrust, and airplane path speed. Temperature and relative humidity adjustments affect noise attenuation during propagation along the sound path as calculated according to the procedures of ARP 866. Flight path adjustments affect sound attenuation due to noise path distance changes, but in addition the duration correction is also affected. The airplane path speed adjustment also requires a change in the duration correction factor. The application of all the preceding corrections excepting the thrust and path speed corrections is as specified in FAR Part 36, paragraph A36.6.

The thrust correction is actually derived from the weight correction and approach angle correction as discussed in the above referenced paragraph, but a brief explanation of its implementation should help clarify its application. Measured data obtained during regular and correction runs are corrected to reference weather, distance, and airspeed to provide EPNL values at a range of thrusts at each of the FAR Part 36 measurement locations. A plot of EPNL vs referred net thrust (FN/ $\delta$ ) is made for sideline, takeoff, cutback, and approach as required. A thrust correction factor is then found from these plots for each of these measurement locations at reference conditions. This thrust correction factor can be input to the computer program to be used in adjusting measured thrust to reference thrust.

OF OF

The path speed correction, although not originally included in FAR Part 36, was specified later by the Federal Aviation Administration. This correction is calculated by the following formula  $\triangle$  EPNL = 10 log<sub>10</sub> (test airspeed/reference airspeed).

Studies using flush microphones have shown that ground reflections sometimes produce pseudotones at low frequencies. As a result of these studies, computer calculated tone corrections having tone correction frequencies 630 Hz and below have been removed.



.....

FIGURE 24. FLOW DIAGRAM OF IBM 360 NOISE COMPUTER PROGRAM

٠

.

36

۰.

1

.

ĨĨĸĊĸĊŴĨĸŎŎĊĸĸĊĸĊĸĊĸŎĊĸŎſŎĸĬŎĬĸĸĸĸĹĸĊĔĊĬĸĸĸŎŎŎŎŎĿŎĿŎĿŎĸĸĸĿŎĸĊĬĊĿĊĹĹĹĿĿŎŎĿĸĿĿĹĔĿĿŎĸŎĿŎĸŎĿŎĸ

 $\mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{Q}$ 

#### Data Confidence

The statistical accuracy based on 166 points is tabulated in table 3 in terms of the 90 percent confidence limits. The noise data used were measured at the centerline microphones, corrected to reference-weather conditions, adjusted to the reference airspeed, and normalized to a particular altitude. No adjustment was made in the measured thrust.

The data values were grouped into sets according to the aircraft flight condition (i.e., takeoff, takeoff with cutback, and approach) and adjusted to a common altitude by the technique shown in figure 25. The sample data point was adjusted from its measured CPA of 2040 feet to a common slant range of 2270 feet along a path parallel to a segment of the 13,000 pound thrust curve from figure 32. Applying a  $\Delta$ EPNL of -1.7 EPNdB to the measured 97.9 EPNdB (at CPA) results in an EPNL of 96.2. Each data point was similarly adjusted to 2270 feet, and the percent confidence limits of the eight data points were determined by using the small-sample t-distribution method (page 244 of reference 5) as follows:

The 90 percent confidence limits,  $\mu$ , for a small-sample is given by

$$\mu = \bar{X} \pm t_{(.05)} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where  $t_{(.05)}$  is the distribution factor that depends on the number of samples,

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{(x_1 - \bar{x})^2 + (x_2 - \bar{x})^2 + (x_n - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1}},$$

and  $\bar{X}$  is the average of n samples consisting of  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ .

Confidence limits were calculated for several slant ranges (normalized altitude) and power settings. The results, shown in table 3, indicate the 90 percent confidence limits are less than  $\pm$  0.8 EPNdB for all aircraft flight conditions and altitudes.

FLIGHT	ALTITUDE TO WHICH DATA WERE NORMALIZED, FEET (m)	AVERAGE THRUST F <sub>N</sub> /δ, LB (N)	NO. OF DATA POINTS	90-PERCENT CONFIDENCE LIMITS (EPNdB)
TAKEOFF	500 (152.1)	13,635 (60,648)	4	±0.72
	1000 (304.8)	13,606 (60,519)	4	±0.60
	2200 (670.6)	13,690 (60,893)	3	±0.76
	2270 (691.4)	13,748 (61,151)	8	±0.59
CUTBACK	2270 (691.4)	9,070 (40,343)	10	±0.41
APPROACH	370 (112.8)	5,742 (25,540)	23	±0.51
50 <sup>0</sup> FLAPS	400 (121.3)	5,762 (25,629)	12	±0.76
	550 (167.6)	5,579 (24,815)	12	±0.66
	800 (243.8)	5,746 (25,558)	11	±0.78
	1220 (371.9)	3,113 (13,847)	9	±0,56
	1810 (551.7)	3,313 (14,736)	7	±0.64
APPROACH	370 (112.8)	3,711 (16,506)	24	±0.23
35 <sup>0</sup> FLAPS	400 (121.3)	3,803 (16,916)	12	±0.44
	550 (167.6)	3,732 (16,600)	14	±0.32
	800 (243.8)	3,776 (16,796)	13	±0,63

TABLE 3 DC-9 REFAN FLYOVER-NOISE CONFIDENCE LIMITS





Oi O

# NOISE LEVELS AT FAR PART 36 LOCATIONS

The effective perceived noise levels (EPNL) for the FAR Part 36 conditions for sideline, takeoff with and without cutback, and approach flights were determined. Selected test data were analyzed according to procedures defined in FAR Part 36, Appendix A, Section A36.6 (reference 4). The statistical confidence limits associated with the noise levels presented are included.

The FAR Part 36 noise levels were calculated using aerodynamic reference conditions without pitch limit for the Refan aircraft and with a 0.272 rad (15.6 deg) pitch limit for both the C9A and the October 1974 baseline hardwall nacelle airplanes. The pitch limit was used for the hardwall airplanes in order to be consistent with existing certification noise data.

#### Sideline

Four microphones located to the left of the extended runway centerline (locations S0, S19, S16, and S18, figure 15) and one located to the right of centerline (location S20, the symmetrical microphone to S16, figure 15) were used to record sideline noise during six takeoff runs.

By FAR Part 36 procedures, sideline noise levels must be measured during regular takeoff runs. For these tests, the data were acquired during takeoff runs which include a thrust cutback. To show that the microphone location selected for sideline measurements represents the point of maximum sideline noise during takeoff, EPNL values measured at the five sideline locations were plotted as a function of aircraft distance from brake release (DFBR). Figure 26 shows the curve faired through the average values of EPNL. The data were adjusted to the reference aircraft conditions of thrust altitude and airspeed along the flight path. Since application of reference thrust to the noise levels measured at S18 was impractical due to thrust cutback during this period, noise levels were normalized to the average thrust of the six runs. The data plotted for S18 reflect this normalization. Figure 26 shows that the maximum noise along the 463 meter (0.25 N Mi) sideline occurs at a DFBR of approximately 3900 meters (12,750 ft) at microphone locations S16 and S20.

The effect of aircraft altitude on sideline EPNL is presented in figure 27. It shows that the maximum sideline noise level may occur at aircraft altitudes of 214 meters (700 ft) to 305 meters (1,000 ft.).

Test day EPNL values shown in figure 28 were used to establish a correction curve from which thrusts of individual runs were adjusted to that of the reference thrust. The reference sideline noise level was obtained from the average of the six runs listed in table 4. The maximum sideline noise reference EPNL values are listed in table 5 for an aircraft takeoff gross weight of 48,988 kg (103,000 lb) using zero degree flap and 6% overspeed. These values, obtained from the averaged EPNL levels of microphone S16 and S20 were taken from test runs 11, 12, and 16 through 19. Removal of tones due to ground refelections was performed on EPNL values where tones appeared at frequencies of 630 Hertz or less. The average EPNL values for the FAR Part 36 reference sideline noise level is 95.3 EPNdB.

The EPNL values shown in figure 28 may show a slight difference from the average FAR Part 36 reference sideline noise levels because the correction run flap settings, climb gradients, and altitude are different than the FAR Part 36 reference conditions. The correction runs are only performed to determine the relative variation in EPNL versus thrust, not absolute levels. The airplane was flown at a reference airspeed and power setting with various flap angles and climb gradients used to maintain that airspeed. Therefore, slightly different noise levels are to be expected.

Appendix C contains supporting summary computer listings of the aircraft performance (table C-1.1) and flyover-noise data (table C-2). It includes a flyover-noise analysis computer program print out for Run 16, microphone location S16, which is typical of the sideline noise data (table C-7.1).

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED



FIGURE 26. VARIATION OF SIDELINE NOISE LEVEL WITH DISTANCE FROM BRAKE RELEASE

( (

94 11 ....



Les and a second

FIGURE 27. VARIATION OF SIDELINE NOISE LEVEL WITH AIRCRAFT HEIGHT



FIGURE 28. VARIATION OF DC-9 REFAN FAR PART 36 SIDELINE NOISE LEVEL WITH THRUST

G C

Or Of ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE 4 SUMMARY OF FAR PART 36 SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

MODEL DC-9-31 FUSELAGE NO.

741 REGISTRATION NO. N54638

TEST DATE 1-29-75

9 2

÷.,

DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE \*REFERENCE CONDITIONS PATH SPEED= 176.8 KN, EN/D=13721.0 LBS

ITEM / CASE	1	2	3	4	5	6
ELIGHT NUMBER RUN NUMBER MICROPHONE LOCATION MICROPHONE NUMBER	16 11 16 9	16 11 20 10	16 12 20 10	16 12 16 9	16 16 16 9	16 16 20 10
AMBIENT TEMPERATURE (DEG F) PELATIVE HUMIDITY (PEPCENT) GPOSS WEIGHT (1000 LBS) ELAP ANGLE (DEG) CALCULATED EPP AIRCRAFT PATH SPEED (KNOTS) AIRPLANE HEIGHT (FEET) MEAS. MIN. DISTANCE (FEET) MEAS. MOISE PATH DIST. (FEET) REF. NOISE PATH DIST. (FEET) NOISE DIRECTION (DEG) X COURD. MICROPHONE (FEET) Y COURD. MICROPHONE (FEET) AVE. MEAS REFERED EN (LBS) AVE. MEAS REFERED EN (LBS)	51.2 36.0 106 UP 2.1 1.731 176.1 940. 1784. 17898. 1898. 1896. 70.0 538. -1461. 12934. 13521.	51.2 36.0 106 UP2.1 1.731 176.1 951. 1730. 1789. 1819. 1819. 1896. 69.2 555. 1464. -9. 12934. 13521.	52.1 34.0 105 UP2.1 1.724 176.5 976. 1692. 1802. 2033. 2111. 555. 1464. -9. 12775. 13404.	52.1 34.0 105 UP 2.1 1.726 176.3 966. 1821. 1802. 1905. 1885. 72.9 538. -1461. 4. 12836. 13420.	52.5 35.1 100 UP2.1 1.729 175.0 958. 1779. 1798. 1856. 1874. 73.4 538. -1461. 4. 12895. 13485.	52.5 35.1 100 0P2.1 1.7732 175.0 968. 1724. 1798. 1919. 1970. 1970. 5555. 1464. -9. 12889. 13503.
PNLTM TIME REF. TO D.H.(SEC)PNLTM MEASUREDPNLM MEASUREDPNLM MEASUREDPNLM MEASUREDPNLM MEASUREDPNLM MEASUREDPNLM MEASUREDPNLTM ADJUSTEDMAXIMUM NOV EPEDUENCYMAXIMUM NOV EPEDUENCYMAXIMUM NOV EPEDUENCYMAXIMUM NOV EPEDUENCYMAXIMUM NOV EPEDUENCYMAXIMUM NOV EPEDUENCYMAXIMUM NOV EPEDUENCYMAREMARECORRECTIONDURATIONCORRECTIONPACTOR	4.1 98.9 4.1 96.6 99.4 315 2.3 500 -2.3	4.2 99.4 97.7 97.4 500 1.60 -2.5	5.9 98.5 97.4 98.4 250 1₃0 500 -1.2	3.9 98.7 3.9 96.6 99.4 500 2.1 500 -2.8	3.7 98.5 3.1 98.9 98.9 500 2.4 500 -2.2	4.8 99.0 4.8 97.3 99.1 250 1.7 500 -1.9
EPNL MEASURED (EPNDB) DELTA 1 (ARP866) (EPNDR) DELTA 2 (EPNDR) DELTA S (EPNDR) DELTA FN/D (EPNDR) EPNL PEE, EN/D (EPNDR)	96.6 0.5 0.) -0.0 0.2 97.3	96.9 0.0 7.2 -0.0 0.2 97.3	97.3 -0.1 -0.0 -0.0 -0.3 97.8	95.9 0.6 -0.0 -0.0 0.3 96.7	95.3 0.3 -0.0 0.2 96.9	97.1 0.2 -0.0 0.2 97.6

45

## TABLE 4 (CONTINUED)

# SUMMARY OF FAR PART 36 SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

MODEL	26-9-31	FUSELAGE NO.	741	REGIST	RATION NO.	N54638	TFSI	NATE 1-29
ПС-9 Р ≢8⊆рер Ратн S	EFAN SIDELIN ENCE CONDITI PEED= 176.9	E FFEFRENCE C ANS KN+ EN/D=1372	ONDITION	CHANGE				
	TTEM / CAS	E	7	8	9	10	11	12
ELIGHT BUN NU MICROP MICROP	NUMBER NGER Hone Locatio Hone Number	Ŋ	16 17 16 9	16 17 20 10	16 18 16 9	16 18 20 10	16 19 16 9	16 19 20 10
AMRIASS RELASS GRAADULA ATTRAS RECORA AMRESES EDICAL ATTRAS RESECTOR RESECTOR AVE AVE AVE	T TEMPERATUR VE HUMIDITY NGLE ATED EPR ET PATH SPEE NE HEIGHT MIN. DISTANCE NOISE PATH O DISE PATH O D	E (DEG F) (PERCENT) (1000 LBS) (DEG) D (KNOTS) E (FEET) E (FEET) ST. (FEET) ST. (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET)	53.6 35.5 99 UP2.1 1.73.0 173.6 912. 1770. 1774. 1860. 72.0 538. -1461. 12938. 13506.	53.6 35.5 99 UP 2.1 1.730 174.0 922. 1684. 1774. 1886. 17786. 18959. 63.2 555. 1464. 2900. 13490.	55.4 32.8 98 UP 2.1 1.737 173.5 872. 1748. 1754. 1874. 1874. 1874. 68.9 538. -1461. 4. 13015. 13586.	55.4 32.8 98 UP2.1 1.736 173.5 882. 1664. 17556. 1927. 63.7 555. 1464. 13205. 13589.	56.5 30.4 97 UP1.9 1.747 173.4 814. 1708. 1725. 1749. 1763. 77.6 538. -1461. 13205. 13736.	56.5 30.4 97 UP1.9 1.748 173.6 827. 1647. 1725. 1773. 1838. 68.2 555. 1464. -9. 13294. 13762.
PNLTM PNLTM PNLM T PNLM M PNLTM MAXIMU TONE C TONE C DUPATI	TIME REF. TO MEASURED IME REF. TO EASUPED ADJUSTED M NOY EREQUE ORRECTION ORRECTION ER ON CORRECTIO		3.9 98.8 96.3 95.3 500 -2.7	4.9 99.4 97.3 97.4 250 2.1 500 -2.1	4.2 97.9 4.2 95.9 98.3 315 2.0 500 -1.6	4.8 99.9 4.8 97.8 100.0 250 2.1 500 -2.8	3.0 99.9 3.0 98.2 100.5 315 1.7 500 -2.8	4.1 99.7 4.1 97.7 99.9 315 2.0 500 -2.6
FPNL M DELTA DELTA DELTA DELTA EPNL R	EASHRED 1 (ARP866) 2 5 FN/D FE. EN/D	(EPNDB) (EPNDB) (EPNDB) (EPNDB) (EPNDB) (EPNDB)	96.1 0.5 0.3 -0.1 0.2 96.7	97.3 -0.0 0.2 -0.1 0.2 97.7	96.3 0.4 0.0 -0.1 0.1 96.8	97.1 0.1 0.2 -0.1 0.1 97.4	97.1 0.6 0.0 -0.1 -0.0 97.7	97.2 0.1 0.2 -0.1 -0.0 97.4

.

46

0.0

#### TABLE 5

# FAR PART 36 SIDELINE REFERENCE NOISE LEVEL

REFERENCE CONDITIONS GROSS WEIGHT L8 (KG) 108,000 (48,988) FLAP SETTING - OVERSPEED (DEG-PERCENT) 0-6 KNOTS) 176.8 VTAS REFERRED FN/8 LB (N) 13,721 (61,031) MICROPHONE LOCATION AVERAGE OF \$16/\$20 MICROPHONE NUMBER 9/10

	MICROPHONE LOCATION S16		MICRO	PHONE ION S20	AVERAGE LOC S16 AND S20 TONE
RUN NUMBER	TONE CORRECTION INCLUDED (EPNdB)	TONE CORRECTION REMOVED (EPNdB)	TONE CORRECTION INCLUDED (EPNdB)	TONE CORRECTION RÉMOVED (EPNdB)	CORRECTION REMOVED AVERAGE (EPNdB)
11	97,3	95.0	97.3	95.7	95.4
12	96.7	94.6	97.8	96.8	95.7
16	96.9	94,5	97.6	95,9	95,2
17	96,7	94.4	97.7	95.6	95.0
18	96,8	94.8	97.4	95.3	95.0
19	<b>97</b> .7	96.0	97.4	95.4	95.7
REFERENCE	NOISE LEVEL (EPine	181			95.3
90-PERCENT	CONFIDENCE LEVE	L (EPNdB)			+0.3
REQUIREME	103.1				

ž

Ç

#### Takeoff

Reference noise levels for takeoff without power cutback were determined from measurements obtained at a location 3.5 N Mi from brake release (location C6 in figure 15).

The measured data for the six test runs, adjusted to the reference condition, were averaged to obtain the reference takeoff noise level and are listed in table 6. A plot of the takeoff noise levels from the takeoff correction runs at various power settings is shown in figure 29. From this figure, adjustments for thrust differences from the reference conditions were obtained and applied.

The reference EPNL value for takeoff with a gross weight of 48,988 kg (108,000 lb) and 0° flap setting with 6 percent overspeed is presented in table 7. It was obtained from the average of noise levels from test runs 9, 10, 13, 53, 54 and 55. The FAR Part 36 reference takeoff noise level is 96.2 EPNdB.

The EPNL values shown in figure 29 may show a slight difference from the average FAR Part 36 reference takeoff noise levels because the correction run flap settings, climb gradients, and altitude are different than the FAR Part 36 reference conditions. The correction runs are only performed to determine the relative variation in EPNL versus thrust, not absolute levels. The airplane was flown at a reference airspeed and power setting with various flap angles and climb gradients used to maintain that airspeed. Therefore, slightly different noise levels are to be expected.

Appendix C includes supporting summary computer listings of the aircraft performance (table C-7.2) and flyover-noise data (table C-7.3). Also, a more detailed flyover-noise computer program print out for test run 10 was included as typical of the takeoff noise data (table C-7.2).

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

0

## TABLE 6

#### SUMMARY OF FAR PART 36 TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

09

ŧ

٠

MODEL DC-9-31 FUSELAGE	E NO. 741	REGIST	RATION NO	. N5463	8 TES	ST DATE	1-29-75
DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITHOUT	CUTBACK S	SLOPE FRO	M FINAL C	ORR CURV	E		
PATH SPEED* 180.3 KN, FN/D:	=13891.0 LBS	5					
ITEM / CASE	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FLIGHT NUMBER RUN NUMBER RUN NUMBER	16 13	16	16 10	21 53	21 54	21 55	

MICROPHONE LUCATION MICROPHONE NUMBER	1	1	1	1	1	1
AMBIENT TEMPERATURE (DEG F) RELATIVE HUMIDITY (PERCENT) GROSS WEIGHT (1000 LBS) FLAP ANGLE (DEG) 	52.5 36.1 104 UP2.1 1.758 177.1 2382. 2352. 2443. 2899. 3011. 54.2 -7301. 0. -81. 12517. 13859.	48.8 41.4 109 UP2.1 1.749 179.6 2316. 2295. 2443. 2757. 2935. 256.3 -7301. 0. -81. 12484. 13750.	50.4 34.5 106 UP2.1 1.757 178.3 2428. 2403. 2443. 2919. 2968. 555.4 -7301. 0. -81. 12538. 13876.	55.1 41.8 109 UP1.7 1.745 181.3 2062. 2040. 2443. 2609. 3124. 51.5 -7301. 0. -81. 12724. 13782.	55.3 42.5 107 UP2.0 1.737 179.8 2117. 2090. 2443. 2156. 2515.9 -7301. -81. 12666. 13661.	56.6 41.9 106 UP2.1 1.735 179.4 2208. 2187. 2443. 2497. 2790.1 -7301. -81. 12543. 13631.
PNLTM TIME REF. TO O.H.(SEC)PNLTM MEASUREDPNLTM MEASUREDPNLM TIME REF. TO O.H. (SEC)PNLM MEASUREDPNLTM ADJUSTEDPNLTM ADJUSTEDMAXIMUM NOY FREQUENCYTONE CORRECTIONTONE CORRECTION FREQ. (GMF)DURATION CORRECTION FACTOR	7.6 96.7 7.6 95.7 96.3 315 1.0 315 0.2	7.2 96.1 95.4 95.3 95.3 95.3 95.3 95.3 9.5 0.9 315 0.6	7.8 96.2 7.8 95.4 96.1 315 0.8 315 0.4	7.4 99.0 7.4 98.2 97.0 200 0.9 200 -0.3	3.3 99.3 98.3 97.6 315 1.0 315 -0.4	5.8 97.8 96.7 96.5 315 1.0 315 0.1
EPNLMEASURED(EPNDB)DELTA1 (ARP866)(EPNDB)DELTA2(EPNDB)DELTAS(EPNDB)DELTAFN/D(EPNDB)DELTAFN/D(EPNDB)EPNLREF.FN/D	96.9 -0.4 0.2 -0.1 0.0 96.6	96.7 -0.8 0.3 -0.0 0.1 96.3	96.6 -0.1 0.1 -0.0 0.0 96.5	98.8 -2.0 0.8 0.0 0.1 97.7	98.8 -1.6 0.7 -0.0 0.2 98.1	97.8 -1.2 0.5 -0.0 0.3 97.3

4

.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

50

TABLE 7							
FAR	PART	36	TAKEOFF	REFERENCE	NOISE	LEVELS	

فالمحاد وبتهازين

đ Q

REFERENCE CONDITIONS		
GROSS WEIGHT	LB (KG)	108,000 (48,988)
FLAP SETTING - OVERSPEED	(DEG-PERCENT)	0-6
HEIGHT AT 3.5 N MI	ft (m)	2472 742)
CLIMB GRADIENT	PERCENT	15.54
V <sub>TAS</sub>	(KNOTS)	180.3
REFERRED FN/8	L8 (N)	13,891 (61,787)
MICROPHONE LOCATION		C6
MICROPHONE NUMBER		1

RUN NUMBER	TONE CORRECTION INCLUDED (EPNdB)	TONE CORRECTION REMOVED (EPNdB)		
9	96,3	95,4		
10	96,5	95,7		
13	96.6	95.6		
53	97.7	96.8		
54	98,1	97,1		
55	97.3	<del>96</del> .3		
REFERENCE NOISE LE	VEL (EPNdB)	96.2		
90-PERCENT CONFIDE	ICE LEVEL (EPNdB)	±0.6		
REQUIREMENT (EPNde	)	95,6		



FIGURE 29. VARIATION OF DC-9 REFAN FAR PART 36 TAKEOFF NOISE LEVEL WITH THRUST

1

 $\mathbf{0}_{1}^{\circ}$ 

#### Takeoff With Cutback

Reference noise levels for takeoff with power cutback were determined from measurements obtained at a location 3.5 N Mi from brake release (location C6 in figure 15).

To insure that stabilized cutback power conditions were reached before the noise measurement point, several cutback correction test runs were made. Table 8 presents the test aircraft speed, elapsed times between start of cutback and the time where the EPNL noise level was 10 dB down from the maximum, and the average elapsed time of all the test runs. From this information, the average cutback distance before the monitor was found to be 1014 m (3327 ft), indicating that the cutback in engine power was stabilized and that the measured noise levels were not affected by engine spooldown. Further evaluation indicated that spooldown was complete by 300 m (986 ft), however, to eliminate noise produced before cutback from affecting the 10 dB down point, the cutback distance before the monitor, based on results from the correction runs, was 915 m (3,000 ft). This value was used for all cutback reference determinations. The measured test data for the six runs averaged to obtain the reference takeoff with cutback noise level are listed in table 9.

The noise adjustment curve used for takeoff with cutback for various power settings is shown in figure 30. Noise levels from the six test runs were adjusted to the reference takeoff with cutback performance conditions for a gross weight of 48,988 kg (108,000 lb) and 0° flap setting with 6 percent overspeed. Tone corrections were removed by using the criteria discussed above. The average reference EPNL for test runs 11, 12 and 16 through 19 was determined. The FAR Part 36 reference for takeoff with cutback noise level is 87.5 EPNdB.

The EPNL values shown in figure 30 may show a slight difference from the average FAR Part 36 reference takeoff cutback noise levels because the correction run flap settings, climb or descent gradients, and altitude are different than the FAR Part 36 reference conditions. The correction runs are only performed to determine the relative variation in EPNL versus thrust, not absolute levels. The airplane was flown at a reference airspeed and power setting with various flap angles and climb or descent gradients used to maintain that airspeed. Therefore, slightly different noise levels are to be expected.

- (

į.

Appendix C, contains supporting computer listings of aircraft performance and flyover-noise data are presented in tables C-1.3 and C-4. Table C-7.3 includes the flyover-noise computer program print out for test Run 16, which is typical of the data for takeoff with cutback.



#### CUTBACK DISTANCE DETERMINATION

Run.	Anoles Anoles	Allinee.	Star Inste	The Content of Content	Soon for Curry	The Co Con The Cr	The Okennego	CUIS OVERHEAD	CC. FO. LONG	ri ne cum de la	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	<sup>210</sup> 10	Call Commics	
11	175,4	296,1 (90,3)	9-55- 57.0	9-56- 01.0	4.0	9-56- 02,7	5.7	1687.8 {514,4}	1184,4 (361.0)	1682.4 (512.8)	9-55- 56,0	9-56- 18.5	9-56- 08,5	
12	175,3	295.9 (90.2)	10-3- 42,0	10-3- 45.5	3.5	10-3- 53,4	11.4	3373.3 (1028.2)	1035.6 (315.6)	3359.4 (1023.9)	10-3- 49,5	10-4. 11.0	10-4- 00.0	
16	174,4	294,4 (89,7)	10-42- 37.5	10-42- 40,0	2.5	10-42- 49.9	12.4	3650.6 (1112.7)	736.0 (224.3)	3641.7 (1110.0)	10-42- 45.5	10-43- 0.5	10-42- 56.0	
17	176.8	298.4 (90.9)	10-49- 52,5	10-49- 56.0	3,5	10-50- 06.6	14.1	4207.4 (1282.4)	1044,4 (318,3)	4195.6 (1278.8)	10-50- 02,5	10-50- 22.5	10-50- 11,5	
18	175.0	295.× (90,0)	11-0- 19.5	11-0- 22,5	3.0	11-0- 32,1	12.6	3722.0 (3134,5)	886.2 (270,1)	3709.5 (1130,7)	11-0- 27.5	10-0- 46,0	11-0- 38,5	
. 19	174,7	294.9 (89.9)	11-17- 40.0	11-17- 43.5	3.5	11-17- 51.5	11,5	3991.4 (1033.7)	1032.1 (314.6)	3374.0 (1028.4)	11-17- 47,5	11-18- 08,0	11-17. 56.5	

AVERAGE 3327.1 (1014.1)

NOTE: ALL TIMES ARE IN TERMS OF HRIMINISEC EXCEPT WHERE NOTED OTHERWISE

و المع

54 54

ويدوم والمراجعة تتعام تنافتهم والتحافظ والتشقية

# TABLE 9

# SUMMARY OF FAR PART 36 TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

MODEL DC-9-31	FUSELAGE NO	. 741	REGIST	RATION N	10. N546	38 TE	ST DATE	1-29-75
DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF	ИТН СОТВАС	K REFE	RENCE CO	NDITIONS	S CHANGE	4.		
*REFERENCE CONDITION PATH SPEED= 179.7 K	NS N, FN/D= 94	51.0 LBS						
ITEM / CASE		1	2	3	4	5	6	
FLIGHT NUMBER RUN NUMBER MICROPHONE LOCATION MICROPHONE NUMBER		16 12 C6 1	16 11 C6 1	16 16 C6 1	16 17 C6 1	16 19 C6 1	16 19 C6 1	
AMBIENT TEMPERATURE RELATIVE HUMIDITY GROSS WEIGHT ( FLAP ANGLE CALCULATED EPR AIRCRAFT PATH SPEED AIRPLANE HEIGHT MEAS. MIN. DISTANCE REF. MIN. DISTANCE REF. NOISE PATH DI REF. NOISE PATH DI REF. NOISE PATH DIS NOISE DIRECTION X COORD. MICROPHONE Y COORD. MICROPHONE Z COORD. MICROPHONE AVE. MEASURED FN AVE. MEAS REFERRED	(DEG F) (PERCENT) 1000 LBS) (DEG) (KNOTS) (FEET) (FEET) (FEET) (FEET) (FEET) (FEET) (FEET) (FEET) (FEET) (FEET) (FEET) FN (LBS)	52 • 1 34 • 0 105 UP2 • 1 1 • 466 175 • 3 2240 • 2237 • 2567 • 2564 • 60 • 8 -7301 • -81 • 8626 • 9426 •	51.2 36.0 106 UP2.1 1.442 175.4 2309. 22309. 2237. 2526. 2447. 66.1 -7301. -81. 8241. 9026.	52.5 35.1 100 UP2.1 1.446 174.8 2287 22505 2451.9 -7301 -91. 8342 9111	53.6 35.5 99 UP2.1 1.44.8 2163. 2159. 2298. 2381.0 -7301. -81. 8349. 9080.	55.4 32.8 98 UP2.1 1.442 175.0 2206. 2237. 2496. 2537. 61.9 -7300. -81. 8269. 9019.	56.5 30.4 978 1.438 174.7 2175. 2166. 2237. 2325. 2401.7 -7301. -81. 8214. 8949.	
PNLTM TIME REF. TO PNLTM MEASURED PNLM TIME REF. TO O PNLM MEASURED PNLTM ADJUSTED MAXIMUM NOY FREQUEN TONE CORRECTION TONE CORRECTION FRE DURATION CORRECTION	0.H.(SEC) (PNDB) (PNDB) (PNDB) (PNDB) (CY (GMF) (PNDB) (Q. (GMF) FACTOR	6.6 87.8 4.1 87.2 88.0 315 0.7 315 0.0	5.8 87.8 5.8 87.2 88.2 315 0.6 315 0.1	6.1 87.6 87.1 88.1 315 -0.5	4.9 87.7 4.4 87.2 87.6 315 160 -0.7	6.4 88.7 6.4 88.8 315 0.6 315 -1.1	5.0 88.1 5.0 87.1 315 0.5 160 -0.8	
EPNL MEASURED DELTA 1 (ARP866) DELTA 2 DELTA S DELTA FN/D EPNL REF. FN/D	(EPNDB) (EPNDB) (EPNDB) (EPNDB) (EPNDB) (EPNDB)	87.8 0.2 -0.0 -0.1 0.0 88.0	87.9 0.4 -0.1 -0.1 0.6 38.7	87.2 0.5 -0.1 -0.1 0.5 87.9	87.0 -0.1 0.2 -0.1 0.5 87.5	87.6 0.1 0.1 -0.1 0.6 88.3	87.3 0.0 0.1 -0.1 0.7 86.0	

OF POOR QUALITY

տ Ծ

ą.

# TABLE 10

# FAR PART 36 TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK REFERENCE NOISE LEVEL

REFERENCE CONDITIONS		
GROSS WEIGHT	LB (KG)	108,000 (43,900)
FLAP SETTING - OVERSPEED	(DEG-PERCENT)	0-6
HEIGHT AT 3.5 N MI	ft (m)	2245 (707)
CLIMB GRADIENT	(PERCENT)	15.54/8.27
VTAS	(KNOTS)	179.7
REFERRED FN/8	L8 (N)	9,451 (42,038)
CUTBACK DISTANCE	FT (M)	3000 (915)
MICROPHONE LOCATION		C6
MICROPHONE NUMBER		1

RUN NUMBER	TONE CORRECTION INCLUDED (EPNdB)	TONE CORRECTION REMOVED (EPNdB)	
11	88.7	88.1	
12	88.0	87.3	
16	87.9	87.4	
17	87.5	86.9	
18	88.3	87.7	
19	53.0	87.5	
REFERENCE NOISE LEV	87.5		
90-PERCENT CONFIDENC	±0.3		
REQUIREMENT (EPNdB)	95.6		



Ű.

FIGURE 30. VARIATION OF DC-9 REFAN FAR PART 36 TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK NOISE LEVEL WITH THRUST

#### Approach

Landing approach noise levels were determined from measurements obtained from a location simulated to be 1.0 N.Mi. from the runway threshold (location Cl0 in figure 15).

The measured data for the test runs averaged to obtain the reference landing approach noise levels are listed in tables 11 and 12. Figure 31 shows the noise levels for various landing approach power settings. Adjustments were applied for the differences between the measured and reference conditions for a gross weight of 44,906 kg (99,000 lb) and 0.873 rad and .611 rad (50 and 35 degrees) flap setting. Tone corrections were removed by using the criteria discussed previously. In addition, any tones occurring above 630 Hz were given special consideration as to whether they represented actual tones, or were psuedotones to be removed from the reference EPNL v lue.

The EPNL values shown in figure 31 may show a slight difference from the average FAR Part 36 reference approach noise levels because the correction run flap settings, descent gradients, and altitude are different than the FAR Part 36 reference conditions. The correction runs are only performed to determine the relative variation in EPNL versus thrust, not absolute levels. The airplane was flown at a reference airspeed and power setting with various flap angles and descent gradients used to maintain that airspeed. Therefore, slightly different noise levels are to be expected.

The noise level for landing approach with a 0.873 rad (50 degrees) flap setting was determined from the average of the reference EPNL values for test runs 27 through 32. Similarly, the noise level for landing approach with a .611 rad (35 degree) flap setting was determined from the average of the reference EPNL values obtained from test runs 42 through 44, 45, and 48 through 50 (table 13). The FAR Part 36 reference approach noise levels are 97.4 EPNdB for 0.873 rad flap setting and 95.7 EPNdB for 0.611 rad flap setting.

Appendix C contains supporting computer listings of aircraft performance and flyover-noise data are presented in tables C-1.4, C-1.5, C-5 and C-6. Table C-7.4 and C-7.5 include the flyover-noise computer program print out for test Runs 27 and 44, which are included as typical of the landing approach data.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

()]

O}

DC-9 REFAN 50 DEG APPROACH REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE **\*RFFERENCE CONDITIONS** PATH SPEED= 141.4 KN, FN/D= 5362.0 LBS 2 3 4 5 6 ITEM / CASE 1 19 29 19 19 27 FLIGHT NUMBER 19 19 19 32 28 RUN NUMBER 30 10 MICROPHONE LOCATION 10 10 10 10 10 MICROPHONE NUMBER 6 6 6 6 6 6 55.9 45.7 94 53.1 49.7 98 54.3 AMBIENT TEMPERATURE (DEG F) 54.1 51.7 96 56.0 56.0 46.8 51.4 46.8 PELATIVE HUMIDITY (PERCENT) 95 92 GROSS WEIGHT (1000 LBS) 49.5 1.213 134.8 292. 332. 49.7 1.218 125.3 354. 49.3 1.235 135.9 344. 49.5 49.3 49.5 FLAP ANGLE CALCULATED EPR (DEG) 1.235 140.2 AIRCRAFT PATH SPEED (KNOTS) 134.1 366. 379. (FEET) 369. AIPPLANE HEIGHT MEAS. MIN. DISTANCE (FEET) REF. MIN. DISTANCE (FEET) MEAS. NOISE PATH DIST. (FEET) REF. NOISE PATH DIST. (FEET) NOISE DIRECTION (DEG) 412. 395. 393. 417. 429. 369. 369. 369. 369. 369. 369. 428. 405. 447. 378. 449. 405. 379. 419. 380. 396. 386. 73.0 76.7 76.3 68.8 74.4 X COORD. MICROPHONE Y COORD. MICPOPHONE 7 COORD. MICROPHONE 22. (FEET) 198. 198. 198. 198. -1. -1. -1. -1. -1. (FEET) -1. 5170. 5150. 5451. AVE. MEASURED FN 5451. 5016. (LBS) 5558. (LBS) 5507. 102.2 101.7 PNLTM TIME REF. TO D.H. (SEC) PNLTM MEASURED (PNDB) 102.7 1.9 1.6 1.8 103.7 101.9 (PNDB) 103.6 PNLM TIME REF. TO D.H. (SEC) PNLM MEASURED (PNDR) 2.0 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.4 102.0 103.0 101.4 101.3 103.0 103.5 104.2 PNLTM ADJUSTED (PNDP) 103.2 103.7 104.6 2500 2500 MAXIMUM NOY FREQUENCY 2500 2500 2500 2500 (GMF) 0.9 315 -5.8 TONE CORRECTION (PNDP) TONE CORRECTION FRED. (GMF) 0.7 2.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 8000 8000 8000 8000 8000 -5.7 -5.8 DURATION CORRECTION FACTOR -5.8 -6.2 -6.2 97.5 96.4 95.9 96.3 96.8 97.4 FPNL MEASURED (EPNDR) 2.0 -0.7 DELTA 1 (APP866) (FPNDR) 1.9 1.4 0.5 (EPNDR) -0.5 -0.3 -0.3 DELTA -0.2 -0.3 -0.2 -0.2 DELTA S -0.5 (FPNDB) -0.0 97.2 DELTA ENID (EPNDB) -0.4 0.3

97.9

(FPNDB)

98.1

97.7

## TABLE 11 SUMMARY OF FAR PART 36 APPROACH FLYOVER-NOISE DATA - 50-DEGREE FLAP SETTING

REGISTRATION NO.

N54638

97.4

97.4

**TEST DATE 1-31-75** 

00

FUSELAGE NO. 741

60

MODEL

DC-9-31

FPNL REF. FN/D

# TABLE 12

an international of provide and states to the product of the product of the states of the states of the states

ويتحدج ووريته ممتحج التروريني

.

#### SUMMARY OF FAR PART 36 APPROACH FLYOVER-NOISE DATA - 35-DEGREE FLAP SETTING

22

a a secondaria da secondaria

٢

÷.

MODEL	DC-9-31	FUSELAGE	NO. 741	REGIS	FRATION N	10. N546	38 TE	EST DATE	2-01-75
DC-9 R *Refer Path S	EFAN 35 DEG Ence conditi Peed= 146.9	APPROACH DNS KN; FN/D=	USING FINAL 3810.0 LBS	CORR	CURVE SLO	PE			·
	ITEM / CAS	E	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
FLIGHT RUN NU MICROP MICROP	NUMBER MBER Hone Location Hone Number	N	20 44 10 6	20 46 10 6	20 49 10 6	20 43 10 6	20 48 10 6	20 42 10 6	20 50 10 6
AMBIEN RELATI GELASS A CALCCRA AIRCPLA MEEFS. REEFS. NO COOR AVE. MAVE.	T TEMPERATUR VE HUMIDITY WEIGHT NGLE ATED EPR FT PATH SPEE NE HEIGHT MIN. DISTANCE NOISE PATH DI DISE PATH DI DI SE PATH DI SE PATH DI DI SE PATH DI SE PATH	E (DEG F) (PERCENT) (1000 LBS) (DEG) D (KNUTS) E (FEET) E (FEET) IST. (FEET) ST. (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET) E (FEET)	56 • 1 45 • 3 102 34 • 7 1 • 153 137 • 5 363 • 412 • 462 • 413 • 3 22 • 198 • -1 • 3736 • 3764 •	57.2 40.0 34.7 1.152 137.8 377.4 369. 454. 3792.5 198. 3722. 3753.	58.4 38.0 34.1 1.164 138.8 368.4 4369.4 389.5 722.1 198.3 3994.4	56.0 46.2 103 34.6 1.193 150.8 368. 417. 369. 445. 394.4 22. 198. 4567. 4604.	57.8 40.98 34.7 1.151 135.1 356. 406. 435. 396.8 22. 198. 3729. 3756.	55.8 4109 34.25 131.6. 355. 4097.3 371.7 198. 3181. 3205.	58.2 37.8 95 33.7 1.166 142.5 387. 428. 369. 428. 369. 22. 198. 4001. 4038.
PNLTM PNLTM PNLM T PNLM M PNLTM MAXIMU TONE C TONE C DURATI	TIME REF. TO MEASURED IME REF. TO ( EASURED ADJUSTED M NOY FREQUE ORRECTION ORRECTION FR ON CORRECTION	D.H.(SEC) (PNDB) D.H.(SEC) (PNDB) (PNDB) NCY (GMF) EQ.(GMF) N FACTOR	2.2 99.9 2.2 98.9 102.0 2500 0.9 6300 -5.8	1.9 99.9 1.9 99.0 102.6 2500 0.9 315 -5.7	1.8 100.2 1.8 99.4 102.4 2500 0.8 6300 -5.3	1.8 101.6 1.8 100.8 103.7 2500 0.8 315 -5.9	1.9 100.2 1.9 99.3 102.1 2500 0.8 6300 -5.8	1.4 99.7 1.4 98.2 101.7 2000 1.5 5000 -6.2	1.2 99.6 1.7 98.8 102.3 2500 1.2 500 -5.6
EPNL M DELTA DELTA DELTA DELTA DELTA EPNL R	EASURED 1 (ARP866) S FN/D EF• FN/D	(EPND8) (EPND8) (EPND8) (EPND8) (EPND8) (EPND8) (EPND8)	94.1 2.1 -0.5 -0.3 0.0 95.5	94 • 2 2 • 7 - 0 • 6 - 0 • 3 0 • 0 96 • 0	94.9 2.2 -0.5 -0.2 -0.1 96.2	95.7 2.1 -0.5 0.1 -0.6 96.7	94.4 2.0 -0.4 -0.4 0.0 95.6	93.5 1.9 -0.4 -0.5 0.5 95.0	94.0 2.7 -0.6 -0.1 -0.2 95.7

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

- ARE ADDRESS STRATEGY TO THE COMMON

61

- Charles

És arch

1.1



FIGURE 31. VARIATION OF DC-9 REFAN FAR PART 36 APPROACH NOISE LEVEL WITH THRUST

# FAR PART 36 LANDING APPROACH REFERENCE NOISE LEVEL

Rent Constant Constant Constant

Stary and the second

j,

Contraction of the local distance of the loc

Q

L Base

REFERENCE CONDITIONS	•		
GROSS WEIGHT	LB (KG)	99,000 (44,936)	99,000 (44,906)
FLAP SETTING	(DEG)	50	35
HEIGHT AT 1.0 N M	i FT (m)	370 (113)	370 (113)
GLIDENLOPE	(DEG)	3	3
V <sub>TAS</sub>	(KNOTS)	141.4	146.9
REFERRED FN/6	LB (N)	5,362 (23,850)	3,810 (16,947)
MICROPHONE LOCA	TION	C10	C10
MICROPHONE N 1	BER	6	6

50-DEGREE FI		35-DEGREE FLAP			
RUN NUMBER		TONE CORRECTION REMOVED (EPNdB)	RUN NUMBER	TONE CORRECTION REMOVED (EPNdB)	
27		97.9	42	95.0	
28		97.5	43	96.5	
29	•	96.9	44	95.5	
30		97,7	46	9 <sup>.</sup> j.0	
31		97.3	48	95.6	
32		97.3	49	96.2	
			50	95.3	
REFERENCE NOISE LEVEL	(EPNdB)	97.4		95.7	
90-PERCENT CONFIDENCE LEVEL	(EPNdB)	±0.3		±0,4	
REQUIREMENT	(EPNdB)	103.1		103.1	

# Accuracy

Applying the small-sample t-distribution and standard deviation equation as noted previously, the confidence limits on the DC-9 Refan FAR Part 36 noise levels were determined. The results indicate the 90 percent confidence limits to be better than  $\pm$  0.6 EPNdB. This is well under the  $\pm$  1.5 EPNdB established as an FAA requirement. The following are the FAR Part 36 noise levels and the respective limits of 90 percent confidence:

Sideline	<u>Takeoff</u>	Takeoff with Cutback	Approach
			δF = 0.873 rad (50 degrees)
95.3 <u>+</u> 0.3 EPNdB	96.2 <u>+</u> 0.6	87.5 <u>+</u> 0.3 EPNdB	97.4 <u>+</u> 0.3 EPNdB
			δ <sub>F</sub> = 0.611 rad (35 degrees)
			95.7 <u>+</u> 0.4 EPNdB

# PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

ş

65

して日本の読みの

## Baseline Noise Levels

وسوو بدنيته والديد ويترب سينين

Two sets of baseline noise levels may be used to determine the noise reductions achieved by the Refan flyover test program. The first set of noise levels were obtained from tests conducted in October 1974 as a part of intermix certification of DC-9-30 airplanes. The second set were the results of the limited flyover noise tests (C-9A) conducted as a part of the Refan program. The noise levels obtained from both tests are tabulated, below.

				APPR.	APPR.
	s.L.	T.O.	C/B	0.873 rad (50 degrees)	0.611 rad (35 degrees)
Hardwall Intermix DC-9-30/JT8D-9	99.8	102.7	97.4	103.0	100.9
Hardwall C-9A DC-9-32/JT8D-9	N.A.	N.A.	95.7	106.1	N.A.

N.A. = Not Available

The differences between two sets of noise levels may be attributed to the differences in meteorological conditions experienced during both flyover **mo**ise tests. The baseline and DC-9 Refan airplane noise levels reported herein were adjusted for deviations from the atmospheric condition of 25°C (77°F), 70 percent relative humidity and sea level pressure on the basis of data recorded at the 10 meter mobile atmospheric recording tower. This method of adjusting for atmospheric conditions does not account for dissimilarities in weather along the sound/path that existed during the tests of the different airplanes. Several current research efforts are investigating the feasibility of developing reliable analytical methods of adjusting noise levels recorded under diverse sound-path atmospheric conditions to values corresponding to a uniform atmosphere at standard FAR Part 36 conditions. The application of such methods to the data used for the comparison above would lead to more accurate absolute and relative levels.

# PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

#### NOISE-LEVEL VARIATIONS WITH DISTANCE

ί

Q

0

The procedure used for developing a family of noise-level-vs-distance curves will be described. The parameter used to characterize individual curves in the family is referred net thrust  $F_N/\delta$ .

A computer program printout of test day effective perceived noise levels (EPNL) adjusted to the reference conditions of weather, distance, and airspeed is used to obtain corrected reference-weather noise levels. Tone corrections due to pseudotones are removed. From the available overhead flyover-noise data, (table 14), plots of EPNL variations with  $F_N/\delta$  at various reference distances are prepared by fairing lines of best fit through the individual data points. From those plots, the noise level at selected values of referred net thrust can be found for various distances. The EPNL's at the selected referred net thrust values and at the available reference distances are plotted, and curves of constant  $F_N/\delta$  are drawn through the data points.

Certain assumptions must be made in fairing curves through the data points, since the points generally do not all fall either in straight lines or on simple curves. The assumptions are (1) that each curve of EPNL plotted on semilog paper is a smooth monotonically decreasing function of distance and (2) that the set of curves is really a family such that a cross plot at any selected distance would also be a smooth curve. These assumptions are based on the position that noise levels decrease smoothly with distance and that noise levels increase smoothly with thrust if other parameters remain constant.

The distances used are the distance at the closest point to aircraft (CPA), that is the minimum distance between the flight path and the microphone. This is not necessarily the distance the sound travels from the airplane to the receiver at the time of PNLTM. The distance CPA is more convenient in relating to the community noise exposure.

From the family of curves based on the best overall fit to the data, cross plots at selected ranges from 61 m (200 ft) to 2 440 m (8,000 ft) are derived. Any irregularities observed in the family of cross plots are smoothed out, but with minimum shifts in the position of the original curves.

The curves for  $F_N/\delta$  above 31 136 N (7,000 lb) are normalized to a takeoff airspeed of 180 knots. For  $F_N/\delta$  values equal to or less than 31 136 N (7,000 lb) the curves are normalized to an approach airspeed of 140 knots. Therefore, the family of cross-plot curves will have a discontinuity at  $F_N/\delta$  = 31 136 N (7,000 lb). When the airspeed correction factor is used, the discontinuity is found to have a value of 10 log 180 = 1.1 EPNdB. The TAD

airspeed correction, as described in the data analyses section, is primarily intended to adjust for variations in the duration correction factor, which is included in the EPNL computations.

In theory, the noise level from a given source will vary with distance, because of spreading losses and atmospheric attenuation. Since EPNL is a combination of factors computed in a complex manner, spreading losses for

# PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

FLICHT	RUN		TARGET THRUST	MICR	OPHON			
NO.	NO.	TYPE OF FLYOVER	(LB)		C6	C10	C11	(FIG. 10-14)
15	4	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500		1			D1
	5	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500	1	1			٤١
	6	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,600	1	1			F1
	7	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500		1	ļ		F2
	8	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500	{ '	1			E2
16	9	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500		1			D1
	10	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500	1	1			E1
	11	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	ł	1			F1
	12	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9 <b>,500</b>	j	1			F2
	13	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500		1			E2
	16	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	ł	1			F3
	17	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	1 <b>3,500/9</b> ,500		1			F4
	18	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	Í	1			F5
	19	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	ļ	1			F6
	20	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	1	1			G4
	21	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	1	1			G1
	22	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500		1			G2
	23	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500		1			G3
19	24	$\delta_{\rm H} = 50$ DEG APPROACH	6,900	4	1	6		D1
	25	δ = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,800	4	1 1	6		D2
	27	δ = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,500	4	1	6		E1a
	28	$\delta_{E} = 50$ DEG APPROACH	5,100	4	1	6		E2
	29	δ్ = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,300	4	1	6		E3
	30	δ <sub>E</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,600	4	1	6		E4
	31	δ = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,200	4	1 1	6		E5
	32	δ = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,600	4	1	6		E6
	33	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	4,700	4	1	6		D3
	34	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	4,500	4	1	6		D4
	35	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	4,300	4	1.	6		D5
	36	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	3,100	4	1	6		D6
	37	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	3,200	4	1 1	6		70
	38	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	2,800	4	1	6		D8
20	39	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	6,500	Γ —		6		D1
	40	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	6,900	2	1	6		D2
	41	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	6,100	2	1	6		D3
	42	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	3,200	2	1	6		El
	43	$\delta_{\rm F}$ = 35 DEG APPROACH	4,600	2		6		E2
	44	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	3,800	2	1	6		E3
<b>I</b>	46	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	3,800	2	1	6	Ì	E4a
	47	المربع = 35 DEG APPROACH	3,800	2	1			£5
1	48	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	3,800	2	1	6	(	E6
	49	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	4,000	2	1	6		E7
-	50	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	4,100	2	1	6		E8
ł	51	δ <sub>E</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,400	2	1	6	l	D4
J	52	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	3,100	2	1	6	]	D5

TABLE 14 SUMMARY OF DATA ACQUISITION FOR NOISE LEVEL DETERMINATION

\*LISTED ARE THE NUMBERS OF THE ACTIVE MICROPHONES FOR A GIVEN LOCATION (I.E., FOR RUN 4 MICROPHONE ) WAS ACTIVE AT LOCATION (6

δ<sub>F</sub> INDICATES FLAP SETTING

01 QE

70

FLIGHT	BUN		TARGET THRUST	MICR	OPHON	FLIGHT		
NO.	NO.	TYPE OF FLYOVER	(LB)	C4	C6	C10	C11	(FIG, 10-14)
21	53	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,700		1	6	3	с
	54	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,700	İ	1	6	3	DO
	55	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,700		1	6	3	וס
	56	TAKEOFF	12,700		1	6	3	D2
	57	TAKEOFF	12,700	Į	1	6	3	D3
	59	TAKEOFF	11,700		1	6	3	D4
	60	TAKEOFF	11,700		1	6	3	D5
	61	TAKEOFF	10,700		1	6	3	D6
	62	TAKEOFF	10,700	L _	1	6	3	D7
22	65	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500		1	6	3	C1
	67	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500		1	6		E2
	69	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500	1	1	6	3	E1a
	70	TAKEOFF	9,500		1	6	3	E3
	72	TAKEOFF	8,000		1	6	3	E5
	73	TAKEOFF	9,500		1			E4a
	74	TAKEOFF	8,000		1	6	3	E6
	75	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500	ł	1		1	E1c
	77	TAKEOFF	8,000		1	6	3	H1a
	79	TAKEOFF	7,000	1	1	6	3	НЗ
	82	TAKEOFF	7,000		1	6	3	H4b
	83	TAKEOFF	7,000		1			H4c
23	84	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500		i	6	3	C1
	85	LEVEL FLIGHT	13,500		1	6	3	D1
	86	LEVEL FLIGHT	13,500		1	6	3	D2
	87		9,500		1 1	6	3	D3
	90		9,500		1	6	3	D4b
L	91	LEVEL FLIGHT	9,500		1	6	3	D3a
25	95	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	6,000	1	1			D1c
	96	δ <sub>F</sub> ≖ 50 DEG APPROACH	6,000		1		ł	D2
	97	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,400		1			D3
	98	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,400		1			D4
	100	γ = 5.5 DEG APPROACH	3,900	2	1	6	ļ	E1a
	101	$\gamma = 5.5$ DEG APPROACH	3,500	2	1			E2
	102	$\gamma = 5.5$ DEG APPROACH	3,100	2	1			E3
	103	γ = 5.5 DEG APPROACH	2,900	2	1			E4
1	104	$\gamma = 5.5$ DEG APPROACH	3,100	2	1	1	ĺ	E5
	105	$\gamma$ = 5.5 DEG APPROACH	3,100	2	1			E6
	106	$\gamma$ = 5.5 DEG APPROACH	3,200	2				F1
	107	γ = 5.5 DEG APPROACH	2,000	2	1	6	<u> </u>	F3
26	108	$\gamma$ = 5.5 DEG APPROACH	3,200	2	1	6		F2
ļ	109	$\gamma$ = 5.5 DEG APPROACH	2,000	2	1	6		F4
	110	$\gamma = 5.5$ DEG APPROACH	1,500	2	1	6		F5
	112	γ ≈ 5.5 DEG APPROACH	2 000	1 2	1 1	6	1	F4a

TABLE 14. (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF DATA ACQUISITION FOR NOISE LEVEL DETERMINATION

\*LISTED ARE THE NUMBERS OF THE ACTIVE MICROPHONES FOR A GIVEN LOCATION (I.E., FOR RUN 53 MICROPHONE 6 WAS ACTIVE AT LOCATION C10)

 $\delta_{F}$  INDICATES FLAP SETTING

Y INDICATES GLIDESLOPE

÷.

あるとう こうであい していたいかいかい しいていまたい しいい

1

å

EPNL are not expected to vary in a simple inverse-square relationship. However, a mathematical expression containing a constant term for the initial level at a reference distance, a logarithmic term to account for spreading, and a term containing the product of distance and an atmospheric-attenuation coefficient should be capable of describing the variation of EPNL with distance. Such an expression is shown below:

> $L = L_0 - a \log (X/X_0) - b (X-X_0)/1000 ,$  L = noise level at distance X, EPNdB  $L_0 = noise level at reference distance, EPNdB$  a = coefficient of spreading term X = distance, feet  $X_0 = reference distance of 250 feet$ b = coefficient of atmospheric attenuation term, EPNdB/1,000 ft.

A Douglas-developed computer program will determine  $L_0$ , a, and b for a least-squares fit to the curves or for a sampling of points along the curves found by the previously described procedures. As a result of the computations, an equation for each EPNL-vs-distance curve for a particular value of  $F_N/\delta$  was derived. The family of curves so determined was then plotted by the use of a programmed Automated drafting Machine (ADM), figure 32 shows the plot of EPNL vs slant range at closest point of approach (CPA).

To develop a plot of A-weighted sound levels, dB(A), the corrected SPL spectrum at the time of PNLTM, the same as that used in computing the EPNL-vs-distance curves described above, was used to compute the corresponding dB(A) levels and the same basic procedures as were described previously for the EPNL plots, applied.

One important difference in the two procedures is that since there is no duration correction involved in calculating dB(A), there is also no airspeed normalization adjustment made in the dB(A) curves such as is applied to the EPNL curves. Thus, in the cross plots of dB(A) vs  $F_N/_5$  at selected distances, there is no discontinuity as there is in the EPNL cross plots.

A family of dB(A)-vs-distance curves at a number of  $F_N/\delta$  values was then plotted by use of a programmed ADM. Figure 33 shows the plot of A-weighted sound levels dB(A) as a function of slant range at closest point of approach (CPA).

where

REFERENCE:

TEMPERATURE 77<sup>0</sup>F (25<sup>0</sup>C) RELATIVE HUMIDITY 70%

DC-9 REFAN TWO JT8D-109 ENGINES



FIGURE 32. VARIATION OF EFFECTIVE PERCEIVED NOISE LEVEL WITH SLANT RANGE

29

TEMPERATURE 77<sup>0</sup>F (25<sup>0</sup>C) RELATIVE HUMIDITY 70 PERCENT





#### LATERAL NOISE ATTENUATION

Lateral noise attenuation is the difference between the noise level measured beneath a flyover and the noise level measured at the side of the flight path at the same distance and engine power. The difference in noise level is principally due to extra ground attenuation (EGA), fuselage and wing shielding, and directivity effects. The determination of the contribution of each of the three factors is complex and beyond the scope of this work. The combined effects are therefore considered as lateral noise attenuation.

Noise measurements were obtained from several locations to the side of the flight paths for various engine power settings and aircraft altitudes. To calculate lateral noise attenuation, it is necessary to obtain overhead noise levels at similar distances and engine power settings. Since the EPNLvs-distance plots consist of averaged overhead noise levels at selected referred net thrust levels, that are normalized to typical airplane velocities, the overhead noise levels obtained from these plots are chosen to compare with the measured sideline noise levels.

In processing the measured sideline noise levels, the computer adjusted the noise level to appropriate normalized airspeeds and to reference weather. Also, adjustments were made to correct for the lateral deviation of the flight path from the reference flight path. No thrust or other distance adjustments were made by the computer. Minimum distances to the flight path were used for slant range, just as in the construction of the EPNL-vs-distance curves. Tone corrections attributed to pseudotones, that is, those with tone correction frequencies of 630 Hz and below were removed.

The referred net thrust and the minimum distance to the flight path associated with each of the sideline noise measurements are entered into the overhead noise-level computer program, together with the Refan EPNL-curve equations, and the overhead EPNL is then calculated at the same referred net thrusts and distances as those found for the sideline noise levels. Lateral noise attenuation is then simply calculated by subtracting the sideline measured noise level from the overhead calculated noise level.

0

Ċ

Table 15 shows the data used in calculating lateral noise attenuation. Figure 34 presents a plot of lateral noise attenuation as a function of elevation angle.

FLIGHT	BUN		TARGET THRINT	MICROPHONE LOCATION*							
NO.	NO.	TYPE OF FLYOVER	(LB)	<b>SO</b>	S16	S18	\$1 <del>9</del>	S20	3N	6N	(FIG, 10-14)
16	9	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500	12	9	7	11	10			D1
	10	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500	12	9	7	11	10			E1
	11	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	12	9	7	11	10			F1
	12	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	12	9	7	11	10			F2
	13	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500	12	9	7	11	10			E2
	15	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500	12	9	7	11	10			E3a
	16	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	12	9	7	11	10			F3
	17	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	12	9	7	11	10			F4
	18	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	12	9	7		10			F5
	19	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	12	9	7	11	10			F6
	20	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	12	9	7	11	10			G4
	21	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	12	9	7	11	10			G1
	22	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	12	9	7	11	10			G2
	23	TAKEOFF/CUTBACK	13,500/9,500	12	9	7	11	10			G3
19	24	$\delta_{F} = 50 \text{ DEG APPROACH}$	6,900			5			11		D1
	25	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,800	9		5		10	11		D2
	27	$\delta_{\rm F}$ = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,500	9		5		10			E1a
	28	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,100	9		5	]	10	11		E2
	29	$\delta_{e} = 50 \text{ DEG APPROACH}$	5,300	9		5		10	11		E3
	30	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,600	9		5		10	11		E4
1	31	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	5,200	9		5		10	11		E5
	33	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	4,700	9		5	ļ	10			53
	34	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	4,500	9		5	1	10	11		D4
	35	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	4,300	9		5		10	11		D5
	36	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	3,400	9		5		10			D6
	37	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	3,200	9		5		10	11		D7
	38	REDUCED THRUST APPROACH	2,800	9		5		10	11		D8
20	39	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	6,500			3	]	10	11		D1
	40	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	6,900	9		3		10	11		D2
	41	δ <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	6,100	9		3		10	11	ļ	D3
	42	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	3,200	9		3	1	10		1	E1
	43	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	4,600	9		3		10			€2
	44	$\delta_{F} = 35 \text{ DEG APPROACH}$	3,800	9		3		10	11		E3
	46	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	3,800	9		3	]	10	11		£4a
1	47	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	3,800						11	Į	E5
	48	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	3,800	9		3	1	10		1	E6
	49	δ <sub>F</sub> = 35 DEG APPROACH	4,000				ļ	1	11		£7
	50	δ <sub>m</sub> ≠ 35 DEG APPROACH	4,100	9		3		10	11		E8

TABLE 15 SUMMARY OF DATA ACQUISITION FOR LATERAL NOISE ATTENTUATION STUDY

\*LISTED ARE THE NUMBERS OF THE ACTIVE MICROPHONES FOR A GIVEN LOCATION (I.E., FOR RUN 9 MICROPHONE 9 WAS ACTIVE AT LOCATION \$16)

δ INDICATES FLAP SETTING

07 07 ( (
FLIGHT	BUN		TARGET THRUST	MICROPHONE LOCATION*				FLIGHT PROFILE			
NO.	NO.	TYPE OF FLYOVER	(LB)	SO	S16	S18	S19	S20	ЗN	6N	(FIG. 10-14)
21	53	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,700		9			10	11	12	с
	54	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,700		9			10	11	12	D0
	55	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,700		9			10	11	12	D1
	56	TAKEOFF	12,700		9			10	11	12	D2
	57	TAKEOFF	12,700		9			10	11	12	D3
	59	TAKEOFF	11,700					10	11	12	D4
[	60	TAKEOFF	11,700		9			10	11	12	D5
	61	TAKEOFF	10,700		9			10	11	12	D6
	62	TAKEOFF	10,700		9	_		10	11	12	D7
22	65	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500		9			10	11		C1
	67	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500						11	12	E2
	69	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500		9			10	11	12	E1a
	70	TAKEOFF	9,500		9				11	12	E3
	72	TAKEOFF	8,000		9			10	11	12	E5
	73	TAKEOFF	9,500		9			10	11	12	E4a
	74	TAKEOFF	8,000		9	<b>}</b> :		10		12	E6
	75	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500		9			10	1	12	E1c
	77	TAKEOFF	8,000		9			10	11	12	H1a
	78	TAKEOFF	8,000		9		!	10	11		H2
ļ	79	TAKEOFF	7,000		9			10	11		H3
<b></b>	82	TAKEOFF	7,000		9			10	┣	12	H4b
23	84	FULL POWER TAKEOFF	13,500		9			10	ļ	12	C1
	85		13,500		9		1	10		12	D1
ł	86		13,500	ĺ	9	1	[	10	11	12	02
	87		9,500	]	9		Į	10	1		03
	90		9,500		9			10			D4b
	91		9,500		_9	┟───		10		12	<u> </u>
25	95	$\delta_{\rm F} = 50$ DEG APPROACH	6,000	ļ '	! !	1			יין		
	96	<sup>8</sup> <sub>F</sub> = 50 DEG APPROACH	6,000								02
	97		5,400		9	]	<b>!</b>				
	100		5,400		9	j			] ''		61-
	100	$\gamma = 5.5$ DEG APPROACH	3,900		37			10			E1a 62
	101	$\gamma = 5.5$ DEG APPROACH	3,500	'		1	ĺ		ł	} ;	E2
	103	$\gamma = 5.5$ DEG APPROACH	2,900		9			ļ			54 75
	104	$\gamma = 5.5 \text{ DEG APPROACH}$	3,100		9						E0 E0
	100		3,100	}	9	1		1.0	1	Į	E0
	100	Y - 5.5 DEG APPROACH	3,200	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	╞	10	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
20	100		3,200		9	[	[			[	EA
} .	1110		2,000		3	ł					F4 F5
	110		3,200		, a		l	10	]		F0 F0
(	140		1,000	[ ]	9	Í	ĺ	10			
L	112	γ = 9.5 UEG APPHOACH	2,000		9			L 'V		1	F 48

# TABLE 15 (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF DATA ACQUISITION FOR LATERAL NOISE ATTENUATION STUDY

\*LISTED ARE THE NUMBERS OF THE ACTIVE MICROPHONES FOR A GIVEN LOCATION (I.E., FOR RUN 53 MICROPHONE 9 WAS ACTIVE AT LOCATION \$16)

 $\delta_{\mathsf{F}}$  INDICATES FLAP SETTING

γ INDICATES GLIDESLOPE

0

「あっていたまた」とうない





### NOISE CONTOURS

ł

0F

OF

Contours of equal effective perceived noise level (EPNdB) for single takeoff and approach operations of both a JT8D-9 hardwall nacelle and JT8D-109 Refan engined DC-9 were developed. The contour lines are generated by a method that determines points on the ground surface that are equidistant from the aircraft flight path. The sound path distance is adjusted by a procedure discussed in reference 6 that includes empirically derived corrections for ground-to-ground noise attenuation and aircraft noise shielding. Also included are the effects of the time-duration increase during ground roll and the increased inlet and jet noise at low forward velocities (reference 6). The contours are generated for reference-day conditions, i.e.,  $25^{\circ}C$  ( $77^{\circ}F$ ), 70 percent humidity.

The plotting of the noise-exposure area contours is accomplished by a Douglas-developed computer/plotter technique. The information necessary to generate the noise contours consists of data for noise-level variation with distance and the associated aerodynamic performance in the form of an aircraft flight path. The noise-level variation with distance may be expressed mathematically for each defined engine power setting. That information was obtained for the generation of the EPNL-vs-distance curves.

The aerodynamic parameters used are distance from brake release, geometric altitude, engine thrust  $(F_N/\delta)$ , and true airspeed. Both the hardwall and Refan DC-9 flight paths were constructed using a 0.349 rad (20 degree) pitch limit.

The flight paths for this study (figure 35) are:

- (1) full-thrust takeoff and 0.052 rad (3 degrees) glideslope approach,
- (2) Full-thrust takeoff and two-segment 0.105/0.052 rad (6/3 degrees) glideslope approach,
- (3) takeoff with cutback and a 0.052 (3 degrees) glideslope approach,
- (4) takeoff with cutback and a two-segment 0.105/0.052 rad (6/3 degrees) glideslope approach.

For maximum weight takeoff with cutback operation, the FAR Part 36 procedures were used with a 0° flap setting and 6 percent aircraft overspeed. For the typical mission takeoff with cutback operation, the procedure proposed by the Aircraft Transport Association (ATA) was applied. That procedure consists of a liftoff at  $V_2$  + 10 at 0° flap setting and 6 percent overspeed; a climb to 492 m (1500 ft); a cutback with thrust set at 5 m/s (1000 F/M), maintaining  $V_2$  + 10 and retaining takeoff flap setting; continued climb at 984 m (3000 ft) with maximum climb power set and accelerating to 128.6 m/s (250 kt); and finally proceeding on a normal enroute climb.

The representative 90 and 95 EPNdB noise contours shown in figures 36 through 39, compare the DC-9, Series 30, equipped with JT8D-9 engines and hardwall nacelles with the DC-9 Refan for two aircraft takeoff and landing gross weights and four different flight paths. The first case is for the





80

essi....



210 M J .- 4

FIGURE 36. 90-EPNdB NOISE CONTOURS FOR HARDWALL AND REFAN DC-9-30 AIRCRAFT FOR MAXIMUM TAKEOFF AND LANDING GROSS WEIGHTS

81

\*\*\*

and the day





Ì

REFAN · HARDWALL 30**-r**10 AREA WITHIN ိမ္ 15-- 5 AIRCRAFT CONTOUR, <u>و</u>" sq mi (sq km) × 0+0 × HARDWALL 11.2 (29.0) REFAN 7.4 (19.2) 30-L10 **3-DEGREE APPROACH - TAKEOFF WITHOUT CUTBACK** HARDWALL REFAN 30 - 10 AREA WITHIN AIRCRAFT °⊇ 15+5 CONTOUR, × 10<sup>3</sup> sq mi (sq. km) DISTANCE FROM RUNWAY, CENTERLINE × 0 • 0 0 15 HARDWALL 4.7 (12.2) -5 <sup>ja</sup> REFAN 3.8 (9.8) 30-L<sub>10</sub> 3-DEGREE APPROACH - TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK HARDWALL REFAN 30 -10 AREA WITHIN °₽ 15-× AIRCRAFT CONTOUR, •5 . چ\_ە. sq mi (sq km) Heters 0.4 0 HARDWALL 11,0 (28.5) -5 🦉 7,3 (18.9) REFAN 30 **L** 10 TWO-SEGMENT APPROACH -- TAKEOFF WITHOUT CUTBACK REFAN. HARDWALL 30**-r**10 AREA WITHIN °⊇ 15--5 AIRCRAFT CONTOUR, meters x 1 feet x 10<sup>3</sup> 0+0 sq.mi (sq.km) HARDWALL 4.6 (11.9) REFAN 3.6 (9.3) 30-10 TWO-SEGMENT APPROACH - TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK feet feet

i

「「「「「「「「」」」をいいていたいです。

Ċ

 $O_{F}$ 

ÖĽ



FIGURE 38. 90-EPNdB NOISE CONTOURS FOF HARDWALL AND REFAN DC-9-30 AIRCRAFT FOR TYPICAL MISSION OPERATION





FAR Part 36 operational requirements of maximum takeoff and landing gross weights, 48,988 kg (108,000 lb) and 44,906 kg (99,000 lb), respectively.

The second case is for a typical mission comprising an intermediate stop between two 375-nautical-mile stage lengths where the airplane is not fueled at the intermediate stop. The landing gross weight, at the intermediate stop, for the Refan airplane is 40,550 kg (89,400 lb) and the takeoff gross weight is 40,425 kg (89,210 lb). For the typical mission hardwall airplane, the landing gross weight is 39,464 kg (87,000 lb) and the takeoff gross weight 39,332 kg (86,710 lb). The larger weights for the Refan airplane reflect the different operating empty weights and trip fuel required for the two airplanes.

The contours generated using the FAR Part 36 operational requirements (maximum gross weights) represent the maximum noise exposure levels that would occur around an airport. The typical mission contours, however, are more representative of the landing and takeoff noise levels that might occur during daily airline operations at the intermediate stop between two 375-nautical-mile stage lengths.

The contour areas are summarized in table 16 for both the maximum gross weight and the typical mission operations. The Refan engine on the DC-9 reduces the 90 EPNdB contour area, for takeoff with and without cutback, by 40 percent for the maximum-gross-weight airplane and 19 percent for takeoff with cutback and 34 percent for takeoff without cutback for the typical mission airplane. The 95 EPNdB contour area is reduced about 50 percent for takeoff without cutback for both the maximum-gross-weight and the typical mission airplanes. For takeoff with cutback, the 95 EPNdB contour area is reduced by 30 percent for both the maximum-gross-weight and typical mission airplanes. The two segment approach provides very little reduction in contour area for either the 90 or the 95 EPNdB contours.

OF OF

# TABLE 16 CONTOUR AREA SUMMARY

•

	AREA, SQUARE MILES (sq km)							
MAXIMUM GROSS WEIGHT CONFIGURATION	DC-9 PRO	DUCTION	DC-9 REFAN					
FLIGHT CONDITION	90 EPNdB	95 EPNdB	90 EPNdB	95 EPNd8				
TAKEOFF - 3-DEGREE APPROACH	15.3 (39.6)	6.9 (17.9)	9.3 (24.1)	3.4 (8.8)				
TAKEOFF/CUTBACK - 3-DEGREE APPROACH	8.6 (22.3)	4.2 (10.9)	5.0 (13.0)	2.8 (7.3)				
TAKEOFF - 2-SEGMENT APPROACH	15.0 (38.9)	6.8 (17.6)	9.2 (23.8)	3.4 (8.8)				
TAKEOFF/CUTBACK - 2-SEGMENT APPROACH	8.3 (21.5)	4.2 (10.9)	4.9 (12.7)	2.8 (7.3)				

	AREA, SQUARE MILES (sq km)							
TYPICAL MISSION CONFIGURATION	DC-9 PRO	DUCTION	DC-9 REFAN					
FLIGHT CONDITION	90 EPNdB	95 EPNd8	90 EPNdB	95 EPNdB				
TAKEOFF - 3-DEGREE APPROACH	11.2 (29.0)	5.2 (13.5)	7.4 (19.2)	2.7 (7.0)				
TAKEOFF/CUTBACK - 3.DEGREE APPROACH	4.7 (12.2)	3.0 (7.8)	3.8 (9.8)	2.1 (5.4)				
TAKEOFF – 2-SEGMENT APPROACH	11.0 (28.5)	5.2 (13.5)	7.3 (18.9)	2.7 (7.0)				
TAKEOFF/CUTBACK - 2-SEGMENT APPROACH	4.6 (11.9)	3.0 (7.8)	3.6 (9.3)	2.1 (5.4)				

Ż

. . . .

### GROUND EFFECTS

All aircraft noise measurements are significantly affected by the presence of reflecting surfaces near the microphones. Even in the absence of man-made reflecting surfaces such as near-by buildings, flyover-noise measurements are affected by reflections from the ground. The test microphones therefore never sense free-field sound but always receive a sound wave resulting from superposition of a direct sound wave from the airplane and a reflected sound wave from the ground. The combined signal is either stronger or weaker than the direct (free-field) signal, depending upon the relative strengths and phase differences between the direct and the reflected waves. The strengths and phase difference depend on the physical characteristics of the reflecting ground, the altitudes of aircraft and microphone, the angular position of the airplane with respect to the microphone, and the frequency of the sound.

Flyover-noise measurements are ordinarily made with microphones at a height of 1.2 m ( 4 feet) above the ground. For typical flyovernoise test conditions, ground reflections cause large peaks and valleys in the measured sound spectra below a frequency of roughly 1000 Hz. The peaks and valleys may be eliminated by mounting the microphones at the level of the ground plane over a nearly perfect reflecting surface. For all frequencies, the signal received by the microphone is then 6 dB higher than the free-field value at least in theory. A second method of eliminating large peaks and valleys in the spectra is to locate the microphones at some distance above the ground plane. If a microphone is many wavelengths above the ground, any large peaks and valleys in the spectra caused by ground reflections will be shifted to very low frequencies. However, unless the microphone height is comparable to the height of the airplane (an unrealistic condition), the microphone will still not measure free-field sound but will measure a signal about 3 dB higher than the free-field signal for all frequencies.

For the DC-9 Refan tests both "flush-mounted" microphones and microphones pole-mounted at a height of 10 m (33 feet) were used in order to minimize ground-reflection effects and to supplement the measurements of the numerous microphones at a height of 1.2m (4 feet). For some of the flights, at the measurement location all three microphones were used. In order to interpret the measured results for the three different microphones, a typical flyover (flight 20, run 39) was studied in some detail. A 1.2m (4 ft) microphone (Mic 6), two 10m (33 ft) microphones (Mic 4P and Mic 5P), and a flushmounted microphone (Mic 7F) were located within about 60m (200 feet) of each other. Standard ground-reflection theory (e.g., reference 7) was used to make calculations of the expected changes in sound spectra, relative to the free-field spectra, for each microphone. A perfect reflecting ground surface was assumed. The results are functions of the microphone height, the distance of the airplane from the microphone, and the angular position of the airplane with respect to the microphone (figure 40). The computer spectral changes due to ground reflection are shown in figure 41. The aircraft location relative to each of the microphones is approximately that corresponding to maximum perceived noise level. It can be seen from the figure that large excursions in SPL are expected to occur for the 1.2m (4 ft) microphone because of ground reflections, whereas much smaller excursions in SPL are expected for the 10m

C







FIGURE 41. CALCULATED SPECTRAL CHANGES TO FREE-FIELD FLYOVER NOISE

(33 ft) microphones. Furthermore, for frequencies above about 1000 Hz, both the 1.2 m (4 ft) microphone and the 10 m (33 ft) microphones should indicate SPLs about 3 dB above the free-field value. The calculations for the "flush mounted" microphone were actually done for a microphone height of 0.6 cm (0.02 ft). That height was used because the flush-mounted microphones were actually microphones of 1.25 cm (0.5 in) diameter taped on their sides in the center of a plywood board. Thus, the center of the microphone diaphragm was about 0.6 cm (0.02 ft) above the surface of the board. It can be seen from figure 41 that even such small height has an effect on the measured T2L at high frequencies.

The curves in figure 41 can be used to calculate the differences in SPL's measured with the flush microphone, the 1.2 m (4 ft) microphones, and the 10 m (33 ft) microphones. Furthermore, if the measured spectrum from the flush microphone is used, the spectra from 1.2 m (4 ft) microphone and 10 m (33 ft) microphones can be calculated. The results of such calculations, together with the measured spectra, are shown in figures 42 and 43. It can be seen that the calculated spectra and the measured spectra agree fairly well for both the 1.2 m (4 ft) microphone and one of the 10 m (33 ft) microphones (Mic 5 P). However, the measured and the calculated spectra for the other 10 m (33 ft) microphone (Mic 4P) do not agree well. Furthermore, the measured spectrum from microphone 4P does not coincide with the measured spectrum from microphone 6 (see figure 43) at high frequencies, although it would be expected to do so.

Calculations were also made to compute the spectrum for a 1.2 m (4 ft) microphone oriented in such a way that the airplane was flying toward the microphone at a shallow angle,  $\beta$ , with respect to the microphone (about 25°). A comparison of measured and calculated spectra (figure 44) shows that for that shallow-angle case the agreement between the calculated and measured spectra is poor. The poor agreement may be due to the fact that the assumption of a perfectly reflecting surface is not valid as  $\beta$  becomes small. It should be noted, however, that the measured spectrum from microphone 5P is consistent with the spectrum from microphone 6 in that the two spectra coincide at high frequencies, as they should.

0

0

Comparisons of 1.2 m (4 ft) microphone and 10 m (33 ft) microphone spectra were also made for a takeoff flyover (flight 16, Run 9). Figure 45 shows the measured spectra obtained from one 1.2 m (4 ft) microphone (Mic 1) and two 10 m (33 ft) microphones (Mic 2P and Mic 3P). Again, one of the spectra measured with a 10 m (33 ft) microphone (Mic 3P) agrees well with the spectrum measured with the 1.2 (4 ft) microphone in the high-frequency range and the other spectrum, measured with Mic 3P, does not agree well.

Since the peaks and valleys discussed above are not associated with the noise source, they are classified as pseudotones. The Douglas flyover-noise analysis computer program provides as an output the designated tone corrections, by frequency and amplitude, that were determined by the procedures specified in Appendix B of FAR Part 36. The tone corrections that are identified as pseudotones should not be applied to the PNL values to obtain PNLT.

**Reference** Appendix D, table D-4 is a summary of those tone corrections that were considered as pseudotones in the determination of the FAR Part 36



LANDING APPROACH FLIGHT 20, RUN 39

TIME OF MAXIMUM PNL

90

OI OI







FIGURE 44. COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND CALCULATED FLYOVER-NOISE SPECTRA FOR LOW  $\beta$  ANGLE



FIGURE 45. MEASURED TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE SPECTRA AT TIME OF MAXIMUM PNL

noise levels. The tone corrections associated with the airport community noise data are included in the computational listings of table D-1. Pseudo-tone corrections were removed from the reference EPNL values listed.

The sound spectra from microphones measuring flyover-noise are subject to ground-reflection effects. For the typical flyover-noise measurement height of 1.2 m (4 ft), ground-reflections caused large peaks and valleys in the sound spectra below a frequency of 1000 Hz. Mounting the microphone flush with the ground plane eliminated the peaks and valleys, however, the signal received by the microphone was 6 dB higher than the free-field value for that location. In order to minimize ground-reflection effects several microphones were pole-mounted at a height of 10 m (33 ft).

Sound spectra from the 1.2 m (4 ft) and the 10 m (33 ft) showed good agreement with theory and when compared. The large peaks and valleys were eliminated from the 10 m (33 ft) measured spectra, but not completely. Ground-reflection effects were still present in the low (<80 Hz) frequencies.

Or Of

## ATMOSPHERIC TURBULENCE EFFECTS

The attenuation in excess of spherical spreading losses and classical and molecular absorption of a sound wave propagating from an elevated source to the ground has been attributed mainly to the effects of turbulence in the atmosphere. The theory of sound attenuation in the free atmosphere was studied by DeLoach (reference 8), who paid particular attention to the effects of atmospheric turbulence on the transmission of sound. His findings were: Although there are other mechanisms, the scattering of sound by turbulent density and momentum fluctuations is a major cause of the excess attenuation for the case of air-to-ground propagation. (2) Failure to correct for the excess attenuation contributes substantially to the relatively large standard deviation that usually characterizes outdoor sound propagation measurements. (3) The frequency dependence of the excess attenuation lies between a squarelaw dependence and a cube-root dependence. For a homogeneous isotropic medium, the excess attenuation depends on the square of the frequency, but for a medium with more irregular outer scale the frequency dependence is much weaker. In such a medium the frequency (f) dependence is very nearly  $f^{1}/3$ when the outer scale is large compared with half an acoustic wave length. (4) The reported non-linear altitude dependence of the excess attenuation is attributed to the decrease in atmospheric turbulence intensity with increasing altitude.

Normally, only mean values of meteorological parameters are recorded for outdoor acoustical measurements. But recently MacCready et al (reference 9) have advanced the concept of the universal turbulence measurement toward operational status. A simple system called Universal Indicated Turbulence System (UITS) gives an output reading R, which is a quantitative measure of turbulence intensity that is unaffected by the characteristics or speed of the aircraft on which it is mounted. To accomplish a selective measurement, the UITS utilizes a high-frequency dynamic sensor.

During the DC-9 Refan flyover-noise tests, the turbulence in the atmosphere was measured by using the UITS. Such measurements provided data from which to investigate the effect on sound propagation of excess attenuation due to atmospheric turbulence.

Actual measurements of the atmospheric turbulence are classified according to the value of R, which is defined to be

 $R = (\rho \epsilon / \rho_c)^{1/3} , m^{2/3} / \sec$   $\epsilon = \text{dissipation rate} \simeq \nu \left(\frac{\partial u'}{\partial \chi}\right)^2, m^2 / \sec^3$   $\rho = \text{density of the air, kg/m}^3$   $\rho_o = \text{sea-level density of air, kg/m}^3$   $\nu = \text{kinematic viscosity, m}^2 / \sec$ u' = fluctuating component of the wind velocity

in the direction of propagation, m/sec

where

Œ

01

(1)

The dissipation rate,  $\epsilon$ , is therefore related to the epsilonmeter value of, R. Also  $(\partial u'/\partial x)^2$  is the mean square of the rate of local change of u, and such changes are assumed to be brought about by the smallest eddies that are present in the turbulent flow field. Hence, the dissipation rate provides in effect a measure of the size of the smaller eddies, defined to be the microscale of turbulence,  $\lambda_g$  ; or  $\lambda_g$  is a measure of the dimension of eddies that at the same intensity produce the same dissipation as the turbulence considered. Another important characteristic of the structure of turbulence is the longest correlation distance between the velocities at two points of the flow field, a length designated L. It is reasonable to expect that the degree of correlation decreases with increasing distance and, that beyond a certain distance, the correlation will be practically zero. Therefore, if  $\lambda_g$  is considered to be an inner scale (size of the smaller eddies), then L may be referred to as the outer scale (size of the large eddies) of the turbulent flow field. DeLoach's basic assumption was that the scale of turbulence is quite large compared to the half-wave length of the incident sound wave. That means that in order for his results to be applicable to this study the integral scale, or outer scale of turbulence, L, is the dimension of interest. The steps that relate the dissipation rate to the outer scale of turbulence are given below.

Two Reynolds Numbers, based on the two lengths  $\lambda$   $_g$  and L, can be defined for a turbulent flow field. They are

$$R_{e\lambda} = \frac{u'\lambda_g}{v}$$
 and  $R_{eL} = \frac{u'L}{v}$  (2)

The dissipation rate  $\epsilon$  can be expressed, according to reference 10 as

$$\epsilon = 15 \nu u'^2 / \lambda_g^2, \quad \left( \frac{m^2}{\sec^3} \right)$$
(3)

or

$$\mathcal{E} = A \frac{u^{\prime 3}}{L}, (m^2/sec^3)$$
 (4)

where A is a dimensionless constant of the order of unity. Also according to reference 10,

$$R_{eL} = \frac{A}{15} R_e^2 \lambda and R_{eL} = \frac{u'L}{\nu}, \qquad (5)$$

Therefore,

$$L = \frac{\nu}{u} R_{eL} = \frac{A^{1/3}}{L^{1/3} \epsilon^{-1/3}} (\nu R_{eL})$$
(6)

and, from Equations (1) and (4),

$$L = \left(\frac{\nu R_{eL}}{R}\right)^{3/4} \frac{A^{1/4}}{(\rho_{e}/\rho_{e})^{1/4}}$$
(7)

Since A is of order unity and most of the test data are taken at an altitude less than 800m (2440 ft),  $\rho_{\rm p}$   $\simeq$   $\rho$  and thus

$$L = \left(\frac{\nu R_{eL}}{R}\right)^{3/4} , (meters)$$
 (8)

with R the reading obtained from the epsilonmeter of the UITS. Thus, to obtain the outer scale of turbulence L from the measured value of R, the Reynolds number of the turbulent flow field in the atmosphere must be known. The free atmospheric Reynolds numbers are large. For calculation purposes a typical value of  $R_{eL} = 3.85 \times 10^5$  (reference 11) will be used. Therefore, with the known kinematic viscosity of the atmosphere, the outer scale of turbulence L can be obtained from the measured values of R.

The excess attenuation due to turbulence,  $a_{
m s}$ , is given in reference 8 as

$$a_{s} = \frac{0.455 \left(\frac{C_{V}}{c^{2}}^{2} + .136 - \frac{C_{T}}{T^{2}}\right) k^{1/3}}{\left(\frac{\pi}{kL} + \sin - \frac{\theta c}{2}\right)^{5/3}}, \frac{nepers/304.8m}{(nepers/1000 \text{ ft})}$$
(9)

The structure constants  $C_V^2$  and  $C_T^2$  are given in reference 7. They are

$$C_V^2 = b^2 u_{\star}^2 / (\kappa r)^{2/3} , \left(\frac{m^{4/3}}{\sec^2}\right)$$
 (10)

and

ないないというと

Oj Oj

$$c_{T}^{2} = \frac{a^{2} \kappa^{4/3} T_{\star}^{2}}{r^{2/3}}, \left(\frac{c_{T}^{0}}{m^{1/3}}\right)^{2}$$
 (11)

where

- $C_T$  = temperature-structure constant, °C/m<sup>1/3</sup>
- $C_V = wind-structure constant, m^{2/3}/sec$
- c = speed of sound, m/sec
- k = acoustic wave number, 1/m
- K = von Karman constant
- T = temperature, °C
- $\theta_c$  = difference between true scattering angle and the Bragg scattering angle (ranging from 0.4 to 0.6)
- u<sub>\*</sub> = friction velocity, typically 0.4 m/sec (reference 8)
- T<sub>\*</sub> = temperature constant, ranging from 0.1°C in winter to 0.5°C in summer.

Also from reference 8 are the numerical values for the constants K, the von Karman constant = 0.4, and the empirical constants a and b whose numerical values are 2.40 and 1.40, respectively.

The accumulated excess attenuation  $(A_S)$  over the path traveled by the sound waves is obtained by integrating equation (9) over the propagation path.

$$A_{\rm S} = \int_{h_1}^{h_2} a_{\rm S}({\bf r}) d{\bf r}$$
 (12)

$$= \frac{21.4 \left(\frac{u_{\star}^{2}}{c^{2}} + 0.0693 - \frac{T_{\star}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right) k^{1/3} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1/3 & 1/3 \\ h_{2} & -h_{1} \end{array}\right)}{\left(\frac{\pi}{kL} + \sin \frac{\theta_{c}}{2}\right)^{5/3}}, dB$$

Note that in the above equation an average value of L is used for altitudes between  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ .

Reference 9 classified turbulence in the atmosphere as negligible, light, moderate, heavy, or extreme according to epsilonmeter (R) readings. Two typical cases, with turbulence classified as light in one case  $(0.2 \le R \le 0.8)$  and moderate  $(1.2 \le R \le 4.0)$  in the other were chosen for analysis (figures 46 and 47 and table 17). Both cases have approximately the same gradient of wind speed with altitude.

From Equation (7), L varies from 41.75 m to 119 m (137 ft to 390 ft) for Case 1 and from 19 m to 31 m (62 ft to 100 ft) for Case 2. It is found from Equation (9) that the excess attenuation rate  $a_s$  varies directly with L up to a limiting value of L. If the turbulence scale L or the size of eddy is quite large compared to the incident wave length, then the scattering of the incident wave has no meaning or validity, which can be seen from Equation (9), where the upper limit of validity of L is given by

$$\frac{\pi}{kL} = \sin \frac{\theta_c}{2}$$

$$L = \frac{\pi/k}{\sin \frac{\theta_c}{2}}$$

or

Figure 48 shows the variations of cumulative excess attenuation  $A_s$  decibels as a function of frequency, for both the light dissipation and moderate dissipation. The dashed curves refer to the case where the epsilonmeter reading R was first related to L, which was then used in Equation (10), according to DeLoach, to calculate  $A_s$ . The same figure shows the results calculated by DeLoach for two values of L and two altitudes. For the lightturbulence case (larger L) the attenuation is greater than for the moderateturbulence case (smaller L). To illustrate, at 2500 Hz the case for larger L predicts an excess attenuation,  $A_s$ , of nearly 8 dB as compared to 1.45 dB for the case with the smaller turbulence scale L.

The value of R is a measure of the dissipation rate  $\epsilon$  in the turbulent flow field. It is also stated by Hinze (reference 10) that the proper scale of turbulence associated with the dissipation rate should be the microscale or dissipation scale,  $\lambda$  g, Furthermore, the scale  $\lambda$ g is approximately of the same order of magnitude as the incident wave length of the sound wave. But, it cannot be used directly in conjunction with the theoretical analysis of DeLoach, since by assumption his results are good for a much larger scale of turbulence than the acoustic half-wavelength.



FIGURE 46. SOUND PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS - MODERATE TURBULENCE R



FIGURE 47. SOUND PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS - LIGHT TURBULENCE R

## TABLE 17 SUMMARY OF DISSIPATION R LEVELS $\theta_{C} = 0.5 \text{ DEG}$

#### CASE NO. 1: LIGHT DISSIPATION

#### CASE NO. 2: MODERATE DISSIPATION

AL1	TITUDE	R	L	TEMP		
FEET	METERS	cm <sup>2/3</sup> /SEC	METERS	°F	°c	
2600	793	0.8	41.75	41.0	5.5	
2000	610	0.4	69.25	43.0	6.5	
1360	415	0.2	119.00	55.0	7.0	
700	214	0.4	69.25	46.5	9.0	

ALT	ITUDE	R	L	ТЕМР			
FEET	METERS	cm <sup>2/3</sup> /SEC	METERS	°F	°c		
2600	793	2.0	20.90	47.5	9.5		
2300	703	4.0	12.45	49.0	10.0		
1700	520	1.6	24.85	52.0	11.5		
1300	397	1.2	31.25	53.5	12.5		
700	214	2.2	19.30	56.0	14.0		
300	91.5	2.2	19.30	57.0	14.5		
0	0	0	. oc	57,5	14.7		

	FREQUENCY - Hz								
1	750	1000	1500	2500					
۹ <sub>s</sub>	1,12	2.32	4.40	8.56					

	FREQUENCY Hz								
	750	1000	1500	2500					
A <sub>s</sub>	0.167	0.290	0.604	1.448					





 $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{i}}$ 

Ø,

## NOISE SOURCE LEVELS AND ENGINE/NACELLE ACOUSTICAL CHARACTERISTICS

This section of the report describes the noise source levels, static-toflight predictions and engine/nacelle acoustical characteristics of the DC-9/ JT8D-109 Refan aircraft. A description is provided of the noise source separation and prediction procedures used to identify, isolate, and predict jet, **core**, fan inlet, fan exhaust and turbine noise levels, spectra and directivity from ground static and flyover noise data. The flyover noise data were from approach and takeoff without cutback tests. The approach tests used a 0.052 rad (3 degree) **glide slope** and 0.873 rad (50 degree) flap setting, and had a minimum slant range distance of 237 m (776 feet). The full thrust takeoff tests had a minimum slant range distance of 313 m (1026 ft). The data from these tests used 10 m (33 foot) high pole microphones and flush mounted ground microphones to minimize ground reflection problems. Evaluation of inlet and tailpipe treatment effectiveness, flight effects on jet and core noise, and engine installation effects on turbomachinery noise are also included.

### Noise Source Separation Procedures

<u>Jet and core noise - static data</u>. - Since core engine noise (core noise) has been described under different terminology reflecting different opinions about the nature of one or more source mechanisms of core noise, it was necessary to first establish a definition for core noise as it applied to the analysis presented here. Core noise will be used in this report to denote the total contribution of all the internal (core engine) noise sources including:

- unsteady pressures accompanying combustion in the components of the burner section of an engine
- (2) velocity and temperature fluctuations generated within the burner components and interacting with rotors and stators of the turbine stages
- (3) noise generated at the exhaust struts down stream of the last turbine stage due to the turbulence and/or swirl in the exhaust flow
- (\*) noise generated at the nozzle lip due to the fluctuating forces imposed on the medium surrounding the nozzle.

High frequency turbine noise related to turbine blade passage is not included in the definition of core noise but rather as a separate turbomachinery noise component. Low frequency (50 to 1000 Hz) core noise is the difference between the total noise level and the assumed level of the pure jet noise produced by the jet exhaust external to the engine (see figure 49). The high frequency (1250 to 10 000 Hz) portion of the core noise spectra was determined using an assumed "roll-off" rate based on inspection of measured data at each far-field angle. Roll-off rates were found to vary from 4 to 6 dB per octave depending on inlet angle.

Core noise engine correlating parameters: Core noise levels have been correlated with various engine operating parameters by various investigators. References 12 and 13 showed measured core noise overall sound pressure levels (OASPLs) to increase with primary jet velocity. References 14, 15 and 16 correlated core noise OASPLs with the following engine internal parameters:

- (1) turbine pressure ratio
- (2) compressor overall pressure ratio
- (3) turbine inlet temperature
- (4) temperature rise across the combustor

Since all four of these core noise engine correlating parameters are directly proportional to primary jet velocity (figure 50), primary jet velocity was selected for use in correlating core noise OASPLs for the study presented here.

# PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}  

 $\mathbf{O}_{2}$ 

-OI



FIGURE 49. DEFINITION OF CORE NOISE

OF OI



# FIGURE 50. JT8D-109 CORE ENGINE NOISE CORRELATING PARAMETERS

Jet noise spectra and levels: Initially, a proposed SAE A-21 jet-noiseprediction procedure (unpublished document prepared by the Jet Noise Subcommittee of the SAE A-21 Committee, October 1973) was considered for use in predicting static jet noise. However, the levels and spectra of the jet noise estimated by the proposed SAE procedure differed significantly from measured data from the JT8D-9 and two JT8D-109 engines at high power settings, where jet noise dominated. A new jet-noise-prediction procedure described below was therefore developed based on ground-static data. Figures 51 and 52 present OASPL/jet-velocity correlations and normalized measured spectra of jet plus core noise at 45.7 m (150 foot) radius and 2.1 rad (120 degrees) for the baseline and Refan engines. The spectral plots in figure 52 were normalized in terms of 1/3-octave band sound pressure levels (SPLs) relative to OASPLs, and Strouhal numbers that were modified by the temperature factor  $[(T_j/T_o)\cdot^{26}]$  as suggested in the proposed SAE procedure. The OASPL/jet-velocity correlation at 2.1 rad (120 degrees) showed jet plus core noise OASPLs followed a  $V^{6.7}$ power law for primary jet velocities greater than 305 m/sec (1000 ft/sec). For velocities greater than 305 m/sec (1000 fps), the normalized spectra for all three engines collapsed, indicating low frequency noise levels and spectra were controlled by jet noise. Jet noise levels at low jet velocities were established by extrapolating the  $V^{6.7}$  correlation to lower jet velocities (figure 51). Subsequent analysis using a spectral method described later produced similar results for jet velocities less than 305 m/sec (1000 ft/sec). The resulting normalized jet noise spectra at 2.1 rad (120 degrees) from the inlet were nearly the same for the baseline and Refan engines, as anticipated (figure 53). Spectra at other angles were also nearly the same for both engines.

Correlations for static free-field jet-noise OASPLs for the JT8D-9 and JT8D-109 engines are presented in table 18, for inlet angles of 0.87 rad (50 degrees) to 2.62 rad (150 degrees). Figure 54 shows the "average" normalized static jet noise spectra as a function of far-field engine inlet angle for the JT8D-109 Refam engine.

Core noise spectra and levels: Since jet plus core OASPLs deviated from  $V^{6.7}$ for jet velocities below 305 m/sec (1000 ft/sec), figure 51, and since the normalized jet plus core spectra indicated a progressive "shifting" from the jet noise spectrum for decreasing jet velocities for velocities below 305 m/sec (1000 ft/sec), it was hypothesized that the amounts of "shift" of the jet plus core spectra were determined by the relative levels of the jet and core noise (figure 55). At high power settings measured spectra were controlled by jet noise, at mid power settings spectra were controlled by jet and core noise, and at low power settings spectra were controlled by core noise. Replotting the jet plus core normalized spectra using very low engine power data from Refan engines 1 and 2 presented in figures 52b and 52c with the abscissa in the form of a different nondimensional parameter  $(fD_p/c_0)$  provided good correlation of the data, figure 56. The nondimensional parameter  $fD_p/c_0$  (where (f) is the 1/3-octave band center frequency,  $(D_p)$  is the diameter of the primary nozzle, and  $(c_0)$  is the speed of sound in ambient air) used for correlating core noise spectra was suggested in SAE A-21 jet-noise prediction procedure. Initially, the core noise spectrum was assumed to peak in the 400 Hz 1/3-octave frequency band (dashed line in figure 56). Later analysis of flyover noise data produced a core noise spectrum similar to that obtained from the ground data, but with a peak frequency higher than 400 Hz. The spectrum obtained from the flyover noise data is recommended for future static-to-flight core noise prediction (solid line on figure 56). The "first-generation" core noise spectra shapes obtained from the ground static data and used in the current analysis are presented in figure 57.

106

 $O_{\rm E}$ 

OF



FIGURE 51. CORRELATION OF STATIC JET + CORE NOISE OASPL AND PRIMARY JET VELOCITY AT 150 FEET (45.7 M) AND 120 DEGREES (2.1 RAD)

107



FIGURE 52. NORMALIZED SPECTRA OF STATICJET + CORE NOISE AT 150 FEET (45.7 METERS) AND 120 DEGREES (2.1 RAD)

 $\frac{\Theta_{1}}{\Theta_{1}}$ 

108

# TABLE 18 - JET NOISE OASPL CORRELATIONS BASED ON STATIC NOISE DATA FOR JT8D-9 AND JT8D-109 ENGINES\*

· (	DASPL jet	#	M	X	10 Log <sub>10</sub>	V <sub>jp</sub>	+	10	Log10	A p	+	Constant
-----	-----------	---	---	---	----------------------	-----------------	---	----	-------	--------	---	----------

INLET ANGLES Degrees (Radians)	50 (0.87)	60 (1.05)	70 (1.22)	80 (1.40)	90 (1.57)	100 (1.75)	110 (1.92)	120 (2.09)	130 (2.27)	140 (2.44)	150 (2.62)
М	5.65	5.75	5.85	5.95	6.00	6.30	6.25	6.67	7.40	7.60	7.73
CONSTANT	-80.8	-83.6	-86.0	-88.6	-88.6	-96.5	-92.2	-103.7	-123.4	-127.7	-131.3

\* Single Engine, 150 Foot (45.7 Meter) Radius and Free-Field

an an an the second states and and the first the second states are the first water for the second states are second as

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second



FIGURE 53. COMPARISON OF AVERAGE SPECTRA OF STATIC JET NOISE FROM BASELINE AND REFAN ENGINES AT 150 FEET (45.7 M) AND 120 DEGREES (2.1 RAD)



FIGURE 54. AVERAGE NORMALIZED SPECTRA OF STATIC JET NOISE AT 150-FOOT (45.7-M) RADIUS FOR JT8D-109 ENGINE AT DIFFERENT ANGLES RELATIVE TO THE INLET



HIGH POWER SETTINGS (JET NOISE CONTROLLED)
 MID-POWER SETTINGS (JET + CORE NOISE CONTROLLED)
 LOW POWER SETTINGS (CORE NOISE CONTROLLED)

(a) MEASURED DATA

小学にしたのないです。

OI OI CORE JET

(b) JET + CORE NOISE LEVELS FOR HIGH POWER SETTINGS









FIGURE 55. DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE JET AND CORE NOISE LEVELS FROM MEASURED DATA



FIGURE 56. NORMALIZED SPECTRA OF STATIC CORE NOISE AT 150 FEET (45.7 M) AND 120 DEGREES (2.1 RAD)

OF OF



FIGURE 57. AVERAGE NORMALIZED SPECTRA OF STATIC CORE NOISE AT 150-FOOT (45.7-M) RADIUS AT DIFFERENT ANGLES RELATIVE TO THE INLET

BAND SPL re OVERALL SPL {dB}

1

والمعادية والمعادية

0

O.
# TABLE 19 - CORE NOISE OASPL CORRELATIONS BASED ON STATIC NOISE DATA FOR THE JT8D-109 ENGINE\*

ୁ କ୍ଳ

 $OASPL_{Core} = N \times (10 \log_{10} V_{jp}) + 10 \log_{10} A_{p} + Constant$ 

INLET ANGLES Degrees (Radians)	50 (0.87)	60 (1.05)	70 (1.22)	80 (1,40)	90 (1.57)	100 (1.75)	110 (1.92)	120 (2.09)	130 (2.27)	140 (2.44)	150 (2.62)
N	1.92	1.95	2.00	2.00	230	2.70	3.20	2.75	2.75	2.70	2.75
CONSTANT	27.1	25.3	23.5	24.0	16.4	7.7	-4.8	9.0	9.3	9.0	4.5

\* Single Engine, 150 Foot (45.7 Meter) Radius and Free-Field

114

Estimated core noise OASPLs at low power settings were calculated using two methods:

subtracting the predicted jet noise OASPLs from the measured data

(2) using a spectral method (see figure 58)

1

The survey of the second second second

In the spectral method, the relative jet and core noise peak SPLs were obtained by "adjusting" the levels of jet and core noise SPL spectra calculated from figures 54 and 57a respectively, so that the sum of the contributions from the two sources approximately equaled the measured levels. The jet and core noise OASPLs were then calculated from Equations 13 and 14

$$OASPL_{Core} = 10 \ Log_{10} \left[ \frac{10^{A/10}}{[1 + 10^{\Delta/10}]} \right]$$
(13)

 $OASPL_{Jet} = OASPL_{Core} + \Delta$ (14)

where A is the measured OASPL of the total noise and  $\Delta$  is the difference between the jet and core noise peak 1/3-octave band levels, see figure 58.

Calculated values of core noise OASPLs at primary jet velocities below 305 m/sec (1000 ft/sec) using the two different methods agreed to within 1 dB, as anticipated. The resulting correlations were extrapolated to jet velocities higher than 305 m/sec (1000 ft/sec).

Correlations for static free-field core-noise OASPLs for the two JT8D-109 engines are presented in table 19, for inlet angles of 0.87 rad (50 degrees) to 2.62 rad (150 degrees). Based on limited data at low-power settings, core noise OASPL for the JT8D-9 engine was found to be 3 dB lower than the levels for the JT8D-109 engines at comparable primary jet velocities and inlet angles.

Jet and core noise - flight data. - The methodology used to identify, isolate, and predict jet and core noise levels, spectra, and directivity for the DC-9 Refan/JT8D-109 based on flyover noise data was essentially identical to that developed and used for the static noise source analyses described above.

Jet noise spectra and levels: A typical measured flyover overall jet plus core noise normalized spectra at 2.1 rad (120 degrees) from the inlet is presented in figure 59a as a function of engine power setting. Figure 59b shows the same data replotted with the term in the abscissa scale modified by the factor  $[(1+V_a/V_{jp})/(1-V_a/V_{jp})]$ . The spectra collapsed better when the abscissa was modified by the velocity-ratio factor. Average normalized jet noise spectra from figure 59b at high power settings were selected for use in estimating inflight jet noise spectra at 2.1 rad (120 degrees). Normalized inflight jet noise spectra used for other angles are presented in figure 60.

The first step in calculating inflight jet noise OASPLs assumed core noise OASPLs at 1.57 rad (90 degrees) were the same statically and in-flight for the same primary jet velocity. This assumption was believed to be valid



1/3 OCTAVE BAND CENTER FREQUENCY, Hz

FIGURE 58. SPECTRAL METHOD OF DETERMINING CORE NOISE



120 DEGREES (2.1 RAD)



FIGURE 60. AVERAGE NORMALIZED SPECTRA OF INFLIGHT JET NOISE AT 150-FOOT (45.7-M) RADIUS AT DIFFERENT ANGLES RELATIVE TO THE INLET



FIGURE 61. COMPARISON OF STATIC AND ADJUSTED FLIGHT JET + CORE OVERALL SOUND PRESSURE LEVELS AT 90 DEGREES (1.6 RAD)

118

Or OI

# TABLE 20 - JET NOISE OASPL CORRELATIONS BASED ONDC+9/JT8D-109 FLYOVER NOISE DATA\*

# $OASPL_{jet} = m \times 10 \log_{10} V_{j,rel} + 10 \log_{10} A_p + Constant$

2

INLET ANGLES Degrees (Radians)	50 ( <b>0.87</b> )	60 (1.05)	70 (1.22)	80 (1.40)	90 (1.57)	100 (1.75)	110 (1.92)	120 (2.09)	130 (2.27)	140 (2.44)	150 (2.62)
m	4.10	4.10	4,20	4.30	4.50	4.60	5.30	5.70	5.70	5.90	6.60
CONSTANT	-33.0	-30.9	-33.3	-34,4	-41.5	-43.9	-65.2	-73.7	-73.5	-80.2	-100.6

\* Single Engine, 150 Foot (45.7 Meter) Radius and Free-Field

since, for a given engine operating condition, differences in engine internal core-noise correlating parameters (see figure 50) are quite small between static and flight environments. Figure 61 highlights a comparison of the Refan engine free-field static and adjusted inflight jet plus core noise OASPLs at 1.57 rad (90 degress) from the inlet as a function of the primary jet velocity (the flyover noise data presented here were corrected for the convection effect using 10 Log<sub>10</sub> ( $1-M_aCos = e^{-1}$  and adjusted to a 45.7 m (150 foot) radius). At high primary jet velocities where jet noise is dominant, inflight OASPLs were lower than static levels as a result of jet noise relative velocity effects. For jet velocities below 213 m/sec (700 ft/sec) where core noise dominates, little or no reduction in inflight OASPLs were observed.

The second step in calculating jet noise OASPLs assumed changes in the directivity of core noise OASPLs between static and flight environments could be expressed by  $10 \text{ Log}_{10} (1-H_a \text{Cos e})^{-1}$ .

The inflight jet-noise OASPLs were calculated by subtracting the calculated inflight core-noise OASPLs from the measured inflight OASPLs. The resulting calculated jet-noise OASPLs at 120 degrees are shown in figure 62. Correlations for inflight free-field jet-noise OASPLs for the JT8D-109 engine are presented in table 20, for inlet angles of 0.87 rad (50 degrees) to 2.62 rad (150 degrees).

Core noise spectra and levels: Inflight core-noise OASPLs were obtained by correcting the static core-noise OASPL correlations described in table 19, for the convection effect due to forward motion. Normalized inflight core noise spectra were obtained using average measured flyover noise data from approach power settings. Figure 63 shows the normalized inflight core noise spectra for engine inlet angles from 1.57 to 2.6 rad (90 to 150 degrees) that were used in the analysis presented here. Frequency of peak core noise for the Refan JT3D-9 engine was shown in reference 12 to occur at 400/500 Hz, and not to vary with inlet angle.

A cursory study was made to determine if the noise levels in the 630/800 bands were from source mechanisms other than combustion noise (for example strut or obstruction noise). The frequencies at which strut/obstruction noise peaked (determined using methods outlined in references 14 and 17) were 630/800 Hz, as shown below.

	Frequency of Peak Noise Level, Hz
Predicted, (using reference 14)	800
Predicted, (using reference 17)	630
Measured (Refan flyover noise data)	630/800

These results indicated that the noise levels in the 630/800 Hz bands for low power settings may be caused by strut noise.

Őř.

OF



いったいないないないないないないないないないないないないです。

FIGURE 62. CORRELATION OF INFLIGHT JET NOISE OVERALL SOUND PRESSURE LEVELS WITH RELATIVE JET VELOCITY AT 150-FOOT (45.7-M) AND 120 DEGREES (2.09 RAD)



FIGURE 63. AVERAGE NORMALIZED SPECTRA OF INFLIGHT CORE NOISE AT 150-FOOT (45.7-M) RADIUS AT DIFFERENT ANGLES RELATIVE TO THE INLET

:

<u>Turbomachinery noise - static data</u>. - The methodology developed to identify, isolate, and predict high-frequency turbomachinery noise levels, spectra, and directivity for the DC-9/JT8D-109 Refan aircraft separated turbomachinery noise into components consisting of fan inlet, fan exhaust, and low pressure turbine noise on a 1/3-octave band level basis at a 45.7 m (150 foot) radius as a function of relevant engine cycle parameters. The method required development of computer programs to: (1) separate noise sources, (2) empirically predict levels and spectra of each noise source, and (3) extrapolate the predicted levels to flight conditions.

The static test configurations used in separating turbomachinery noise sources are described in table 21. The data from these tests were obtained using 4.9 m (16 foot) high microphones located on an arc 45.7 m (150 feet) from the engine inlet centerline. No attempt was made to remove ground-reflection effects from the data.

Removal of jet and core noise from measured data: Before turbomachinery noise sources could be separated into components, all other significant sources of noise (i.e., jet and core noise) were removed from the measured data. Low frequency noise (50 to 1000 Hz) was assumed to be jet plus core noise. A rcll-off rate for the contribution of jet plus core noise was assumed for each angular location for the 1/3-octave bands from 1000 to 10 000 Hz.

Beginning with the 10 000 Hz band and continuing to successively lower bands, the assumed jet plus core noise levels were subtracted from the total noise level, giving the total turbomachinery noise level as

 $SPL_{Turbomachinery} = 10 \ Log_{10} [10^{(SPL_{Total}/10)} - 10^{(SPL_{j/c}/10)}]$ 

Ci O The subtraction procedure continued band by band, until the assumed jet plus core noise spectrum was within one dB of the total measured noise level. The high-frequency turbomachinery noise was then extrapolated to lower frequencies at a roll-off rate consistent with fan/compressor test stand data previously obtained from engine manufacturers of 3 dB/octave.

Results from the use of this method are illustrated in figure 64 for data from Refan engine 1 (configurations A, B, and C) at angles of 0.7 and 2.1 rad (40 and 120 degrees) for a nominal engine fan speed of 5900 RPM.

Separation of data into discrete tones and broadband noise: The procedures for separating and predicting turbomachinery noise required determining the relative contributions of tones and broadband noise to a given spectra. The following criteria for separating broadband and discrete tone noise were used:

(1) The only tones considered were the fan blade passing frequency (BPF), the 2nd fan harmonic, and the BPF tones from each of the three low pressure turbine stages (harmonics of higher order than those listed were generally in frequency bands higher than 10 000 Hz and hence, were not included in the separation procedure)

### TABLE 21

ENGINE DESIGNATION NUMBER	CONF CODE	INLET	FAN CASE	FAN DUCT	TAILPIPE
1	A	UNTREATED	TREATED	TREATED	UNTREATED
1	В	TREATED	TREATED	TREATED	UNTREATED
1	C	TREATED (PLUS INLET "HUSH HOUSE")*	TREATED	TREATED	UNTREATED
2**		TREATED	TREATED	TREATED	TREATED

### JT8D-109 STATIC ENGINE TEST CONFIGURATIONS

(\*) PURPOSE OF THE INLET "HUSH HOUSE" WAS TO MINIMIZE CONTRIBUTION OF INLET NOISE RADIATED IN THE AFT QUADRANT

(\*\*) INCLUDES MODIFIED TURBINE SUPPORT FRAMES



()ī

0]

FIGURE 64. JET + CORE AND TURBOMACHINERY NOISE SEPARATION FOR REFAN ENGINE 1, CONFIGURATIONS A, B, AND C, AT A NOMINAL N $_1/\sqrt{\theta}$  OF 5900 RPM

- (2) if multiple tones occurred in the same 1/3-octave band (e.g., BPF for two or more turbine stages, or the fan 2nd harmonic and one or more turbine BPFs), they were assumed to have equal strength
- (3) the broadband turbomachinery noise spectrum was assumed to be piecewise linear with 1/3-octave band number.

The application of this method required analysis of narrow band data to determine the angles and fan speeds where each tone had sufficient strength to influence the 1/3-octave band data, and to distinguish between and separate tones from different sources (i.e., fan and turbine) which occurred in the same 1/3-octave band.

For each angle and fan speed, broadband and discrete tone levels were separated as follows: Fan and turbine BPFs and fan 2nd harmonics were calculated from the fan rotor speed and appropriate fan blade number. Each tone of significance, determined from narrow band data, was located in its proper 1/3-octave frequency band. Broadband noise for bands containing one or more tones was calculated. The mean-square pressure of the tone(s) in the bands was obtained by subtracting the mean-square broadband pressure from the total mean-square turbomachinery sound pressure:

$$P_{\text{Tone(s)}}^2/P_{\text{ref}}^2 = 10$$
 (SPL Turbomachinery/10)-10 (SPL Broadband/10)

The stotal mean-square pressure of the tone(s) was then distributed equally among the tones present in the bands and converted to an SPL by:

SPL Each Tone = 10 log<sub>10</sub>  $\left[\left(\frac{p^2}{P_{Tone}(s)}/p_{ref}^2\right)/N_{Tone}(s)\right]$ 

Examples of the separation of single and multiple tones are shown schematically in figure 65.

Separation of inlet and aft turbomachinery noise: At the time of this analysis "hush-house" data (configuration C) was available for Refan engine 1 and not for Refan engine 2. The methodologies for separating inlet and aft turbomachinery noise therefore will be presented separately for these two cases, with Refan engine 1 considered first.

The purpose of the hush-house was to minimize the contribution of inletradiated noise to the total noise measured in the far field and, hence, obtain a good indication of aft noise levels at angular locations where inlet noise would otherwise dominate or make a significant contribution to the total measured noise. Using this data in conjunction with corresponding data with no hush-house, permitted a determination of the relative contribution of inlet noise.

 $\Theta_{\rm E}$ 

OF:





SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL (dB)

.

(

(

OF OF



FIGURE 65. EXAMPLE OF THE SEPARATION OF BROADBAND NOISE AND MULTIPLE DISCRETE TONES

Since the hush-house was open in front, little or no blockage of inlet noise occurred at shallow angles. Sound pressure level directivity plots for each frequency band and fan speed indicated the effective angular range of the hush-house to be greater than 1.05 rad (60 degrees). Consequently, configuration C data were assumed to be aft dominated between 1.0 and 3.1 rad (60 and 180 degrees). For angles less than 1.05 rad (60 degrees), data were extrapolated linearly for each 1/3-octave band at a rate of 2.5 dB/0.2 rad (2.5 dB/10 degrees). This roll-off rate was based on observed trends of configuration C data above 1.05 rad (60 degrees) and represents an average for all frequencies and fan sreeds. The resulting data, after removal of jet plus core noise and after extrapolating the data to shallow angles, represented what was called "aft turbomachinery noise".

Inlet turbomachinery noise for Refan engine 1, configurations A and B, was obtained by subtracting the aft turbomachinery noise from the corresponding total turbomachinery noise for forward angles, and extrapolating the result to the aft quadrant at the rate of 2.5 dB/0.2 rad (2.5 dB/10 degrees). Results from the use of these methods are illustrated in figure 66.

Because there were no "hush-house" data for Refan engine 2 at the time of this analysis, two assumptions were made concerning the nature of aft generated turbomachinery noise for engine 2:

- (1) total turbomachinery noise is aft dominated for angles aft of 1.57 rad (90 degrees)
- (2) aft noise follows the same directivity as that of engine 1 for forward angles i.e., 2.5 dB/0.2 rad (2.5 dB/10 degrees).

The methodology presented above for engine 1 was applied to Refan engine 2 to separate inlet noise from aft noise.

Separation of fan exhaust and turbine noise: For low engine power settings where jet and fan discharge noise are significantly reduced by lower jet velocities and the existing extensive fan duct treatment, noise generated by the three stages of the low pressure turbine could in most cases be readily identified. Analysis of narrow band spectra from flush mounted microphones located on the wall of the tailpipe (see figure 67) indicated that tones were the dominant feature of turbine noise. Also, 1/3-octave band data indicated that turbine spectra shapes were almost totally controlled by the distribution of tones within the 1/3-octave bands. Small changes in engine fan speed could easily alter the spectrum shape if a corresponding shift of one or more tones into an adjacent 1/3-octave band also occurred. Hence, the turbine peak frequency dependence and spectral characteristics were attributed to tones, with turbine broadband noise considered to be of secondary importance.

Based on the results of the narrow band spectra analysis, the methodology for separating fan exhaust and turbine noise was divided into three categories depending on fan speed:

A. For low fan speeds (where turbine noise was clearly identified for all angles),

 $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ 

OF.

(

€



A STREET AND A STREET AND A STREET AS A STREET AS A STREET AS A STREET AS A STREET AS A STREET AS A STREET AS A



129



ł





0| |0| B. For mid-range fan speeds (where turbine noise was clearly identified for aft angles only), and

And a state of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

01

0

C. For high fan speeds (where turbine noise could not be clearly identified at any angle).

For category (A) fan speeds, estimates of high frequency broadband fan noise were made by examining total turbomachinery noise at high fan speeds and forward angles where turbine noise was least influential. Using this procedure, the roll-off rate of the high frequency (above fan BPF) broadband fan noise was determined to be 5 dB/octave. For frequencies above and below the highest and lowest turbine BPF's, the roll-off rate of the turbine broadband noise was assumed as 20 dB/octave. This value was based on the observed trends from category (A) data and was in agreement with the Pratt and Whitney Aircraft recommended generalized turbine spectrum for JT8D-9 engines.

An iteration procedure was developed which applied the assumed fan and turbine roll-off rates to the aft turbomachinery spectra and adjusted the levels of each noise source to produce the component noise source levels.

For category (B) fan speeds, aft turbine and fan exhaust noise levels were determined using the procedure just described. For forward angles, aft turbine noise was extrapolated using the directivity roll-off rates shown in table 22. The spectral characteristics of turbine noise at these angles were assumed to be the same as those at the shallowest inlet angle where turbine noise could be separated using category (A) procedure. Fan exhaust noise was obtained at these angles by subtracting turbine noise levels from total turbomachinery noise levels.

For category (C) fan speeds (above 6800 rpm), levels and spectra were estimated using a procedure based on an extrapolation of data from lower fan speeds. Examination of the data over a range of engine fan speeds showed peak turbine levels to gradually decrease and then level-off as fan speed increased (highest peak levels occurred at approximately 5300 rpm). The leveling-off enabled peak turbine levels for high fan speeds to be obtained from corresponding peak levels at lower power settings (typically 6800 rpm) where turbine noise was more clearly identified.

Examination of data over a range of fan speeds indicated that turbine spectrum shapes were controlled by the distribution of tones within 1/3-octave bands. At high fan speeds, three possible distributions of tones can occur to produce three generalized spectrum shapes: (1) left skewed, (2) right skewed, and (3) clustered (see figure 68). These spectrum shapes, combined with the extrapolated peak levels, established turbine noise definition for high fan speeds. Fan exhaust noise levels, spectra, and directivity for category (C) data were obtained by subtracting turbine noise levels from total turbomachinery noise levels.

Results from the use of these methods (categories A, B, and C) are illustrated in figure 69 for data from Refan engine 1 (configuration C) at angles of 1.05 and 2.4 rad (60 and 140 degrees) for a nominal engine fan speed of 5940 rpm.

<u>0</u>2

132

وبرابه والمحاجر وترات والمرات والم

# TA6LE **22**

## DIRECTIVITY "ROLL-OFF" RATES USED FOR DETERMINING TURBINE NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE DESIGNATION NUMBER	N <sub>1</sub> / ABOVE WHICH DATA WERE EXTRAPOLATED INTO INLET QUADRANT, RPM.	ANGLE BELOW WHICH DATA WERE EXTRAPOLATED INTO INLET QUADRANT	DIRECTIVITY "ROLL-OFF" RATES
1	6165	100 Degrees (1.7 Rad)	3dB/10 Deg for ≤60 θ≤100 deg (3dB/0.2 rad for≤1.0 ≤θ 1.7 rad) 2.5dB/10 Deg for θ<60 DEG (2.5dB/0.2 rad for θ<1.05 rad)
2	6397	90 Degrees (1.57 Rad)	2.5dB/10 Deg for θ<90 Deg (2.5dB/0.2 rad for θ<1.57 rad)





1/3-OCTAVE-BAND CENTER FREQUENCY, Hz

#### FIGURE 68.

ومؤتره والأمر والابتان والاردي والمردقية وتنترجهم سراوة الإخرية إنتاج المته والابري المتخلاطة الافتتان فتخر تعاانكان

FUNDAMENTAL TURBINE BPF TONE DISTRIBUTIONS AND CORRESPONDING SPECTRUM SHAPES FOR HIGH-POWER SETTINGS

02

133

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second





Source spectra correlation and normalization: In order to predict individual turbomachinery noise source levels for engine fan speeds other than those for which engine static data were taken, a procedure was developed to adjust broadband and discrete tone levels and spectra to any desired condition. The 1/3-octave band spectra for each noise source were normalized with respect to airflow rate and correlated with the relevant engine cycle parameters in table 23.

<u>Turbomachinery noise - flight data</u>. - The flyover noise data used for separating turbomachinery noise sources were adjusted and normalized to a format similar to that used to develop the turbomachinery noise separation methodology described above. This required developing techniques to:

(1) Adjust data to reference weather conditions

のないのです。ためのなりとなるので、たちの

- (2) Determine the acoustic angle from inlet at each point in the flyover
- (3) Convert SPLs measured beneath airplane flight path to 45.7 m (150 foot) polar data
- (4) Remove effects of Doppler frequency shifts.

In addition, all spectra were inspected for completeness. Incomplete spectra contain "data dropouts". These generally occurred at very high frequency bands, usually 8000 and 10 000 Hz, caused by SPLs being too close to background noise levels. In these cases, estimated values were supplied.

After the flyover data had been projected to a 45.7 m (150 foot) polar radius, the procedures previously described for the static case were used to separate, correlate, and normalize the turbomachinery noise sources. For the approach condition, a full separation of fan inlet, fan exhaust, and turbine noise was made. For takeoff, however, long distance atmospheric propagation effects on high frequency noise did not permit the separation of turbine noise from fan discharge noise. Hence, aft generated turbomachinery noise on takeoff is referred to as "exhaust turbomachinery noise" and includes all turbomachinery noise sources. These levels were determined to be considerably below the jet noise levels at a height of 313 m (1026 feet). as described later.

## TABLE 23

# NORMALIZATION FACTORS AND ENGINE CORRELATING PARAMETERS USED FOR PREDICTING TURBOMACHINERY NOISE SOURCES

TURBOMACHINERY NOISE SOURCE	NORMALIZATION FACTOR, dB	ENGINE CORRELATING PARAMETER
FAN INLET	10 LOG <sub>10</sub> (W <sub>T</sub> )*	FAN ROTOR TIP RELATIVE MACH NO.
FAN EXHAUST	10 LOG <sub>10</sub> (\ <sub>T</sub> )*	FAN ROTOR TIP RELATIVE MACH NO.
TURBINE	10 LOG <sub>10</sub> (W <sub>C</sub> )**	FAN ROTOR SPEED, RPM

(\*)  $W_T$  is the total inlet weight flow, in lbs/sec

-Ventra

(\*\*)  $W^{}_{\mbox{C}}$  is the total core weight flow, in lbs/sec

#### Prediction Procedures

<u>Core noise</u>. - Two static-to-flight effects on core noise were considered in the jet and core flyover noise prediction procedure. The first effect was an alteration of the directivity of the OASPL of core noise. The correct method of accounting for the change in directivity has not yet been established. For example, in reference 14, the term dynamic effect is given as  $40 \ \text{Log}_{10} \ (1-M_a \text{Cos e})^{-1}$  was used to model core noise as a distribution of dipoles convected with the aircraft, where  $(M_a)$  is the aircraft Mach number and (e) the angular location. In reference 18, the same effect was described as a "source correction factor" given as  $20 \ \text{Log}_{10} \ (1-M_a \text{Cos e})^{-1}$ . Results of DC-9/JT8D-9 flyover noise measurements however, indicated that a correction term expressed as  $10 \ \text{Log}_{10} \ (1-M_a \text{Cos e})^{-1}$  provided the test agreement between projected static data and flyover noise data. Consequently, this latter expression was used for the analysis presented here.

The second effect was a doppler-shift on the spectra of core noise. As the aircraft approaches, the energy shifts from low to higher frequencies-the reverse being true for the case where the aircraft recedes. However, since the doppler-shift factors would have resulted in shifts of no more than one 1/3-octave band, and would not have significantly changed any of the calculated perceived noise levels (PNLs), doppler shift effects were not included in this analysis.

<u>Jet noise</u>. - Three static-to-flight effects on jet noise were considered. These effects were (1) the alteration of the directivity due to convection, (2) the reduction of OASPL due to relative velocity, and (3) the change in the spectral distribution of sound pressure level.

First, the effect of convection on the directivity of jet noise OASPL was given in reference 19 as

$$C = 10 \ \log_{10} \left[ \frac{\left[1 - M_c \cos(180 - \theta)\right]^2 + 0.09 \ M_c^2}{\left[1 - M_r \cos(180 - \theta)\right]^2 + 0.09 \ M_r^2} + 10 \ \log_{10} (1 - M_a \cos \theta)^{-1} (15a)$$

where

State States

0) 101

$$M_{c} = 0.65 (V_{in}/c_{o})$$
(15b)

and

$$M_{r} = 0.65 [(V_{ip} - V_{a})/c_{o}]$$
(15c)

C is the change in OASPL between static and flight conditions,  $\Theta$  is the farfield angle relative to the inlet direction,  $M_{\rm C}$  and  $M_{\rm T}$  are the eddy Mach numbers in the jet corresponding to the static and flight cases,  $M_{\rm a}$  is the aircraft Mach number,  $V_{\rm jp}$  and  $V_{\rm a}$  are the primary jet and aircraft velocities, respectively, and  $c_{\rm O}$  is the speed of sound in ambient air.

The first term in Equation 15 was purported to account for changes in sound radiation patterns due to differences in the convection of the eddies within the jet exhaust. The second term was attributed to the changes in the distribution of noise sources in the acoustic volume as the jet exhaust convected with the aircraft. When the OASPL directivity correction term C was applied to JT8D-9 ground static data, the directivity of the predicted jet noise OASPL did not agree with comparable DC-9/JT8D-9 flyover noise (measured with 4 foot pole microphones). But, when only the second term (i.e. the volume - convection term) was used for C, fairly good agreement for the directivity was obtained and therefore, only the second term was used for C in the current procedures. However, subsequent analysis using JT8D-109 ground static noise and DC-9/JT8D-109 flyover noise data measured with flush ground microphones indicated that both the first and the second term in C in Equation 15 should be used to correct the OASPL directivity. The discrepancy between the two results can be attributed to the DC-9/JT8D-9 flyover noise data which was measured with 4 foot pole microphones, and hence, producing low frequency levels masked by ground reflections.

Second, the relative velocity effect on jet noise OASPL is still not fully understood. For example, references 19 and 20 showed model test results indicating that forward motion reduced jet noise at 1.57 rad (90 degrees) from the inlet (1.57 rad (90 degrees) was selected to avoid confusion with convection effect). Reference 21, however, stated that there was no reduction of inflight jet noise at 1.57 rad (90 degrees). Table 24 lists the empirical and theoretical correlating parameters for relative velocity effects suggested in references 19, 20 and 22. The empirical correlation for relative velocity effects in reference 20 agreed best with results from measured DC-9/JT8D-9 flyover noise data and, therefore, was used for the analysis presented here.

The total change in jet-noise OASPL between static and flight conditions, produced by the convection and relative velocity effects, is given by Equation 16

 $\Delta OASPL = OASPL_{static} - OASPL_{flight}$ 

6

$$= 10 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{\left[1 - M_{c} \cos (180 - e)\right]^{2} + 0.09 M_{c}^{2}}{\left[1 - M_{r} \cos e (180 - e)\right]^{2} + 0.09 M_{r}^{2}} \right]^{-1.9}$$

$$+ 10 \log_{10} (1 - M_{a} \cos e)^{-1}$$

$$+ 10 (11 - 2) \log_{10} (V_{jp}/V_{j,rel})$$
(16)

where M is the slope of the measured static jet-noise OASPL versus jet velocity correlations described in table 18, as function of inlet angle.

138

Oi Oi The third effect considered is the shift of jet noise spectrum due to changes in the spectral distribution of sound pressures in flight. In 1965, the SAE jet noise prediction procedure (ref. 23) recognized that forward motion shifts a jet noise spectrum to higher frequencies at all farfield angles. The apparent shift of inflight jet noise spectrum is thought to be due to: (1) moving source (i.e., doppler) effects, and (2) source alteration effects. As discussed previously, analyses were not made to incorporate doppler-shift effects because these effects on PNL were small. Source-alteration effect on jet noise spectra are illustrated in figure 70.

A characteristic frequency, f, radiated by a jet eddy in flight is higher than the corresponding frequency, f\*, radiated by the same eddy within the same jet statically. The change in characteristic frequency is due to changes in the characteristic length scale of the eddy in flight as compared to the static case. The length scale change is proportional to the ratio of the typical mixing-layer thicknesses. This ratio has been found to vary according to the relation (private communication with Professor Lauffer of the University of Southern California).

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta^*} = \frac{(1 - V_a / V_{jp})}{(1 + V_a / V_{jp})}$$
(17a)

where  $\delta$  and  $\delta^*$  are the typical mixing-layer thicknesses of the moving and stationary jets respectively,  $V_a$  is the free stream or flight velocity, and  $V_{jp}$  is the primary jet velocity. Assuming (ref. 24) that a typical frequency radiated by an eddy is proportional to jet velocity and inversely proportional to eddy size or characteristic length scale, which is proportional to mixing layer thickness, then the ratio of typical frequencies f and f\* radiated by similar noise-producing eddies in a moving and a stationary jets, can be expressed as

$$\frac{\mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{f}\star} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{V}_{jp} - \mathbf{V}_{a}}{\mathbf{V}_{jp}}\right) \left(\frac{\mathbf{\delta}\star}{\mathbf{\delta}}\right) = \left(1 + \mathbf{V}_{a} / \mathbf{V}_{jp}\right)$$
(17b)

Consequently, the ratio of Strouhal numbers,  $\begin{bmatrix} fD_p/(V_{jp}-V_a) \\ f*D_p/V_{jp} \end{bmatrix}$ , corresponding to typical frequencies f and f\*, is expressed as

$$\frac{fD_{p}/(V_{jp} - V_{a})}{f^{*}D_{p}/V_{jp}} = \frac{1 + V_{a}/V_{jp}}{1 - V_{a}/V_{jp}}$$
(17c)

where  $D_{D}$  is the primary nozzle diameter.

139

CATE Ser and

The second property of the line of the second second

OF OF

# TABLE 24 - SUGGESTED CORRELATING PARAMETER FOR JET NOISE OASPL RELATIVE VELOCITY EFFECTS AT 90 DEGREES FROM THE INLET

AUTHOR	REFERENCE	PARAMETER*
COCKING AND BRYCE	19	10(M-3.1) Log <sub>10</sub> (V <sub>jp</sub> /V <sub>j,rel</sub> )
VON GLAHN	20	10(M-2) Log <sub>10</sub> (V <sub>jp</sub> /V <sub>j,rel</sub> )
FFOWCS WILLIAMS	22	10(M-1) Log <sub>10</sub> (V <sub>jp</sub> /V <sub>j,rel</sub> )

\* M = Slope of Static Jet Noise OASPL Versus Jet Velocity Correlation; M is approximately 8 for the above cases.

V<sub>j,p</sub> = primary jet velocity.

 $V_{j,rel}$  = primary jet velocity relative to the speed of the aircraft ( $V_{j,p} - V_a$ ).

0.2

140



#### 1/3 OCTAVE BAND CENTER FREQUENCY, Hz

FIGURE 70. SHIFTING OF THE STATIC JET NOISE SPECTRUM AT 90 DEGREES (1.6 RAD) AS A RESULT OF INFLIGHT SOURCE-ALTERATION EFFECTS



FIGURE 71. NORMALIZED SPECTRA FOR PREDICTING INFLIGHT JET NOISE AT 150 FEET (45.7M) AND 120 DEGREES (2.1 RAD)

The effect on the predicted jet noise spectra shape of incorporating Equation 17c into the flyover noise prediction procedure is illustrated in figure 71 for an inlet angle of 2.1 rad (120 degrees).

<u>Turbomachinery noise</u>. - Fuselage-mounted engines on DC-9 airplanes provide favorable shielding of high frequency turbomachinery noise especially during approach operations (see figure 72). Comparisons of measured flyover noise levels with levels projected from ground static data indicated that projected static data overpredicted forward and aft radiated noise (figure 73).

This part of the report discusses the methodology used to account for engine installation effects on predicting flyover noise levels from ground static data.

Three types of installation effects can occur in different angular regions summarized below (see figure 74).

REGION	MECHANISM	METHODOLOGY
1	Wing Shielding	Barrier Theory
2	Wing/Wheel Sound Scattering	Scattering Theory
3	Jet Exhaust Sound Scattering	Scattering Theory

The intent of this analysis of DC-9 installation effects was to modify existing theories to develop workable methods of accounting for engine installation effects.

Wing Shielding: The approach for predicting noise reduction by wing shielding was adapted from the barrier theory described by Beranek (ref. 25). This theory was based on optical-diffraction (Fresnel) theory, which assumed that only the incident wavefield close to the top edge of the barrier would contribute to the wavefield diffracted over the barrier. The diffracted wave is not just restricted to the shadow zone, but as shown in figure 75 it also affects a small transition region close to the shadow zone by interfering with the direct wave. The barrier was modeled by the flaps-down configuration. The noise source generated by the fan inlet was assumed to be a point source. These assumptions were also used in other shielding studies (ref. 26, 27, 28, 29).

Qi.



A DECEMPTOR OF THE PARTY OF

01 01

FIGURE 72 DC-9-30 WING/NACELLE SPACING FOR 50-DEGREE (0.9-RAD) FLAPS



FIGURE 73. COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND PREDICTED FLYOVER PNLT DIRECTIVITY AT APPROACH OPERATION AND 400 FOOT (122 M) HEIGHT



FIGURE 74. SHIFLDING MECHANISMS FOR DC-9 FORWARD AND AFT-RADIATED NOISE





144

Determination of Noise Reduction (NR) by wing shielding is given in Equation 18:

20 log 
$$\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi N}}{tanh\sqrt{2 \pi N}}$$
; N ≥20 (18a)

20 log 
$$\frac{\sqrt{2\pi N}}{\tanh \sqrt{2\pi N}}$$
 5 ;  $0 \le N < 20$  (18b)

NR(f) =

20 log 
$$\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi N}}{\tan \sqrt{2 \pi N}}$$
 5 ; -0.2  $\le$  N < 0 (18c)  
(Transition Zone)

The Fresnel number, N, is defined as:

$$N = \pm \frac{2f_{i\delta}}{c}$$

where:

c = speed of sound, m/sec

f = frequency: subscript i refers to the 1/3 octave band
 number, Hz

 $\delta = \text{ difference in path length between source and receiver, m} \\ A - d + \sqrt{d^2 = A^2 - 2dA \cos (\theta_s - (\theta_{Inlet} - \eta))} \\ A = \sqrt{h\delta_F^2 + L\delta_F^2}, m$ 

d = direct path length from source to receiver, m

$$\theta_{c} = \tan^{-1} h_{\delta F} / L_{\delta F}$$
, degrees

ъ

 $h\delta_F$  = vertical distance from the reference source point to the edge of the deflected flap, m

 $L_{\delta F}$  = horizontal distance from the reference source point to the edge of the deflected flap, m

 $\delta_{\rm F}$  = flap deflection angle, degrees

9 Inlet = angle from inlet centerline, degrees

 $\eta$  = engine cant angle, degrees

- $\alpha$  = flight path angle, degrees
- + = receiver in shadow zone for sign of N
- = receiver in bright zone for sign of N

Since the noise reduction values calculated from Equation 18 primarily affect high frequency noise, only frequencies greater than 2000 Hz were analyzed.

Jet Exhaust Sound Scattering: The noise reduction due to jet exhaust sound scattering is primarily on the jet exhaust wake thickness, rj. The wake thickness is defined as a function of the nacelle-exhaust-duct configuration (e.g. short versus long fan exhaust duct) and the flight condition. The jet exhaust sound scattering analysis presented here was based on Rudd's (ref. 30) treatment of the Tartarski-Monin equation for scattering sound by turbulence, developed primarily for the scattering of sound by jets (see figure 76). For the propagation of sound through turbulence, the turbulence was assumed frozen for the duration of interaction. The sound wave would then be reflected from a component of the turbulence possessing the correct wavenumber and scattering angle.

Rudd's analysis of the Tartarski-Monin equation centered on the scattering length concept. Rudd defined this length as the distance which a sound wave has to travel through turbulence for its intensity to be reduced by a factor of l/e (see figure 77). This length is related to the scattering cross section area ( $\sigma$ ) of a volume (V) of turbulence by the expression  $l = V/\sigma$ . Noise reduction due to scattering of sound by turbulence was expressed by Rudd as:

$$NR = 10 \log e^{\frac{L_o \sigma}{V}}$$
(19)

The following is a synopsis of Rudd's calculation of scattering length. The expression produced by Tartarski-Monin for the differential cross section for scattering sound by turbulence is shown in Equation (8).

$$\frac{\partial \sigma(\theta)}{\partial \Omega} = 2 \pi k^4 V \left[ \frac{1}{c^2} E(K) \cos^2 \theta / 2 + \frac{1}{4T^2} \Phi(K) \right] \cos^2 \theta$$
(20)

where:

 $\theta$  = scattering angle

- k = wavenumber
- $K = 2k \sin \theta/2$

146

0] 0



A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF

0





FIGURE 77. DEFINITION OF SCATTERING LENGTH AND INTENSITY REDUCTION FACTOR

and the second second second second second

بورورهما بقدني فمحادثكم فسحاته

147

「「「「「「「「」」」」」

$$E(K) = \text{spectral density of velocity fluctuations}$$
  
= 0.061 C<sub>V</sub><sup>2</sup> K<sup>-11/3</sup>  
$$\Phi(K) = \text{spectral density of temperature fluctuations}$$
  
= 0.033 C<sub>T</sub><sup>2</sup> K<sup>-11/3</sup>  
c = speed of sound  
T = temperature  
C<sub>V</sub><sup>2</sup> = 2  $\xi$  <sup>2/3</sup>  
C<sub>T</sub><sup>2</sup> = a<sup>2</sup> L<sub>0</sub> <sup>4/3</sup> G<sup>2</sup>  
 $\xi$  = mean rate of energy dissipation per unit mass  
L<sub>0</sub> = integral scale of turbulence  
a = 2.50, constant given by Rudd  
G = mean temperature gradient  $\frac{T}{L_0}$   
V = volume of turbulence

The total scattering cross section was defined as:

$$\sigma = \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\partial \sigma(\theta)}{\partial \Omega} 2 \pi \sin \theta \, d\theta$$
 (21)

such that,

OF OF

$$\sigma = \frac{0.812 \text{ V } \text{L}_0}{\text{c}^2} \frac{5/3 \text{f}^2}{\text{c}^2} \left[ \frac{\text{c}_v^2}{\text{c}^2} + 0.13 \frac{\text{c}_T^2}{\text{T}^2} \right]$$
(22)

For a jet of velocity, U, the rate of dissipation was determined from,

$$\xi = U^3/6L_0$$

The scattering length is represented in Equation 26 as a function of frequency, scale of turbulence, and jet Mach number (M)

$$l = V/\sigma = \frac{1.23 c^2}{L_0 f^2 (0.7 M^2 + 0.81)}$$
(23)

148

However, for significant scattering to occur, Rudd limited the application of these equations for frequencies;

$$f > \frac{c}{L_0 \sqrt{M^2 + 1.1}}$$

Equations 7 and 11 were used to estimate noise reduction of fan exhaust and turbine noise sources. The jet Mach number was calculated from the fan exhaust velocity,  $U_F$  (see figure 76).

Wing/Wheel Wake Sound Scattering: Analysis of flyover noise data indicated that some noise reduction mechanism in addition to wind shielding and jet exhaust sound scattering occurs during flight. It was hypothesized that this mechanism which effects forward and aft quadrant noise levels occurs as a result of the wake generated by the extended flaps and wheels during approach operation, and by the flaps in the takeoff configuration. The similarity in the rate of spreading and velocity distributions between this wing/wheel wake and jet exhaust wake made it possible to apply Rudd's concept of scattering of sound by turbulence as described in the preceding section. The equations derived by Rudd were modified by eliminating the temperature term, such that the scattering length became:

$$V/_{\sigma} = \frac{1.23 c^2}{L_0 f^2 (0.7 M_d^2)}$$
(24)

The Mach number (M ) was determined from the velocity deficit (U  $\sim -\Delta \bar{u}$ ) where:

 $U_{\infty}$  = free stream or flight velocity

 $\Delta \vec{u}$  = velocity deficit

OI OI Modeling Techniques: The scale of turbulence  $(L_0)$  in the analyses of both jet and wake sound scattering was determined from:

 $L_{o} = b r_{j,W}$ (25)

where the proportionality constant, b, was assumed to be 0.20 as a result of consultation with Professor John Laufer of the University of Southern California concerning wake thickness  $(r_W)$ , and C. Y. Chen's definition of the scale of turbulence (jet exhaust wake thickness,  $r_i$ )(ref. 31).

The reference jet exhaust wake thickness  $(r_{j0} = r_{j0} = 1.57 \text{ rad } (90 \text{ deg.}))$ was estimated from velocity profiles at the position X = 0.3 m (1 foot) downstream of the nozzle exit as shown in figure 78. Since the wake from the fan portion of the jet exhaust is thinner in flight than it is statically, a study of the velocity profiles was made to determine the loss in noise reduction from static to flight conditions. Estimates of the jet wake thickness as a function of angle  $(r_{j1}, r_{j2}, r_{j3})$  was simplified by considering the exhaust wake to be a constant section as shown in figure 78.
Since information concerning the flow field in the region of interest (inboard flap of the DC-9) was not available, a model of the flow field was developed to estimate the wake and velocity distributions. The wake and velocity distributions due to flow separation from the flaps modeled by a two-dimensional wake analysis (ref. 32). Circular wake analysis (ref. 32) was used to model the wake growth and velocity deficit due to the interference of the free stream by the landing gear and wheels (see figures 79 and 80). The flow field model was designed for approach configurations. Analysis of takeoff configurations assumed a negligible velocity deficit with the wake growth determined using the wing curve in figure 79 with the initial wake thickness,  $y_0$ , equal to the inboard wing chord thickness, Z. The definitions of the reference wing/wheel wake thickness,  $rwI_0 = rwI_{\Theta} = 1.57$  rad (90 deg.) are illustrated in figure 81. Estimates of the wake thickness as a function of angle were calculated in the same manner as the jet exhaust wake (see figure 82).







FIGURE 79. WAKE GROWTH WITH DISTANCE X

151

日、また、川田市の市の



NORMALIZED DISTANCE VARIATION WITH FLAP CHORD LENGTH (X/C)





NOTE: THE FAN INLET, EXHAUST AND TURBINE SOURCE LOCATIONS WERE ASSUMED TO BE AT THE REFERENCE LOCATION. THIS ASSUMPTION SHIFTS THE Y AXIS BY THE AMOUNT EQUAL TO THE LENGTH OF THE NACELLE (LNACELLE).



152

OF OF



FIGURE 82. DEFINITION OF WING/WHEEL WAKE THICKNESS

المت سالي في فريد ( ) ما يتلايد الله في المكن ا

٤

er al felañ ar sin har i her allan e an i evil ar ar an gri ser an fel ar an ministre an ar i sin a san ar i a

### Engine/Macelle Acoustic Characteristics

Comparisons of measured ground static data from the JT8D-15 (baseline) and the JT8D-109 (Refan) engines are presented in figures 83 through 89 (the JT8D-15 is acoustically identical to the JT8D-9 at the same referred fan speed). Figure 83 shows peak forward and peak aft 61 meter (200 foot) sideline PNLs as a function of static engine thrust. Comparison of the baseline and Refan engine shows reductions in peak forward guadrant noise levels of 5 to 7 PNdB for engine 1 (Configuration B) and 6 to 9 PNdB for engine 2, depending on thrust. Inlet acoustic treatment reduced total forward radiated 61 meter (200 foot) sideline noise from the Refan engine in the 26 688 to 44 480 N (6,000 to 10,000 pound) thrust range by 5 to 7 PNdB for engine 1 and engine 2, respectively. Refan engine 2 achieved a 8 to 10 PNdB reduction in peak aft 61 meter (200 foot) sideline noise levels compared to the baseline engine from 17 793 to 71 172 N (4,000 to 16,000 pounds) of thrust. The aft guadrant noise levels for engine 2 with its treated tailpipe and modified turbine support frames were 3 PNdB lower than those from engine 1 for the thrust range from 8 896 to 71 172 N (2,000 to 16,000 pounds).

Figures 84 through 87 compare 45.7 meters (150 foot) PNL directivity and SPL spectra for the baseline and Refan engines 1 and 2 at selected peak forward and peak aft noise angles, for simulated FAR Part 36 thrusts. Inlet and aft attenuation spectra from the inlet and tailpipe acoustic treatment are shown in figure 88 for three simulated FAR Part 36 thrusts: approach 0.873 and 0.611 radian (50 and 35 degree) flaps and cutback. The maximum inlet noise reduction of 14 dB occurred at cutback thrust in the 1/3-octave frequency band centered at 4000 Hz (the band containing the fan fundamental BPF). At 0.611 radian (35 degree) flap approach power where turbine noise is most prevalent, tailpipe treatment reduced the SPL in the 8000 Hz frequency band by 6 dB. Inlet and tailpipe treatment noise reduction of 8.0 and 3.3 PNdB were obtained from projecting static data to the FAR Part 36 approach [(0.873 m) (50 degree) flaps] condition, for which the nacelle acoustic treatment was designed. The inlet treatment noise reduction of 8 PNdB agreed quite well with the estimated value of 7 PNdB from the inlet treatment design chart (ref. 33) used for establishing preliminary guidelines in determining the amount of inlet treatment needed to achieve a "balanced configuration" (a nacelle is considered to have a "balanced configuration" if the peak forward quadrant noise levels are equal to the peak aft quadrant noise levels). The tailpipe treatment noise reduction of 3.3 PNdB from the 1.30 m (51 in) treatment was somewhat less than the estimated value of 3.9 PNdB for a .89 m (35 in.) treatment length (ref. 33).

The combined nacelle treatment (inlet, fan case, fan duct and tailpipe) had essentially achieved the design goal of a "balanced configuration". This finding is based on results of controlled approach flyover noise tests which showed the spread between the peak forward quadrant noise levels [1.4 rad (80 degrees)] and peak aft quadrant noise levels [1.8 rad (100 degrees)] to be only 1 PNdB (figure 89).

PRACEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED





Q



Q

C



OF OF



FIGURE 86. COMPARISON OF JT8D-15 AND JT8D-109 150-FOOT (45.7-METER) PNL-DIRECTIVITY AND SPL-SPECTRA AT CUTBACK THRUST

「日本学の記録



FIGURE 87. COMPARISON OF JT8D-15 AND JT8D-109 150-FOOT (45.7-METER) PNL-DIRECTIVITY AND SPL-SPECTRA AT TAKEOFF THRUST



FROM 50-DEGRI (122-M) HEIGHT

# Noise Source Levels

Noise source levels from ground static and flyover noise data are presented in figures 90 through 99. Figures 90 to 93 present source SPL spectra for Refan engine 2 at 1.05 and 2.1 rad (60 and 120 degrees) from measured 45.7 m (150 ft) ground static data for four simulated FAR Part 36 power settings.

Figures 94 through 99 show source PNLT time-histories and SPL and perceived noisiness spectra from approach and takeoff flyover noise data. The data from these tests used 10 m (33 ft) high pole microphones and flush mounted ground microphones to minimize ground reflection problems. The approach tests (figures 94 to 96) used a 0.052 rad (3 degree) glideslope and 0.873 rad (50 degree) flap setting, and had a minimum slant range distance of 237 m (776 ft). At these conditions, further noise reductions to improve community noise levels would require reducing jet, core, fan exhaust and fan inlet noiseeach source having a peak noise level within a range of +1.5 PNdB.

The full thrust takeoff tests (figures 97 to 99) had a minimum slant range distance of 313 m (1026 ft). The takeoff noise levels at this distance were dominated entirely by jet noise, with turbomachinery and core noise levels approximately 8 to 10 PNdB below the jet noise levels (figure 97).

# PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

0] (0]





164

OF Of



NOISE SOURCE SPL SPECTRA FOR CUTBACK POWER (6548 RPM) AND 150-FOOT (45.7-M) FIGURE 91. **STATIC CONDITIONS FROM REFAN ENGINE 2** 

TOTAL HOUSE PNL = 116.7 PNLT = 118.8 JET NOUSE

PHL = 108.7 PHLT = 108.7 CORE NOISE PHL = 99,9 PHLT = 99,9

FAN INLET PNL = 112.5 PNLT = 114.9 FAN EXHAUST PHL = 103.0 PHLT = 104.1

TURINALE PHL = 92.6 PHLT = 93.2

Ŧ,

0I

Karaka and Andrewski La

100

90

80

70

60-

50-

40-

SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL. dB (re  $2x10^{-5}$  N/m<sup>2</sup>)





0 C

 $0^{\circ}_{1}$ 

OF

ż



Q

0

FIGURE 93. NOISE SOURCE SPL SPECTRA FOR 35-DEGREE (0.67-M) FLAP APPROACH POWER (4614 RPM) AND 150-FOOT (45.7-M) STATIC CONDITIONS FROM REFAN ENGINE 2

167

19.14

「「「「「」」





FIGURE 95. NOISE SOURCE SPECTRA FROM DC-9 REFAN AIRCRAFT AT APPROACH POWER, 3-DEGREE (0.05-RAD) GLIDESLOPE, 776-FOOT (236.5-M) HEIGHT AND 69 DEGREES (1.2 RAD) FROM ENGINE INLET





FIGURE 96. NOISE SOURCE SPECTRA FROM DC-9 REFAN AIRCRAFT AT APPROACH POWER, 3-DEGREE (0.05-RAD) GLIDESLOPE, 776-FOOT (236.5-M) HEIGHT AND 119 DEGREES (2.1 RAD) FROM ENGINE INLET

( (

Of Of





.



••

.

172



the state of the second second



## Flight Effects on Jet and Core Noise

ì

金属語 ちょうちょう

Ť

The static-to-flight comparison plots presented here had turbomachinery noise removed from the spectra using the procedures described earlier. The broadband noise from 50 to 1000 Hz was determined to be primarily jet and core noise and hence was identical to the measured data. All static-to-flight comparisons were normalized to single-engine/45.7 m (150 foot) radius conditions with "averaged" data selected to have very nearly the same absolute primary jet velocity.

Comparisons of static and flight jet plus core OASPLs and SPL spectra are presented in figures 100, 101, and 102 for three ranges of jet velocity corresponding to approach [(226 m/sec)(740 ft/sec)], cutback [(399 m/sec) (1310 ft/sec)], and a takeoff [(466 m/sec) (1530 ft/sec)] power settings respectively. The most interesting observation from these data is the lack of reduction in forward quadrant [(0.5 to 1.4 radians) (30 to 80 degrees)] flyover noise levels and the increasingly larger reduction in aft quadrant [(1.57 to 2.8 radians) (90 to 160 degrees)] flight noise.

At 0.87 and 1.57 radians (50 and 90 degrees) from the inlet, OASPLs were controlled by the SPLs in the 630 and 800 Hz bands; for frequency bands from 50 to 500 Hz, flight SPLs were reduced by 2 to 3 dB. At 2.1 radians (120 degrees) the flight spectra were reduced approximately 2 to 5 dB from 50 to 10 000 Hz.

At a jet velocity of 399 m/sec (1310 ft/sec), flight data were consistently lower than projected static data at all angular locations (figure 101). Results from use of the flyover noise source separation procedure showed flight data to be dominated by jet noise with core noise levels 6 to 7 dB below jet noise levels. These static-to-flight characteristics were contrary to those reported by Bushell (ref. 21). For example, reference 21 indicated that inflight jet and core noise (1) increased for inlet angles less than 1.57 rad (90 degrees), (2) remained the same at 1.57 rad (90 degrees), and (3) decreased for inlet angles greater than 1.57 rad (90 degrees). The difference between the trends reported here and those reported in reference 21 may be due to:

- Differences in the procedures used for correcting spectral irregularities due to ground reflection, aircraft flight path, engine inlet angle, atmospheric conditions and airplane installation effects.
- 2. Differences in aircraft engine and nozzle configurations which may have introduced additional noise sources.
- Differences in the static and flyover-noise measurement data reduction systems.

Figure 102 compares static and flight OASPLs and SPL spectra at 2.6 radians (150 degrees) for jet velocities corresponding to takeoff thrust. The staticto-flight trends observed for these high jet velocities were similar to those observed at a jet velocity of 399 m/sec (1310 ft/sec).

For primary jet velocities of 399 and 466 m/sec (1310 and 1530 ft/sec) both the static and flight spectra at 2.6 radians (150 degrees) contained "double peaks". The reduction in level across the spectrum due to relative velocity effects indicate that the SPL in the 400 Hz band is controlled by jet noise, and not core noise.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED







(0)





FIGURE 102. COMPARISON OF STATIC AND FLIGHT JET AND CORE OASPL-DIRECTIVITY AND SPL-SPECTRA FOR A NOMINAL ABSOLUTE PRIMARY JET VELOCITY OF 1530 FT/SEC (466.3M/SEC)

Q<sub>1</sub>

0

178

. . . . . .

Comparison of Static Predicted and Measured Flyover Noise Levels

Comparison of static predicted and measured flyover noise spectra are presented in figures 103 and 104 for approach and takeoff operations. The approach tests used a 0.05 rad (3 degree) glide slope and 0.87 rad (50 degree) flap setting, and had a minimum slant range distance of 37 m (400 ft). The takeoff tests had a minimum slant range distance of 701 m (2300 ft).

Predicted low frequency jet plus core noise levels for approach operation agreed fairly well for most angles except those around 1.4 rad (80 degrees) where the predicted levels in the mid frequency range (630/800 Hz) were lower than the measured levels by 2 to 3 dB. The reason the predicted 630/800 mid frequency approach noise levels were lower than the measured levels is that they were dominated by core noise and not jet noise.

Predictions of high frequency turbomachinery noise levels for approach operation were significantly improved by incorporating the methodology used in determining engine installation effects on predicting flyover noise levels from ground static data. Figures 103(a) and 103(b) show the improvement for the shallow inlet noise angles of 0.52 and 0.87 radians (30 and 50 degrees) from wing shielding (results include a 5 dB octave recovery factor for 0.52 radians (30 degrees), see Equation 6(a), and the effect of the wake sound scattering for 0.9 radians (50 degrees). The wing shielding however underpredicted the noise reduction for the much less important very high frequencies (8000 and 10 000 Hz) where low level measurements are difficult to achieve. Noise reduction of high frequency fan inlet, fan exhaust and turbine noise by wake sound scattering are shown in figures 103(c) and 103(d). Estimated static and flight velocity profiles used in the jet sound scattering analysis indicated that the jet sound scattering produced no noise reduction in either static or flight condition. This was because the fan exhaust shear layer was estimated to be too thin [(0.03 meters)(0.1 ft)] to attenuate the frequency range of interest (i.e. 2000 to 10 000 Hz). The table below compares the predicted and measured flyover noise levels for DC-9 Refan aircraft on approach showing improvements from use of shielding analysis.

	Maximum Tone Corrected Perceived Noise Level PNdB	Effective Perceived Noise Level, EPNdB
Measured Flyover Noise Level	103.5	97.7
Prediction without Shielding	105.3	100.0
Prediction with Shielding	104.5	98.0

Predicted noise levels for takeoff operation agreed fairly well over the entire frequency range (50 to 10 000 Hz) for most angles from 1.0 through 2.1 radians (60 through 120 degrees) as shown in figure 104.

0ê 01

SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL, d8 (re  $2 x 10^{5} \, \text{N}/\text{m}^{2}$  )



FIGURE 103. COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND PREDICTED APPROACH FLYOVER-NOISE SPECTRA AT 400-FOOT (121.8-M) HEIGHT

¢

C



i

FIGURE 104, COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND PREDICTED TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE SPECTRA AT 2300-FOOT (701-M) HEIGHT



(d) 120 DEGREES (2.1 RAD)

FIGURE 104. CONCLUDED

Estimates of DC-9 Refan flyover noise levels based on the static-toflight prediction procedures described here have agreed with measured flyover noise levels within  $\pm 1$  EPNdB at the reference FAR Part 36 conditions (see table 25).

Contraction of the second

# TABLE 25

# COMPARISON OF STATIC-PREDICTED AND MEASURED FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS OF THE DC-9 REFAN AIRCRAFT AT FAR PART 36 CONDITIONS

MTOGW =	108,000 LBS (480 408 11)	MLGW = 99,000 LBS (440 374 N)
	(400 400 1)	(140 374 17)

	EFFECTIVE PERCEIVED NOISE LEVEL, EPNdB				
	SIDELINE	TAKEOFF (Without Cutback)	TAKEOFF (Without Cutback)	APPROACH 50 Degree (0.9 RAD) Flaps	APPROACH 35 Degree (0.6 RAD) Flaps
MEASURED	95.3	96.2	87.5	97.4	95. <b>7</b>
PREDICTED	94.4	95.3	87.2	97.0	96.2

### DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Contraction of the second

0

The detailed analysis of the data from the flight test phase of the Refan Program provided information to permit the determination of FAR Part 36 noise levels, EPNL- and dB(A)-distance maps, community noise contours, lateral noise attenuation, effects of air turbulence on sound propagation, and ground reflection effects on the spectra of measured flyover noise. Also, studied were the noise source levels, static-to-flight predictions, and engine/ nacelle acoustical characteristics of the DC-9-31/JT8D-109 Refan aircraft.

The principal results obtained from the FAR Part 36 noise level analysis for DC-9-30 airplanes were:

Refan	<u>Baseline (C9A)</u>	Baseline (Oct'74)
(JT8D <b>-1</b> 09	(JT8D-9(H/W))	(JT8D-9(H/W)

Effective Perceived Noise Levels (EPNdB)

Sideline	95.3	N/A	99.8
Takeoff	96,2	N/A	102.7
Takeoff with Cutback	87.5	95.7	97.4
Approach			
f = 0.873 rad (50°)	97.4	106.1	103.0
$f = 0.611 \text{ rad } (35^\circ)$	95.7	N/A	100.9
	N/A = Not Available	H/W = Hardwall	

The 90 percent confidence limits for the Refan FAR Part 36 noise levels were + 0.6 EPNdB, well within the requirement of + 1.5 EPNdB.

A large quantity of data were obtained over a wide range of engine power settings and distances, which permitted an accurate determination of EPNL and dB(A) level variations versus distance and referred net thrust  $(F_N/\delta)$ . Because of the extent of the data the 90 percent confidence limits for all centerline microphone data were within + 0.8 EPNdB.

The principal results obtained from the community noise exposure contour comparison of the hardwall nacelle DC-9 and the Refan DC-9 show that:

- The DC-9 Refan reduced the 90 EPNdB contour area for takeoff with and without cutback by 40 percent for the maximum gross weight airplane and 19 percent for takeoff with cutback and 34 percent for takeoff without cutback for the typical mission airplane.
- The DC-9 Refan reduced the 95 EPNdB contour area by 50 percent for takeoff without cutback for both the maximum-gross-weight and typicalmission airplanes.

• The DC-9 Refan reduced the 95 EPNdB contour area for takeoff with cutback about 30 percent for both the maximum-gross-weight and typical-mission airplanes.

The result of the lateral noise attenuation analysis shows that the elevation angle is the significant parameter with thrust and slant range having only secondary effects. A plot of lateral noise attenuation as a function of elevation angle was developed.

Measured noise spectra showed agreement with ground reflection theory with 10 meter (33 foot) and flush-mounted microphone data displaying predicted pseudotone characteristics.

From measurements made with a Universal Indicated Turbulence System, levels were obtained of the parameter R, which is the level of dissipation in the atmosphere. The parameter R is related to the integral scale of turbulence, L. Two typical cases were chosen for analysis, one with light turbulence and the other with moderate turbulence.

The light dissipation case [low values of R ( $0.2 \le R \le 0.8$ ) corresponding to high values of L ( $60 \le L \le 120$  m)] show attenuation of nearly 8 dB at 2500 Hz. The moderate dissipation case [moderate values of R ( $1.2 \le R \le 4$ ) corresponding to low values of L ( $12 \le L \le 30$  m)] showed attenuation values of 1.5 dB at 2500 Hz.

The principal results obtained from the noise source separation and prediction procedures were:

- Low frequency (50 to 1000 Hz) noise levels based on 45.7 m (150 ft) static test data from the Refan engine were dominated by core noise for absolute primary jet exhaust velocities below 213 m/sec (700 ft/sec) and by jet noise for velocities above 305 m/sec (1000 ft/sec).
- Ground static test and flyover noise data showed that the frequency of peak core noise varied with engine inlet angle from 630/800 Hz for angles up to 2.1 radians (120 degrees), and from 400/500 Hz for angles greater than 2.1 radians (120 degrees). Analysis indicated the frequency of peak strut/obstruction noise also occurred in the 630/800 Hz bands.
- For power settings where low frequency noise was controlled by core noise, forward motion reduced aft noise by 1 to 7 PNdB from 1.6 to 2.8 radians (90 to 160 degrees) respectively, but had no effect on forward radiated noise. For high power settings where low frequency noise was controlled by jet noise, forward motion reduced forward as well as aft noise with noise reductions increasing with increasing inlet angle.
- For takeoff power settings corresponding to a primary jet velocity of 466 m/s (1530 ft/sec), SPL spectra for angles aft of 2.3 rad (130 degrees) contained "double peaks" in both the static and flight test data indicating that the higher 400 Hz peak was jet and not core noise.

Ož Ož

- Inlet and tailpipe treatment noise reductions of 8.0 and 3.3 PNdB were obtained from projecting static test data on a noise source basis, to the FAR Part 36 approach [(0.9 rad)(50 degree) flap] condition for which the nacelle acoustic treatment was designed.
- Approach flyover noise tests demonstrated the nacelle acoustic treatment to be a "balanced configuration".
- Incorporation of methodology to account for engine installation effects (i.e., wing shielding and wing/flap/wheel sound scattering) in the flyover noise prediction program significantly increased the accuracy of predicting approach tu bomachinery noise levels from ground static test data.
- Estimates of DC-9 Refan flyover noise levels based on ground static test data agreed with measured flyover noise levels within <u>+</u> 1 EPNdB for the following five FAR Part 36 conditions: takeoff, takeoff (cutback), sideline and approach [(0.873 rad)(50 degree)flaps and (0.611 rad) (35 degree) flaps].

The principal results obtained from ground static test measurements were:

- Peak forward 61 m (200 ft) sideline PNLs from the Refan engine were 6 to 9 PNdB below the PNLs from the baseline (JT8D-15) engine for static thrusts from 17 792 to 71 172 N (4000 to 16,000 pounds)
- Inlet acoustic treatment reduced total forward radiated 61 m (200 ft) sideline PNLs from the Refan engine by 5 to 7 PNdB for static test thrusts from 26 689 to 44 482 N (6000 to 10,000 pounds).
- Maximum inlet treatment attenuation was 14 dB at cutback thrust and 4000 Hz, the band containing fan fundamental BPF.
- Peak aft 61 m (200 ft) sideline PNLs from the Refan engine were 8 to 10 PNdB below those from the baseline engine for static thrusts from 17 793 to 71 172 N (4000 to 16,000 pounds).
- Tailpipe treatment reduced turbine noise at approach power by 6 dB at 8000 Hz, the band containing turbine fundamental BPF.

### CONCLUSIONS

C T The purpose of the Refan Program was to determine the technical and economic feasibility of reducing community noise of JT8D powered aircraft through modification of existing engines and nacelles. This report presents FAR Part 36 noise levels, EPNL- and dB(A)-distance maps, and community noise contours. Studies were made of lateral noise attenuation, effects of air turbulence on sound propagation, and ground reflection, effects on the spectra of measured flyover noise. Also studied were the noise source levels, static-to-flight predictions, and engine/nacelle acoustical characteristics of the DC-9-31/JT8D-109 Refan aircraft.

The JT8D-109 Refan engine with acoustically treated nacelles installed on a DC-9 Series 30 airplane reduced the FAR Part 36 noise levels when compared to a C9A airplane (military version of DC-9 Series 30) with JT8D-9 engines and hardwall nacelles by 8.2 EPNdB during takeoff with cutback and by 8.7 EPNdB during approach. The sideline noise levels were reduced by 4.5 EPNdB compared to the October 1974 baseline airplane.

The use of Refan engines on the DC-9 Series 30 reduced the 90 EPNdB community noise contours by 40 percent for takeoff with and without cutback for the maximum-gross-weight airplane, 19 percent for takeoff with cutback for a typical-mission airplane, and 34 percent for takeoff without cutback for a typical-mission airplane.

The 95 EPNdB community noise contours were reduced by 50 percent for takeoff without cutback and 30 percent for takeoff with cutback for both the maximum-gross-weight and typical-mission airplanes. The two segment approach provided very little reduction in contour area for either the 90 or the 95 EPNdB contours.

Methodology was developed to separate noise source levels, spectra and directivity based on ground static test and flyover noise data and to predict its flyover-noise levels based on ground static test data.

To further reduce DC-9 Refan flyover noise levels on approach at about 244 m (800 ft) height would require reducing jet, core, fan exhaust and fan inlet noise. Each source had peak noise values within a range of  $\pm$  1.5 PNdB.

DC-9 noise levels on takeoff, at about 305 m (1000 ft) altitude where the source noise analysis was made, are dominated by jet noise with core and turbomachinery noise 8 to 10 PNdB below jet noise.

# PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED
#### APPENDIX A

ì

С

C

 $O_1$  $O_2^{\circ}$ 

#### Data Acquisition Validity

The flyover-noise measurement runs attempted for the DC-9 Refan flight test program are listed in table 1. The exact space positioning of all microphones is listed in table A-1. Noise data were recorded for all runs. However, only the data indicated as valid in table A-2 were reduced and used in this report.

All microphone data for Runs 1 through 3, 26, 63, and 64 were not analyzed because of missing MALT space positioning data. The presence of military jet and other air traffic noise during Runs 14, 45, 68, 89, 81, 88, and 89 made the noise measurements from these runs invalid. Runs 58, 71, 76, and 93 were aborted due to incorrect test conditions. In addition, certain individual microphone data were affected by system noise or signal drop outs. Therefore, none of these data were used in the analyses reported in Sections 4 and 5.

The acoustic data from the microphone located 1677 m (5,503 ft) to the sideline were severely limited by the levels of ambient and microphone system noise, the system noise consisting of extraneous high frequency signals. Where ver possible, the extraneous high frequency content was eliminated, and care was taken to use the lowest possible levels of valid ambient noise for each run. However, the only acoustic data used from this location were for the higher power setting higher altitude runs.

The amount of invalid flyover-noise data from the test program was anticipated, and a considerable amount of useful information was obtained. Consequently, the objective of the test was well satisfied.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

	MICRO	PHONE LOCATION COORD	INATES*
	X FEET (METERS)	FEET (METERS)	Z FEET (METERS)
C4	-2802 (-854.0)	-16 (-4.9)	-7 (-2.1)
C6	-7301 (-2225,2)	0 (0)	-81 (-24.7)
C6 (MIC 2F) FLUSH	-7291 (-2222.3)	0 (0)	-85 (-25.9)
C6 (MIC 2P) 10 METERS	-7336 (-2236.0)	-35 (-10.7)	-55 (-16.8)
C6 (MIC 3P) 10 METERS	-7101 (-2164.4)	0 (0)	-58 (-17.7)
C10	22 (6.7)	198 (60.4)	-1 (-0.3)
C10 (MIC 7F) FLUSH	32 (6.7)	198 (60.4)	-5 (-1.5)
C10 (MIC 4P) 10 METERS		178 (54.3)	25 (7.62)
C10 (MIC 5P) 10 METERS	172 (52.4)	178 (54.3)	25 (7.62)
C11	2805 (855.0)	185 (56.4)	2 (0.6)
50	4090 (1246.6)	-1457(-444.1)	7 (2.1)
S16	538 (164.0)		4 (1.2)
S16 (MIC 7F) FLUSH	538 (164.0)	- 1471(-448.4)	O (O)
S18	-3042 (-927.2)	1467(447.1)	0 (0)
S19	3444 (1050.0)	-1449(-441.7)	10 (3.1)
\$20	555 (169.2)	1464 (446.2)	-9 (-2.7)
ЗN	-41 (-12.5)	2639 (804.4)	-37 (-11.3)
6N	3558 (1084.5)	5503 (1677.3)	-7 (-2.1)

# TABLE A-1 DC-9 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TESTING MICROPHONE LOCATION COORDINATES

\*RELATIVE TO & AT WEST END OF YUMA RUNWAY 21R

• •

29 AND 31 JANUARY AND 1, 2, 3, AND 5 FEBRUARY 1975													
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<b>_</b>	MIC	ROPHO	NELO	CATI	ONS				
FLI	GHT CONDITIONS	RUN NO.	C4	C6	C10	C11	SO	S16	S18	S19	<b>\$20</b>	3N	6N
TAKEOFF	(THRUST/ENG = 13,500 LB) = 13,500 LB)	4		1						9 9	10 10		
TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK	(THRUS /ENG = 9,500 LB) = 9,500 LB)	6 7		1 1						9 9	10 10		
TAKEOFF CORRECTION	(THRUST/ENG = 13,500 LB) = 13,500 LB) = 13,500 LB)	8 9 10		1 1 1			12 12	9 9	7 7	9 11 11	10 10 10		
TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK	(THRUST/ENG = 9,500 LB) (THRUST/ENG = 9,500 LB)	11 12		1			12 12	9 9	7 7	11 11	10 10		
TAKEOFF CORRECTION	(THRUST/ENG = 13,500 LB) = 13,500 LB)	13 15		1	<u> </u>		12 12	9 9	7 7	11	10 10		
TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK	(THRUST/ENG = 9,500 LB) = 9,500 LB) ∓ 9,500 LB) = 9,500 LB) = 9,500 LB)	16 17 18 19		1 1 1			12 12 12 12	9 9 9 9	7 7 7 7	11 11 11 11	10 10 10 10		
CUTBACK CORRECTION	(THRUST/ENG = 9,500 LB) = 9,500 LB) = 9,500 LB) = 9,500 LB) = 9,500 LB)	20 21 22 23		1 1 1			12 12 12 12	9 9 9	7 7 7 7	11 11 11	10 10 10		
APPROACH CORRECTION	(THRUST/ENG = 6,900 LB) = 5,300 LB)	24 25	4	1, 2P, 3P 1, 2P, 3P	6, 7F 6, 7F		12	I 9	5 5		I 10	11 11	
50-DEG FLAP APPROACH	(THRUST/ENG = 5,500 LB) = 5,100 LB) = 5,300 LB) = 5,600 LB) = 5,200 LB) = 5,200 LB) = 5,600 LB)	27 28 29 30 31 32	4 4 4 4 4	1 1 1 1 1	6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F			9 9 9 9 9	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		10 10 10 10 10	NP 11 11 11 11 11	
APPROACH CORRECTION	(THRUST/ENG = 4,700 LB) = 4,500 LB) = 4,300 LB) = 3,400 LB) = 3,200 LB) = 2,800 LB)	33 34 35 36 37 38	4 4 4 4 4 4	1 1 1 1 1	6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F			9 9 9 9	5 5 5 5 5 5		10 10 10 10 10 10	NP 11 11 11 11	
APPROACH CORRECTION	(THRUST/ENG = 6,500 LB) = 6,900 LB) = 6,100 LB)	39 40 41	NP 2 2	NP 1 1	6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F			1 9 9	3 3 3		10 10 10	11 11 11	
35-DEG FLAP APPROACH	(THRUST/ENG = 3,200 LB) = 4,600 LB) = 3,800 LB) = 3,800 LB) = 3,800 LB) = 3,800 LB) = 3,800 LB) = 4,000 LB)	42 43 44 46 47 48 49	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 NP 1 1 1 1	6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F <b>I</b> , 6, 7F 6, 7F			9 9 9 9 NP 9 9	3 3 3 NP 3 3		10 10 10 10 NP 10 10	NP 11 11 11 NP 11	
APPROACH CORRECTION	= 4,100 LB) (THRUST/ENG = 5,400 LB) = 3,100 LB)	50 51 52	2 2 2	1 1 1	6, 7F 6, 7F 6, 7F	 		9 2 9	3 3 3		10 10 10	11 NP I	

# TABLE A-2MATRIX OF FLYOVER NOISE TESTS29 AND 31 JANUARY AND 1, 2, 3, AND 5 FEBRUARY 1975

Contraction of the

1.1.1.0

С (

.1.

193

		0.00				м	ICRO	PHONE	LOCA	CATIONS				
FL	IGHT CONDITIONS	RUN NO.	C4	C6	C10	C11	SO	S16	S18	S19	S20	3N	6N	
TAKEOFF	(THRUST/ENG = 13,700 LB)	53		1	6	3		9, 7F			10	11	12	
CORRECTION	= 13,700 LB)	54		1, 2F	6	3		9, 7F			10	11	12	
	= 13,700 LB)	55		1, 2F	6	3	1	9, 7F			10	11	12	
1	= 12,700 LB)	56		1, 2 <del>F</del>	6	3	[	9, 7F			10	11	12	
	= 12,700 LB)	57		1, 2F	6	3		9, 7F			10	11	12	
	= 11,700 LB)	59		1, 2F	6	3		7F	l	}	10	11	12	
	= 11,700 LB)	60		1, 2F	6	3		9, 7F			10	11	12	
	= 10,700 (.8)	61		1, 2F	6	3		9, 7F			10	11	12	
	= 10,700 LB)	62		1, 2F	6	3		9, 7F	1	ļ	10	11	12	
	= 13,500 LB)	65			6	3		9,7	1	1	10		12	
	= 13,500 LB)	66			6		1	9,7	6	[			12	
	= 13,500 LB)	67			6	2	i	9,7			10		12	
	= 13,500 LB)	70			¢ ¢	1 3		9,75		}	10	1.	12	
	= 9,500 LB)	70		1	6	2		9,7			10		1 12	
	- 6,000 LB) - 9,600 ± B)	72		1	6	2		9,75	]	1	10	11	12	
	= 8,000 LB)	70		1	6	3	1	9,7			10	11	12	
	= 13,500 LB	75	1		6	3		9.7F	1		10	11	1 12	
	= 8,000 LB)	77		1. 2F	6	3	[	9.7F	[	1	10		{	
	= 8000 LB)	78		1	6.5P	3		9.7F			10	11	12	
TAKEOFE	(THBUST/ENG = 7 000 ( B)	79		1	6	3		9.7F	†		10	11	12	
CORRECTION	= 7 000 LB)	82		1.2F	6	3		9.7F			10	11	12	
	= 7.000 LB)	83		1, 2F	6	3	}	9.7F			10	11	12	
	= 13,500 LB)	84		2F	6, 5P	3		9, 7F			10	NP	12	
400-FT	(THRUST/ENG = 13,500 LB)	85		1.2F	6	3		9, 7F		<u> </u>	10	NP	12	
LEVEL	= 13.500 LB)	86		1. 2F	6	3	1	9,7F			10	11	12	
FLIGHT	= 9,500 LB)	87		1, 2F	6	3		9, 7F			10	NP	NP	
	= 9,500 LB)	90		1, 2F	6	3	1	9,7F		1	10	11	NP	
	= 9,500 LB)	91		1, 2F	6	3		9, 7F			10	11	12	
APPROACH	(THRUST/ENG = 6.000 LB)	95	2	1	6, 5P			9	1		NP	11	1	
SURVEY	= 6,000 LB)	96	2	1	6			9	•		10	11	1	
	= 5,400 LB)	97	2	1	6	Į –	ļ	9	]	ļ	10	11		
	= 5,400 LB)	98	2	1	6			9			10	11		
50-DEG	(THRUST/ENG = 3.900 LB)	100	2	1	6, 4P, 5P			9	<u> </u>		10	11	T	
FLAP	= 3,500 LB)	101	2	1	5, 4P, 5P			9	1	1	10	1	1	
5.5-DEG	= 3,100 LB)	102	2	1	6		1	9			NP	11		
APPROACH	= 2,900 LB)	103	2	1	6			9	ļ		10	11		
	= 3,100 LB)	104	2	1	6	i i		9				11		
	= 3,100 LB)	105	2	1	6			9			NP	11		
5.5-DEG	(THRUST/ENG = 3,200 LB)	106	2	1	6			9	Γ		10	11		
APPROACH	= 2,000 LB}	107	2	1	6		l	9	Į	1	10	11	1	
CORRECTION	= 3,200 LB)	108	2	1	6	1	1	9	1	1	10	11	1	
	= 2,000 LB)	109	2	1	6		l	9			10	11	1	
	= 1,500 LB)	110	2	1	6	ł	1	9	1	1	10	11	1	
	= 1,500 LB)	111	2	, NP	6	1		9		ł	10	NP		
		1	1	1 4			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

# TABLE A-2 (CONTINUED) MATRIX OF FLYOVER NOISE TESTS 29 AND 31 JANUARY AND 1, 2, 3 AND 5 FEBRUARY 1975

NOTES: 1. NP \* NOT PROCESSED, I = INVALID DATA 2. FOR EACH RUN THE NUMBERS BENEATH EACH MICROPHONE LOCATION ARE MICROPHONE NUMBERS AND INDICATE A PROCESSED RECORDING.

1

4 1

÷a l

#### APPENDIX B

#### Test Site Meteorological Data

0ī 01 The dry-bulb temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed and direction weather conditions were recorded at ground level (10 meters) during the flyover-noise testing. Upper-air soundings of these conditions, plus air turbulence, were obtained by Meteorology Research, Inc. with the following techniques:

- 1. Temperature, relative humidity, and air turbulence were obtained from continuous recordings from an instrumented Cessna 180 light aircraft
- 2. Wind speed and direction were obtained from theodolite tracking of weather balloons.

The test day surface and sound path weather conditions are summarized as follows:

- Table B-1 Mobile Atmospheric Recording Tower Weather Data
- Figure B-1 Surface Weather History, Yuma Test Site
- Figure B-2 Sound-Path Weather During Flyover-Noise Tests

-			AMB	RELATIVE		WIN	D	STATION	
FLIC	SHT & N NO.	TIME OF DAY	TEMP (°F)	HUMIDITY (%)	HUMIDITY (GM/m <sup>3</sup> )	D1R (DEG)	VEL (MPH)	PRESS (INHG)	
Lun L	4	0744	36.0	60,7	3.3	240	4	N/A	
19	5	0755	36,3	61.1	3.4	240	2	N/A	
58	6	0804	36.9	56.0	3.2	230	· 2	N/A	
1 <u>2</u> 5	7	0814	38,1	60.9	3.6	240	2	N/A	
-	8	0823	38,8	58.4	3.6	275	2	N/A	
	9	0932	48.8	41.4	3.7	330	2	29.81	
i	10	0948	50.4	34.5	3,3	335	2	29.81	
	11	0956	51.2	36.0	3.5	245	2	29.81	
	12	1003	52.1	34.0	3.4	255	3	29,81	
-15	13	1011	52.5	36.1	3.7	100	2	29,81	
- <sup>5</sup> 3	15	1034	52.8	33.4	3,4	260	4	29.81 ·	
6(1	16	1043	52,5	35.1	3.6	260	4	29.81	
Ē	17	1050	53.6	35,5	3.8	280	4	29.81	
HB	18	1100	55.4	32.8	3.7	220	3	29.80	
Ē	19	1118	56.5	30.4	3.6	220	3	29.80	
-	20	1125	56.3	27.3	3.2	305	3	29.80	
	21	1134	56.4	27.5	3.2	240	3	29.80	
	22	1142	56.7	27.4	3.2	280	5	29.80	
	23	1149	56,9	25.8	3.1	280	5	29.80	
	24	0929	52.2	57.8	5.8	155	5	29.96	
	25	0940	51.8	59.8	5.9	180	5	29.96	
	27	1014	53.1	49.7	5.2	360	10	29.96	
	28	1033	54.1	51.7	5.6	360	7	29.96	
5	29	1042	54.3	51,4	5.6	200	6	29.96	
Ε.	30	1052	55.9	45.7	5.2	330	4	29.96	
5	31	1102	56.0	46.8	5.4	335	7	29.93	
11	32	1110	56.0	46.8	5.4	335	7	29.93	
풍	33	1120	56,5	43.3	5.1	300	4	29.93	
L Ž	34	. 1129	56.8	43.1	5.1	310	5	29.93	
L	35	1137	57.5	45.2	5.5	260	7	29.92	
	36	1143	58.0	41.5	5,1	225	4	29.92	
	37	1151	60.5	38.4	5,1	180	2	29.92	
	38	1157	58.7	44.4	5.6	180	3 '	29.91	
	39	0932	52.7	51.5	5.3	35	7	30.06	
	40	0940	53.9	51.0	5.4	20	7	30.06	
	41	0948	54.9	46.6	5.2	10	7	30.06	
	42	0956	55.8	45,0	5.1	25	7	30.07	
	43	1004	56.0	46.2	5,3	15	7	30.07	
-75	44	1013	66.1	45,3	5.2	20	8	30.07	
5-	46	1031	57.2	40,5	4.9	20	9	30.07	
2	47	1040	57.4	38.8	4.7	360	7	30.07	
🛱	48	1049	57,8	40.7	5.0	360	7	30.07	
ġ	49	1100	58.4	38.0	4.7	350	4	30.07	
1 1	50	1110	58,2	37.8	4.7	360	2	30.07	
	51	1119	58.0	35.8	4.4	25	5	30.07	
	52	1129	57.8	37.4	4.6	360	10	30,07	
				]	1			1	

		IABLE BI			
MOBILE	ATMOSPHERIC	RECORDING	TOWER	WEATHER	DATA
	33-FOOT	(10-METER)	HEIGHT		

				AMR	RELATIVE	ABSOLUTE	WI	ND D	STATION
	FLI RUI	GHT & N NO.	TIME OF DAY	TEMP (°F)	HUMIDITY (%)	HUMIDITY (GM/ <sup>m<sup>3</sup></sup> )	DIR (DEG)	VEL (MPH)	PRESS (INHG)
		53	0939	55,1	41.8	4.7	20	5	30,00
1	75)	54	0946	55.3	42.5	4.8	45	4	30.00
j	5.5	55	0953	56.6	41.9	4.9 ·	350	5	30.00
	5	56	1001	56.1	44.8	5.2	40	5	30.01
	12	57	1008	56,5	45.2	5.3	20	7	30.01
ļ	E	<b>5</b> 9	1021	57.2	43.9	5.3	40	6	30.01
	5	60	1030	57.4	43.6	5.3	20	7	30.01
	-	61	1037	58.5	39.6	4.9	30	6	30.01
		62	1047	59.1	39.7	5,1	25	7	30,01
		65	1105	59.6	40.3	5,2	190	5	29.90
		66	1115	59.5	37.1	4.8	175	4	29.90
		67	1123	59.6	37.6	4.9	165	3	29.89
		69	1140	60.5	36.8	4.9	185	2	29.89
	75)	70	1149	60.5	36.3	4,9	205	4	29,89
	ė,	72	1209	60,9	32.9	4,5	75	3	29.88
	5 (2	73	1218	59.3	37.8	4,9	65	5	29,87
-	Τ2	74	1226	60.4	35.8	4,8	100	4	29.86
	θH	75	1241	60.3	33.2	4.4	170	4	29,85
	Ē	77	1302	60.8	30.7	4,2	130	5	29.84
	<b>L</b>	78	1319	61.1	29.4	4.0	145	4	29.84
		79	1327	61.2	29.5	4.0	140	3	29,84
		82	1348	60.3	31.4	4.2	155	6	29.84
		83	1358	60.1	31.7	4 2	155	4	29.83
1		84	1504	61.8	28.6	4.0	140	3	29.82
ا ھ	23	85	1513	61,8	30.6	4,3	250	2	29,82
3-7	Ŧ	86	1521	61.7	30.9	4.3	305	з	29,82
ġ	ច	87	1528	61.3	38.4	<sup>/</sup> 5.3	290	4	29,82
	ũ.	90	1546	61.8	26.6	3.7	270	3	29,82
		91	1553	61,8	26.6	3.7	310	2	29.82
		92	0857	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		94	0914	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		95	0923	59.0	54,2	6.9	155	2	29.99
Ì		96	0932	59.1	54.3	6.9	115	2	29.99
	(2)	97 ر	0940	59.9	53,5	7.0	130	2	29,99
	r.	<b>)</b> 98	0947	60.2	51.8	6.9	165	2	29.99
	2	99	1008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	1 21	100	1015	62.4	46.4	6.6	225	3	30.00
	'n	101	1023	62.3	45,9	6.5	245	4	30.00
	, ž	102	1030	63.3	44.6	6.6	250	3	30.00
	u.,	103	1038	63.3	44.2	6,5	165	2	30.00
		104	1046	63.0	44.8	6.5	265	3	30.00
		105	1053	63,1	45.3	6.6	275	3	30.00
		106	1102	63.2	46.2	6.8	315	3	30.00
		107	1115	63.1	46.2	6.7	325	3	30.00
		108	1157	63. <del>9</del>	46,8	7.0	310	5	30.00
	φ	109	1205	64.8	45.9	7.1	260	6	29.99
	55	110	1213	64.3	45.9	7.0	305	8	29.99
j	HO GH	111	1220	64,3	45.9	7.0	280	5	29.99
	<u>5</u>	112	1227	65.2	45.4	7.7	290	5	29.99
	N/A	× NOT	I AVAILABL	ι .€					

## TABLE B-1 (CONTINUED) MOBILE ATMOSPHERIC RECORDING TOWER WEATHER DATA 33-FOOT (10-METER) HEIGHT

ì

1. 1. 1.

( (

1.1.124

A South States

ž

.

197

1412.4

ł



FIGURE B-1. SURFACE WEATHER HISTORY YUMA TEST SITE

198

0.2



FIGURE B-1. SURFACE WEATHER HISTORY YUMA TEST SITE (CONTINUED)



FIGURE 8-2.1., SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS

 $\sim$ 



FIGURE B-2.2. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



FIGURE B-2.3. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



FIGURE B-2.4. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

203

Ξ.



FIGURE 8-2.5. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

204

~



#### FIGURE B-2.6. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

205



·.)

FIGURE B-2.7. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



N



FIGURE B-2.9. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

 $\odot$ 



99

FIGURE B-2.10. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

209

terestalisely she and the alternative to be a state of the second second second second second second second sec



FIGURE B-2.11. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

 $\sim$ 



FIGURE B-2.12. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

93

21)



FIGURE B-2.13, SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



#### FIGURE B-2.14. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

99

00

- 2 - C - 2

213

الحريدين التي مرغوضية والحكوك المتكوم ويتروهونين المراد المرادين



FIGURE B-2.15. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

n 🗅



#### FIGURE B-2.16. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



, FIGURE 8-2.17. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

 $\odot$   $\bigcirc$ 



FIGURE B-2.18. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



FIGURE B-2,19. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



200 200

Contraction of the second second

### FIGURE B-2.20. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

و و د و شور و





FIGURE B-2.22. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

<u>o</u> 2



FIGURE B-2.23. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

----



ى دەرىمەردەر ئىكىلىيەتلەردە

FIGURE B-2.24, SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

 $\odot$   $\odot$ 



: FIGURE 8-2.25. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

A hadred to a strength the same



FIGURE B-2.26. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



FIGURE B-2.27. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

<u>o</u> 2


FIGURE B-2.28. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



FIGURE B-2.29. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



FIGURE B-2.30. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

03

229

Elizabeth Communication and the



FIGURE B-2.31. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

はなかでは、はななから、一本のはないのは、からのは作られてはないたがなかなか。(1995年)のでは、1995年)の作品ではないでは<u>したがからないのではからないできた。</u>ないないではならないない。



FIGURE B-2.32. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

231

en 1990 en la seconda de Calendario en la

 $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$ 



FIGURE B-2.33. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



FIGURE 8-2.34. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT).



FIGURE B-2.35. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



### FIGURE B-2.36. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT).







### FIGURE B-2.38. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

 $\frown$ 



FIGURE B-2.39. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



22

FIGURE B-2.40. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

در الجرار

المتحافظ للمفاقية والمارين والمساجل





YUMA, ARIZONA \_ TEST SITE\_ DATE FEBRUARY 3, 1975 Wind direction is heading from which wind is blowing referenced METEOROLOGY RESEARCH INC. DATA SOURCE\_ MEASUREMENT TIMES ( PST): TEMP/RH WIND, to magnetic North. P HEIGHT ABOVE GROUND, 100 FT Ō n 240 200 DRY-BULB TEMP, OF RELATIVE HUMIDITY, % TURBULENCE, R WIND SPEED, KN WIND DIRECTION, DEG

#### FIGURE B-2.42. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

▲ 0-179

O 180-359



FIGURE B-2.43. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



FIGURE B-2.44. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)





⊙ 180-359

- FIGURE B-2.45. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

Ð



FIGURE B-2.46. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

02

245

ES Comer to inc

المتعادين



FIGURE B-2.47. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

يه تم



## FIGURE B-2.48. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

and the first sector of the sec



FIGURE B-2.49. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



FIGURE B-2.50. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

0.0



FIGURE B-2.51. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

•



FIGURE 8-2.52. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

251

S Statistics



ROURE B-2.53. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



فالمتحدثة المراجع والمراجع

.

ର ପ

253

The second states

Contract of the second

FIGURE B-2.54. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)



FIGURE B-2.55. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

4

1

 $\odot$ 



## FIGURE B-2.56. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)





FIGURE B-2.57. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONT)

 $\frown$ 



FIGURE B-2.58. SOUND-PATH WEATHER DURING FLYOVER NOISE TESTS (CONCLUDED)

## APPENDIX C

Summaries of Acoustic and Aircraft Operational Data

The printed output data from the computer program analyses of the measured acoustic and aircraft operation parameters are summarized and presented in tables C-1 through C-7.

Table C-1 is a summary of the measured aircraft operation parameters used in analyzing the flyover-noise data. The data are presented as follows:

Table C-1.1 DC-9 Refan Performance Summaries - Sideline

Table C-1.2 DC-9 Refan Performance Summaries - Takeoff

Table C-1.3 DC-9 Refan Performance Summaries - Takeoff with Cutback

Table C-1.4 DC-9 Refan Performance Summaries - Landing Approach, 50 Degree Flaps

Table C-1.5 DC-9 Refan Performance Summaries - Landing Approach, 35 Degree Flaps

Table C-2 presents computer program flyover-noise test condition summaries for the test runs used to determine the FAR Part 36 reference sideline noise level (Runs 11, 12, 16, 17, 18, and 19).

Table C-3 presents computer program flyover-noise test condition summaries for the test runs used to determine the FAR Part 36 reference takeoff noise level (Runs 9, 10, 13, 53, 54, and 55).

Table C-4 presents computer program flyover-noise test condition summaries for the test runs used to determine the FAR Part 36 reference takeoff with cutback noise level (Runs 11, 12, 16, 17, 18, and 19).

Table C-5 presents computer program flyover-noise test condition summaries for the test runs used to determine the FAR Part 36 reference landing approach with 50 degree flap setting noise level (Runs 27 through 32).

Table C-6 presents computer program flyover-noise test condition summaries for the test runs used to determine the FAR Part 36 reference landing approach with 35 degree flap setting noise level (Runs 42, 43, 44, 46, 48, 49, and 50).

Table C-7 presents a representative computer program flyover-noise analysis for each of the FAR Part 36 reference noise level determinations. These outputs provide listings of the aircraft, weather, and test site parameters used in each analysis. Also shown in table C-7.1 (as an example) are the following:

1. 1/3-octave band SPL's at 0.5 second intervals

2. 1/3-octave band center frequency of tone correction adjustment

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

- 3. Time history of overall SPL's at 0.5 second intervals
- 4. Time history of A-weighted sound levels at 0.5 second intervals
- 5. Time history of PML values at 0.5 second intervals
- 6. Time history of PNLT values at 0.5 second intervals
- 7. Time history of acoustic range for noise levels at 0.5 second intervals (sound path distance)
- 8. Time history of optical range for noise levels at 0.5 second intervals (slant range of aircraft at time flyover-noise reached microphone)
- 9. Noise levels at time of PNLTM.

# TABLE C-1.1 DC-9 REFAN PERFORMANCE SUMMARIES - SIDELINE

MODEL DC-9-31 FUSELAG	E ND. 741	REGIS	TRATION	NO. N54	638 T	EST DATE 1-39	- 75
ITEM / CASE	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FLIGHT NUMBER RUN NUMBER MICROPHONE LOCATION MICROPHONE NUMBER	16 11 16 9	16 11 20 10	16 12 20 10	16 12 16 9	16 16 16 9	16 16 20 10	
GROSS WEIGHT (1000 LP FLAP ANGLE (DE CALCHLATED FPR AIRCRAFT PATH SPEED (KNOT AIRPLANE HEIGHT (FEF AVE. MEASURED FN (LB AVE. MEAS REFERRED FN (LB	$\begin{array}{c} S \\ G \\ G \\ G \\ G \\ G \\ G \\ G \\ G \\ G \\$	106 UP2-1 1.731 176-1 951- 12934- 13521-	105 1192+1 1.724 176.5 976. 12775. 13404.	105 IJP 2.1 1.726 176.3 966. 12836. 13420.	100 UP2.1 1.729 175.0 958. 12895. 13485.	100 UP2.1 1.732 175.0 968. 12889. 13503.	
AVE. EXIT PRESSURE(PSIAVE. INLET PRESSURE(PSIAVF. EXHAUST TEMP(DEGPITCH ATTITUDE(DEGPOLL ATTITUDE(DEGPOLL ATTITUDE(DEGINBUARD FLAP(DEGINBUARD FLAP(DEGPRESSURE ALTITUDE(FEEMEASURED MACH NUMBER(FEECENTER DE GRAVITY(IMAAVE. ENGINE CORE SPEED(RPHEADING(DEGAVE. FAN INLET TEMP.(DEG	$\begin{array}{c} 25.594 \\ 3.9.59 \\ 495.3 \\ 61 \\ 20.57 \\ 61 \\ -3.45 \\ 61 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 12.59 \\ 0.0 \\ 12.59 \\ 12.59 \\ 0.0 \\ 12.59 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 12.59 \\ 0.0 $	25.594 0.0 495.3 20.57 -0.45 0.0 12.50 1228.0 175.4 0.0 20.2 86.6 91.3 0.0 12.5	25.406 0.0 492.9 19.51 -1.88 0.0 12.38 1326.0 175.6 0.0 20.5 86.3 91.1 0.0 12.4	25.469 9.0 492.9 19.51 -1.56 0.0 12.62 1228.0 175.1 0.0 20.5 86.3 91.1 0.0 12.6	25.539 494.1 18.81 -2.23 0.0 12.59 1232.0 173.8 0.0 20.4 86.3 91.1 0.0 12.5	25.484 0.7 494.8 19.25 -1.92 0.0 12.38 1284.0 174.0 0.0 20.4 86.4 91.1 0.0 12.4	
CORP. PRESSURF ALTITUDE (F CORRECTED AIRSPEED (KNOT CORRECTED MACH NUMBER AMBIENT PRESSURF (PSI AMBIENT TEMPERATURE (DEG AVF FAN OUCT PRESSURE (PSI AVF CORR ENG FAN SPEFD (RP AVF CORR ENG FAN SPEFD (RP AVF OUCT EXIT VEL. (FT/SF AVF CORF AIRFLOW (LB/SF AVE CORF AIRFLOW (LB/SF AVE INLET FLOW RATE (LB/SF AVF NOZILF EXH. ARFA (SO F PRODUCTION FR	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1224 \\ 175 \\ 75 \\ 0 \\ 271 \\ 14 \\ 0 \\ 50 \\ 15 \\ 23 \\ 15 \\ 23 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 8 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 $	1224 • 175•7 0•271 14•058 506•7 23•11•4 7475•8 328•3 153•1 481•4 81•4 81•91 1•729	1325. 175.9 0.272 14.006 506.5 23.0.6 7451.4 491.7 326.9 151.9 478.8 8.291 1.722	1226. 175.2 0.271 14.756 506.9 23.079 7446.9 491.2 327.7 152.2 479.9 8.721	1232. 174.2 0.269 14.053 506.8 23.0181 7462.8 492.4 327.7 152.5 480.2 8.291 1.726	1283. 174.3 0.270 14.027 506.7 23.048 611.0 7465.8 492.6 327.2 152.4 479.6 8.291 1.726	

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

# TABLE C-1.1 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN PERFORMANCE SUMMARIES - SIDELINE

HUDEL	DC-9-31	FUSELAGE	NO. 741	REGIST	FRATION N	10. N546	38 TE	ST DATE	1-29-75
	ITEM / CAS	<b>c</b>	7	.8	9	10	11	12	
FLICHT RUN NU MICROP MICROP	NUMBER MBER Hone Locatio Hone Number	N	16 17 16 9	16 17 20 10	16 18 16 9	16 18 20 10	16 19 16 9	16 19 20 10	
GROSS FLAP A CALCUI ATRCRA AIRPLA AVF. M AVF. M	WFIGHT NGLE ATED FPR FT PATH SPEF NE HEIGHT FASURED FN FAS REFERRED	(1000 L9S) (DFG) D (KNDTS) (FEFT) (FEFT) FN (L8S)	99 UP2.1 1.730 173.6 912. 12938. 13506.	99 UP2.1 1.730 174.0 922. 12900. 13490.	98 UP2.1 1.737 173.5 872. 13015. 13586.	98 UP2.1 1.736 173.5 882. 13305. 13589.	97 (191.9 1.747 173.4 814. 13205. 13736.	97 UP1.9 1.748 173.6 827. 13204. 1.3762.	
AVE - IE AVE - IE AVE - C INBAS INDAS INDA	XIT PRESSURE NLET PRESSURE XHAUST TEMP ATTITUDE TTITUDE DELAP POS.( OFLAP POS.( OFLAP POS.( OFLAP POS.( OF GRAVITY NGINE CORE S G AN INLET TEM	(PSTA) (PSTA) (PSTA) (PEG (PEG) (PEG) (PEG) (PEG) (PEG) (MAC) P. (PEG P. (PEG)	25.559 0.0 495.4 19.34 -0.13 0.0 12.50 1185.0 173.5 0.0 20.2 86.5 91.2 0.0 12.5	25.588 0.0 495.4 19.51 -0.13 0.0 12.50 1234.0 173.9 0.0 20.2 86.5 91.2 0.0 12.5	25.695 0.0 20.92 -1.52 0.0 12.62 1187.0 173.9 0.0 20.1 86.8 91.4 0.0 12.6	$25.650 \\ 0.0 \\ 496.6 \\ 20.92 \\ -1.16 \\ 0.0 \\ 12.50 \\ 1212.0 \\ 174.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 20.1 \\ 86.8 \\ 91.4 \\ 0.0 \\ 12.5 \end{bmatrix}$	25.895 0.0 499.0 19.86 -2.63 0.0 12.86 1086.0 173.4 0.0 19.9 87.3 91.6 0.0 12.9	25.886 0.0 500.3 27.74 -1.20 0.0 12.62 1141.0 173.8 0.0 19.9 87.3 91.6 0.0 12.6	
	PRESSURF ALT TED AIPSPEED TED MACH NUM T PRESSURF T TEMPERATUR N DUCT PRESS N DUCT TEMP RR ENG EAN CT EXIT VEL T AIRFLOW LET FLOW PAT 77LE EXH. AR TION FOP	ITUDE (FT) (KNOTS) BEP (PSIA) E (DEG P) URE (PSIA) (DEG P) (PEN) (PPM) (FT/SEC) (LB/SEC) (LB/SEC) E (LS/SEC) E4 (S0 ET)	1184. 174.0 0.269 14.078 506.9 23.136 611.7 7473.6 492.7 328.3 153.1 481.4 8.291 1.727	1233 • 174 • 2 0 • 269 14 • 053 506 • 8 23 • 092 611 • 1 7475 • 8 327 • 9 152 • 8 327 • 9 152 • 8 8 • 291 1 • 729	1183. 174.2 0.269 14.078 507.1 23.176 611.7 7495.8 494.7 153.7 482.4 8.291 1.734	1212. 174.4 0.269 14.764 506.8 23.165 611.6 7497.4 497.4 328.5 153.6 482.1 8.291 1.734	1086. 173.8 0.268 14.128 507.5 23.324 612.7 7533.7 497.1 155.3 485.3 8.291 1.744	1141. 173.8 0.268 14.109 507.2 23.298 612.6 7536.1 498.0 329.6 155.1 484.7 8.291 1.744	

المحرب المراجع والمحرفة المعر

262

TABLE C-1.2									
DC-9 REFAI	PERFORMANCE SUMMARIES - TAKEOFF								

.

MCDEL	DC-9-31	FUSELAGE N	0. 741	REGIS	TRATION	ND. N54638	TEST	DATE 2-02-75
	ITEM / CAS	E	1	2	3			
FLIGHT RUN NU MICROP MICROP	NUMBER Mber HCNE Locatio Hone Number	N	21 53 C6 1	21 54 C6 1	21 55 C6 1	16 13 C6 1	16 66 1	16 10 C6 1
GROSS FLAP A CALCUL AIRCRA AIRPLA AVE. M AVE. M	WEIGHT NGLE ATED EPR FT PATH SPEE NE HEIGHT EASURED FN EAS REFERRED	(1000 LBS) (DEG) (TEG) (FEET) (LBS) FN (LBS)	109 UP1.7 1.745 181.3 2062. 12724. 13782.	107 UP2.0 1.737 179.8 2117. 12666. 13661.	106 UP2.1 1.735 179.4 2208. 12543. 13631.	104 UP2.1 1.758 177.1 2382. 12517. 13859.	109 UP2.1 1.749 179.6 2316. 12484. 13750.	106 UP2.1 1.757 178.3 2428. 12538. 13876.
AVE. AVE. AVE. PITCH ROLLAR INBBASS AVES AVE. AVE. AVE. AVE. AVE. AVE. AVE. AVE.	XIT PRESSURE NLET PRESSUR XHAUST TEMP ATTITUDE TTITUDE D FLAP POS-( AIR TEMP. RE ALTITUDE IRSPEED (PIL ED MACH NUMB OF GRAVITY NGINE CORE S G INLET TEM	E (PSIA) (DEG F) (DEG F) (DEG) (DEG) (DEG) (DEG) (DEG) (FEET) (FEET) (KN) EED (RPM) (DEG) PEED (RPM) (DEG) P. (DEG R)	24.946 0.0 503.3 18.63 0.0 2.10 12.98 2195.0 175.4 0.0 19.6 87.6 92.1 0.0 13.0	24.919 0.0 500.9 20.21 -0.85 0.0 2.10 13.21 2075.0 173.8 0.0 13.6 87.1 91.8 0.0 13.2	24.689 0.0 499.7 19.60 -2.06 0.0 2.10 12.86 2290.0 172.5 0.0 172.5 0.0 19.9 87.0 91.8 0.0 12.9	24.646 0.0 500.3 20.21 -2.45 0.0 9.76 2791.0 176.8 0.0 20.6 87.6 91.8 0.0 9.8	24.589 0.0 495.3 18.63 -0.36 0.0 9.63 2649.0 175.3 0.0 19.7 87.3 91.7 0.0 9.6	24.625 0.0 497.2 18.98 -0.85 0.0 9.39 2784.0 175.9 0.0 20.0 87.5 91.7 0.0 9.4
CORRECO CORRECN AMBIEN AVE FA AVE COU AVE COU AVE COU AVE IND AVE IND	PRESSURE ALT TED AIRSPEED TED MACH NUM T PRESSURE T TEMPERATUR N DUCT PRESS N DUCT TEMP. RR ENG FAN S CT EXIT VELS IT AIRFLOW RE AIRFLOW LET FLOW RAT ZZLE EXH.	ITUDE (FT) (KNOTS) BER (PSIA) E (DEG R) URE (PSIA) (DEG R) (DEG R) (DEG R) (LB/SEC) (LB/SEC) E (LB/SEC) E (LB/SEC)	2192. 175.7 0.276 13.568 507.2 22.571 613.8 7563.1 499.4 318.5 150.3 468.8 8.293	2077. 173.9 0.273 13.626 507.9 22.552 613.7 7511.8 497.0 318.5 149.2 467.8 8.234	2284. 172.7 0.272 13.523 507.3 22.359 7510.0 497.6 316.2 148.1 464.3 8.2 735	2791. 177.2 0.282 13.273 501.3 22.134 607.1 7607.4 498.0 314.6 149.2 463.9 8.291 1.757	2649. 175.6 0.278 13.343 501.3 22.167 606.4 7570.0 315.4 148.9 464.3 8.290 1.747	2779. 176.2 0.280 13.279 500.7 22.144 606.5 7599.3 498.2 314.6 149.1 463.8 8.2990 1.755
TABLE	C-1.3							
-------	-------							
-------	-------							

 $\frown \frown$ 

DC-9 REFAN PERFORMANCE SUMMARIES - TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK

MONEL DC-9-31 F	USELAGE N	10. 741	REGIS	TRATION	NO. N54	638 T	EST DATE	1-29-75
ITEM / CASE		1	2	3	4	5	6	
FLIGHT NUMBER RUN NUMBER MICROPHONE LOCATION MICROPHONE NUMBER		16 12 C6 1	16 11 C6 1	16 16 Č6 1	16 17 C6 1	16 18 C5 1	16 19 C6 1	
GROSS WEIGHT (1 FLAP ANGLE CALCULATED EPR AIRCRAFT PATH SPEED AIRPLANE HEIGHT AVE. MEASURED FN AVE. MEAS REFERRED F	.000 LBS) (DEG) (KNDTS) (FEET) (LBS) N (LBS)	105 UP2-1 1.466 175.3 2248. 8626. 9426.	106 UP2.1 1.442 175.4 2322. B241. 9026.	100 UP2+1 1.446 174.4 2288. 8342. 9111.	99 UP2.1 1.447 176.8 2163. 8349. 9080.	98 UP2.1 1.442 175.0 2206. 8269. 9019.	97 UP1.8 1.438 174.7 2175. 8214. 8949.	
AVE. EXIT PRESSURE AVE. INLET PRESSURE AVE. EXHAUST TEMP PITCH ATTITUDE ROLL ATTITUDE INBOARD FLAP POS.(LH INBOARD FLAP POS.(LH TOTAL AIR TEMP. PRESSURE ALTITUDE MEAS AIRSPEED (PILOT MEASURED MACH NUMBER CENTER OF GRAVITY AVE. ENGINE FAN SPEE AVE. ENGINE CORE SPE HEADING AVE. FAN INLET TEMP.	(PSIA) (PSIA) (DEG F) (DEG) (DEG) (DEG) (DEG) (DEG) (DEG) (FEET) S) (KN) (MAC) (RPM) (DEG) (DEG) (DEG)	20.750 0.0 430.5 14.68 1.47 0.0 9.88 2439.0 171.9 0.0 20.5 75.6 86.5 0.0 9.9	20.316 0.0 429.3 13.89 -0.44 0.0 9.63 2500.0 169.4 0.0 20.2 74.5 86.6 0.0 9.6	20.457 0.0 425.5 13.18 0.11 0.0 9.88 2426.0 170.6 0.0 20.4 74.8 86.0 0.0 9.9	20.593 0.0 427.4 14.77 0.54 0.0 10.36 2306.0 173.3 0.0 20.2 74.9 86.1 0.0 10.4	$20.437 \\ 0.0 \\ 424.9 \\ 13.98 \\ 1.74 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 10.12 \\ 2387.0 \\ 172.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 172.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 174.6 \\ 86.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 10.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 10.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 10.1 \\ 0.0 \\$	20.421 0.0 426.2 15.12 -2.50 0.0 10.48 2354.0 172.1 0.0 19.9 74.5 86.1 0.0 10.5	
CORR. PRESSURE ALTII CORRECTED AIRSPEED CORRECTED MACH NUMBE AMBIENT PRESSURE AVBIENT TEMPERATURE AVE FAN DUCT PRESSUR AVE FAN DUCT TEMP. AVE CORR ENG FAN SPE AVE DUCT EXIT VEL. AVE EXIT AIRFLOW AVE CORE AIRFLOW AVE INLET FLOW RATE AVE NOZZLE EXH. AREA PRODUCTION EPR	UDE (FT) (KNDTS) (KNDTS) (DEG R) (DEG R) (DEG R) (DEG R) (DEG R) (DEG R) (DEG R) (LB/SEC) (LB/SEC) (LB/SEC) (LB/SEC) (LB/SEC) (LSQ FT)	2434. 172.1 0.272 13.449 502.1 20.63 5564.5 439.1 292.7 119.5 8.290 1.464	2495. 169.7 0.268 13.418 501.8 19.757 583.3 6469.7 427.6 238.1 116.6 404.290 1.441	2422. 170.9 0.270 13.455 502.2 19.88.4 588.4 6490.7 430.8 289.8 117.5 407.5 8.290 1.445	2304 • 173.7 0 • 274 13 • 513 50 2 • 9 19 • 297 585 • 9 431 • 0 291 • 2 118 • 0 291 • 2 118 • 0 40 9 • 3 8 • 445	2385. 172.8 0.273 13.473 502.4 19.88.4 558.4 6477.1 433.8 289.3 117.2 89.290 117.2 8.439	2353. 172.5 0.272 13.489 503.0 19.858 58455 6460.7 432.7 289.3 116.8 406.2 8.290 1.438	

TABLE C-1,4	
DC-9 REFAN PERFORMANCE SUMMARIES - LANDING APPROACH, 50-DEGREE FLAPS	

.

1

)

.

٠

٤

MODEL	. DC- <del>9-</del> 31	FUSELAGE N	10. 741	REGIS	TRATION	ND. N54	638 T	EST DATE	1-31-75
	ITEM / C	ASF	1	2	3	4	5	6	
FLIGH RUN N Micro Micro	IT NUMBER NUMBER JPHONE LOCAT JPHONE NUMBE	ION	19 30 10 6	19 27 10 6	19 28 10 6	19 29 10 6	. <u>19</u> . <u>31</u> 10 6	19 32 10 6	
GROSS FLAP CALCU AIRCR AIRPL AVF. AVE.	WEIGHT ANGLE JLATED EPR LAFT PATH SP ANE HEIGHT MEASURED FN MEAS REFERR	(1000 LBS) (DEG) EED (KNOTS) (FEFT) (LBS) ED =N (LBS)	94 49.3 1.238 140.2 369. 5495. 5558.	98 49.3 1.235 135.9 344. 5451. 5507.	96 49.5 1.213 134.8 292. 5016. 5059.	95 49.7 1.218 125.3 354. 5170. 5225.	93 49.5 1.220 134.1 366. 5150. 5209.	92 49.5 1.235 137.1 379. 5451. 5517.	
AVE AVE PILLA PILLA PILLA PILLA PILLA PILLA PILLA AVE AVE AVE AVE AVE AVE AVE AVE AVE AV	EXIT PRESSU INLET PRESS EXHAUST TEM ATTITUDE ATT	RE (PSIA) URF (PSIA) P (DFG F) (DEG) (DEG) (DEG) (DEG) (DEG C) E (EEFT) ILOTS) (KN) MBER Y (MAC) SPEED (RPM) SPEED (RPM) (DEG) EMP. (DEG P)	$18.590 \\ 0.0 \\ 375.9 \\ 1.41 \\ -0.87 \\ -49.91 \\ -49.28 \\ 13.10 \\ 292.0 \\ 141.3 \\ 0.0 \\ 19.6 \\ 63.6 \\ 81.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 13.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 0$	18.5580.0374.11.76-0.40-49.91-49.3512.74259.0141.40.020.563.380.90.312.7	18.227 0.0 366.6 1.41 -2.06 -49.98 -49.49 12.86 214.0 136.8 0.0 20.0 61.6 80.1 0.0 12.9	18.205 0.0 367.8 1.67 -1.20 -50.22 -49.62 12.62 275.0 129.1 0.0 19.8 61.8 80.2 0.0 12.6	18.275 0.0 369.6 1.41 -2.12 -50.04 -49.49 13.10 291.0 136.1 0.0 19.4 62.1 80.3 0.0 13.1	18.517 0.0 375.9 -2.50 -50.04 -49.49 13.33 310.0 139.6 0.0 19.3 63.3 81.0 0.0 13.3	
	PRESSURE A ECTED AIRSPE CTED MACH N INT TEMPERAT AN DUCT PRE AN DUCT TEM DUCT EXIT VE SORE ENG FAN DUCT EXIT VE XIT AIRFLOW ORE AIRFLOW NOZZLE EXH. JCTION EPR	LTITUDE (FT) ED (KNOTS) UMBER (PSIA) UPE (DEG R) SSURE (PSIA) D (DEG R) (DEG R	318. 143.8 04.528 510.4 18.777 5487.8 347.8 347.3 3451.3 345.4 1.233	283 • 143 • 14 • 546 509 • 8 18 • 762 564 • 7 5463 • 1 344 • 1 250 • 6 344 • 0 8 • 290 1 • 231	237. 13.211 14.570 51.0.3 18.459 561.7 5317.4 336.2 242.0 332.5 8.207 1.207	294 • 130 • 5 0 • 198 14 • 540 510 • 5 18 • 429 5338 • 5 332 • 5 332 • 5 332 • 5 332 • 7 241 • 5 331 • 7 8 • 290 1 • 214	314. 138.5 0.211 14.530 510.7 18.497 563.1 5358.3 337.3 243.7 334.5 8.290 1.214	333. 142.9 0.216 14.520 513.9 18.719 5463.9 5463.6 249.5 93.3 342.8 8.299 1.229	

الواصلة مايتا ومبقك وورابا وينجا ويلجر والموتد وليقتر والمجران الشقل والريام ومواودين ويتابه الحكم والشبطية الأبعال

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE C-1.5

DC-9 REFAN PERFORMANCE SUMMARIES - LANDING APPROACH, 35-DEGREE FLAPS

NODEL DC-9-31 FUSELAGE N	10. 741	REGIS	TRATION	NO. N54	638 T	EST DATE	2-01-75
ITEM / CASE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
FLIGHT NUMBER	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
RUN NUMBER	44	46	49	43	48	42	50
Microphone Location	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Microphone Number	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
GROSS WEIGHT (1000 LBS)	102	100	96	103	98	104	95
FLAP ANGLE (DEG)	34.7	34.7	34.1	34.6	34.7	34.9	33.7
CALCULATED EPR	1.153	1.152	1.164	1.193	1.151	1.125	1.166
AIRCRAFT PATH SPEED (KNOTS)	137.5	137.8	138.8	15C.8	135.1	131.5	142.5
AIRPLANE HEIGHT (FEET)	363.	377.	368.	368.	356.	356.	387.
AVE. MEASURED FN (LBS)	3736.	3722.	3963.	4567.	3729.	3181.	4001.
AVE. MEAS REFERRED FN (LBS)	3764.	3753.	3994.	4604.	3756.	3205.	4038.
AVE. EXIT PRESSURE(PSIA)AVE. INLET PRESSURE(PSIA)AVE. EXHAUST TEMP(DEG F)PITCH ATTITUDE(DEG)ROLL ATTITUDE(DEG)INBOARD FLAP POS.(RH)(DEG)INBOARD FLAP POS.(LH)(DEG)INBOARD FLAP POS.(LH)(DEG)TOTAL AIR TEMP.(DEG C)PRESSURE ALTITUDE(FEET)MEAS AIRSPEED (PILOTS)(KN)MEASURED MACH NUMBER(ENTER OF GRAVITYCENTER OF GRAVITY(MAC)AVE. ENGINE FAN SPEED(RPM)AVE. ENGINE CORE SPEED(RPM)HEADING(DEG)AVE. FAN INLET TEMP.(DEG R)	$17.425 \\ 0.0 \\ 345.8 \\ 2.81 \\ 0.16 \\ -34.92 \\ -34.66 \\ 14.29 \\ 184.9 \\ 145.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 145.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 20.9 \\ 56.4 \\ 77.4 \\ 0.0 \\ 14.3 \\ 0.0 \\ 14.3 \\ 0.0 \\ 14.3 \\ 0.0 \\ 14.3 \\ 0.0 \\ 14.3 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 14.3 \\ 0.0 $	17.394 0.0 345.2 2.46 0.19 -34.92 -34.66 14.40 205.1 0.0 145.1 0.0 20.3 56.3 77.5 0.0 14.4	17.561 0.0 352.1 1.76 -1.52 -34.41 -34.08 15.360 144.3 0.0 144.3 0.0 19.7 57.6 78.1 0.0 15.4	18.109 0.0 362.1 1.58 0.19 -34.92 -34.58 14.52 197.0 156.3 0.0 21.0 60.6 79.6 0.0 14.5	17.361 0.0 351.5 3.69 0.47 -34.78 -34.66 14.88 187.0 141.3 0.0 19.9 56.3 77.7 0.0 14.9	16.934 0.0 333.9 5.19 0.0 -35.37 -34.91 13.8 191.0 197.6 0.0 20.8 52.7 75.4 0.0 13.8	17.616 0.0 352.1 2.37 0.42 -34.07 -33.74 15.36 232.0 149.1 0.0 19.6 58.0 78.2 0.0 15.4
CORR. PRESSURE ALTITUDE (FT)	203.	224.	217.	220.	201.	204 •	252.
CORRECTED AIRSPEED (KNOTS)	147.5	147.1	146.2	158.4	143.2	139 • 3	151.1
CORRECTED MACH NUMBER	0.224	0.223	0.222	0.240	0.217	0 • 211	0.229
AMBIENT PRESSURE (PSIA)	14.589	14.577	14.581	14.580	14.589	14 • 588	14.563
AMBIENT TEMPERATURE (DEG R)	512.3	512.6	514.3	511.9	513.7	512 • 0	513.9
AVE FAN DUCT PRESSURE (PSIA)	17.753	17.732	17.878	18.410	17.707	17 • 308	17.938
AVE FAN DUCT TEMP. (DEG R)	555.6	555.9	559.3	562.7	556.7	550 • 2	559.9
AVE CORR ENG FAN SPEED (RPM)	4854.3	4846.3	4950.5	5211.6	4831.5	4541 • 6	4984.9
AVE DUCT EXIT VEL. (FT/SEC)	299.9	310.4	312.1	330.6	299.2	281 • 8	312.1
AVE CORE AIRFLOW (LB/SEC)	221.1	220.4	224.7	330.6	218.9	205 • 2	227.1
AVE CORE AIRFLOW (LB/SEC)	78.9	78.6	80.8	87.6	78.2	71 • 7	81.6
AVE INLET FLOW RATE (LB/SEC)	300.0	299.0	305.6	328.2	297.1	276 • 9	308.7
AVE NOZZLE EXH. AREA (SO FT)	8.290	8.290	8.290	8.290	8.290	8 • 290	8.290
PRODUCTION EPR	1.149	1.149	1.160	1.138	1.148	1 • 123	1.161

99

.

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-STAPT SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION BASIC UNIT SCUND PRESS (DB PEL. ) DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, PNI. PNI.	SAE ARP866(REV) SURE LEVEL 9.3372 MICRORAR) OVERALL, A-WTD, 6 EPNI
--	--	--

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 9-55-35.9 DTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME DE PNLIM DE 9-55-40.0 TIME DE ATREFAET AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 9-55-35.3

MEASUPEMENT INFO AIRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA WEATHER DATA MTC. NUMBER CUSF. NO. 741 7475. RPM 1.729 AVG. NIPT = **0** AM9. TEMP. = 51.2 F = MIC. LOCATION 16 FETGHT 16 AVG. FPO PFL. HUM. = 36.0 PCT MIC. NRIENT GRAFING PUN A/P HEADING = 210. DEG ABS. HUMI 3.5 GM/M3 = 11 TEST SITE FLAP POS = UP 2.1 DEG VIIMA = 940.7 CT WIND SPEED HEIGHT = 2. KN PATH ANG. LAT. DEV. = 1516.7 FT SLNT.RNG. = 1784.1 FT TEST DATE WIND DIR. 245. DEG 1 - 29 - 75= 9.9 PEG = TEST MUMBER JOB 511 PITCH ANG. = 20.5 DEG STA. PRESS = 29.81 IN HG JAR PFEL 45282 PATH SPD. = 176.1 KN GR. WEIGHT = 105500. 18 RT. THETA -9957 =

TYPE OF FLYDVER -- STMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- FN/DLT = 9500LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- .25 NMT STDELINE, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT PECORDING AT X = 538.0. Y =-1461.0. 7 = 4.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST FND OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = 538.0. Y =-1519.0. Z = .0 FEET

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JI80-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELIES

FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

DC-9-31 REFAN FLYDVER NOTSE TEST

MODEL DC-9-31 PEG. NO. N54638

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75

REFERENCE SUPPACE WEATHER CONNTTIONS

a the state of the second state of the second second state and the second s

DATA PROCESSED 06/28/75 14140628 PAGE 1

PATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

TABLE C-2.1 DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

**`--**-

# TABLE C-2,1 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

1

1

	FAR	PART 36 C	ALCULATED	NOTSE LEVELS	141406	528	PAGE =	2
MODEL DC-9	-31 EUST	FLAGE NO.	741	REGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST	DATE 1-29	1-75
ELIGHT NO.	16 TEST	F RIJN NO	11	MICROPHONE NO.	9	MIC.	LOCATION	16

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

• •

SUMMAPY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

**beld i sandif ter a site da liter esta constata Managa na ana su i da site a site a su a su su su su su su su** 

PNLTM= 98.9 PNDB DCF= -2.3 DB EPNL= 96.6 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAI CALCULATIONS

FREDHENCY	MEASUPEN SPI NOTSTNESS	ADJUSTED SPL NOTSTNESS	NOTSE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS
(H7)	(DB) (NOYS) 76-2 3-4	(nR) $(NOVS)$	REF. TEST
63 80 100	76•7 4•8 75•6 5•3 73•9 5•9	76-7 4-7 75-6 5-2 73-8 5-9	AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 51.2 REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0 36.0
125 160 200 250	68.3 4.1 79.0 10.5 83.8 17.1 85.4 20.3	58.2 4.1 78.9 10.4 83.7 16.8 85.2 20.0	PREENPMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 176.8 176.1 AVE EN/D (LBS) 13721.0 13521.3
315 400 500 630	86.9 23.9 77.9 13.8 85.1* 22.9 78.7 14.6	86.7 23.5 77.6 13.6 84.9* 22.5 78.5 14.4	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 1789. 1784. NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 1896. 1898.
800 1000 1250	78.3 14.3 74.7 11.1 70.7 9.6 65.3 8.6	78.4 14.4 75.3 11.5 72.0 10.6	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = 96.6 FPNDB DELTA 1 (ARP866) = 0.5 FPNDB DELTA 1 (ARP866) = 0.5 FPNDB
2000 2500 3150 4000	58.9 6.4 50.5 4.1 39.9 2.1 28.6 0.0	63.6 8.9 58.2 7.0 52.2 5.) 47.8 3.7	DELTA 2 = J.O EPNDA DELTA S = -0.0 EPNDA DELTA EN/D = 0.2 EPNDA REF. EPNL EN/D = 97.3 EPNDA
5000 6300 8000 10000			
PNI. PNI.TM	96.6 DNDR 98.9 DNDR	97-1 PNDR 99-4 PNDR	

\* RANN PRODUCTING TONE CORPECTION

ANALYZER TYPE / PESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH ANTO-STAPT ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARPB66(REV) BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS (DR REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR) 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD, PNL, PNLT & FPNL PATA TYPES AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS

Maria and the market of a state of the second of the second s

# DESCRIPTION OF ACCUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS PELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 9-55-35-8 DIMER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PALTM OF 9-55-40.0 TIME DE ATRERAET AT MINIMUM PISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 9-55-35.4 REFERENCE SUBFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS. TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

MEACUREMENT INCO ATRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA WEATHER DATA FUSF. NO. 741 FLIGHT 16 7475. RPM AVG. NIRT = AMB. TEMP. = 51.2 F MTC . NUMBER 10 36.0 PCT MIC. LOCATION 20 AVG. FPR = 1.729 REL. HUM.  $\Xi$ ARS. PLIN OPTENT GRAZING A/P HEAPING = 210. DEG HIM. 3.5 GM/M3 11 TEST STE FLAP POS. WIND. VIEMA HETGHT ≞ 951.1 FT = UP 2.1 NEG SPEED = 2. KN LAT. DEV. =-1409.4 FT SENT RNG. = 1700.3 FT PATH SPD. = 176.1 KN TEST DATE 1-29-75 PATH ANG. 9.9 DFG WIND DIR. 245. DEG = = JA8 511 PITCH ANG. = 20.5 GR. WEIGHT = 105500. STA. PRESS = 29.81 IN HG .9957 TEST NUMBER 20.5 DEG JOB REFL ĮŘ RT. THETA 45282 =

TYPE DE ELVOUSP -- SIMULATED T.C. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- EN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- .25 NMI SIDELINE, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT PECOPOLING AT X = .555.0, Y = 1464.0, Z = -9.0 FEET EPOM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = .538.0, Y = 1519.0, 7 = .0 FEET

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- REWA JI80-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NĂČELĽĖŠ

FAR DART 36 NOISE LEVELS

DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

14140628 PAGE 1

MODEL DC-9-31 PFC. NO. 154639

ORIGINAL OF POOR <u> 3474 316171759 2-1-75</u> QUALTEN

A

DATA PROCESSED 06/28/75

DATA TRENTTEICATION INFORMATION

FAR PART 36 FLYDVER NOTSE LEVELS

**DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY** 

TABLE C-2.2

3

22

270

# TABLE C-2.2 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

FAR PART	36	CALCULATED	NOISE	LEVELS	14140628	PAGE =	2

						-
MODEL 35-9-31 ELIGHT NO. 15	FUSELAGE NO.	741 11	PEGISTRATION NO. MICROPHONE NO.	N54638 TES1 10 MTC	DATE 1-29-	-75 20

# REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN STOELINE REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

PNLTM= 99.4 PNDR DC== -2.5 DR FPNL= 96.9 FPNDR

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

#### NOTSE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NOTSE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS
EREQUENCY (H7) 50 63	SPL NOTSINESS (DP) (NOYS) 72-3 2-3 74-7 4-0 75-0 5-0	SPL NOISTNESS (PR) (NOVS) 71.9 2.2 74.3 3.8 76.4 8	WEATHED PEF. TEST AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 51.2 PEL HIM (DEG F) 70.0 36.0
100 125 160 233	70.8 4.5 72.4 5.7 80.7 11.9 85.4 18.9 87.9 24.1	7).4 4.4 7).9 5.5 80.2 11.5 84.0 18.2 87.4 23.2	PRESPRANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 176-8 176-1 AVE EN/D (L95) 13721-0 13521-3
315 400 500 630	83.8 19.2 83.1 19.7 86.3* 24.7 79.6 15.6	83.2 18.4 82.4 18.9 85.6* 23.6 79.0 15.0	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (ET) 1789. 1700. NOISE PATH DIST. (ET) 1896. 1819.
1000 1250 1600 2000	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	78.8 14.7 77.7 13.6 74.0 12.1 71.2 13.0 65.5 10.1	$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Calchilder in Number Levels} \\ \text{MEASURED FONL} &= 96.9 \text{ FONDB} \\ \text{OFLTA 1 (ARP866)} &= 0.0 \text{ FONDB} \\ \text{DFLTA 2} &= 0.2 \text{ FONDB} \\ \text{DFLTA 5} &= -0.0 \text{ FONDB} \end{array}$
2500 3150 4000 5000 6300 8030 10000	53.5 5.1 42.7 2.6 33.4 1.4	60.2 8.0 53.6 5.5 50.8 4.5	PELTA EN/D = 0.2 EPNDB PEE. EPNL EN/D = 97.3 EPNDB
PNL PNLTM	97.7 PMDA 99.4 PMDA	97.7 PNDR 99.4 PNDR	

\* RAND DOGDUCING TONE COORECTION

### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

PREPARINGE SUPEACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

ATRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10- 3-26.6 OTHER REPRESENTANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF POLTM OF 10- 3-30.5 TIME OF ATRCEAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10- 3-26.0

ATPPLANE AND ENGINE DATA WEATHER DATA NEVCHDENENA INEA MIC. NUMPER AVG. NIRT = 7448. PPM AMB. TEMP. = 52.1 Fg i FUSE. NO. 741 AVG. EDP = A/P HEADING = REL. HUM. = 34.0 PCT FUTGHT MIC. LOCATION 16 16 1.721 MIC OPTENT GAATING 210. - 9F G ABS. HUM. 3.4 GM/M3 RUM Ŧ 12 = 966.3 FT HETCHT FLAP POS. = 110 2.1 DEG WIND SPEED = 3. KN 1.47. DEV. = 1543.4 FT TEST DATE 1 - 29 - 75PATH ANG. 9.6 NEG WIND 010. = 255. DFG = STA. PRESS = 29.81 IN HG PT. THETA = .9957 SUNT.PNG. = 1820.9 FT PITCH ANG. = 19.5 DEG GP. WEIGHT = 104600. LB TEET NUMBED JOB 511 PATH SPN. = 176.3 KN JOB PEEL 45282

TYPE HE FLYDYRE -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- FN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUPEMENT TYPE -- .25 NMI SIDELINE, 4 FEET ARDVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = 538.0, Y =-1461.0, 7 = 4.) FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = 538.0, Y =-1519.0, 7 = .0 FEET

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JI80-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

DC-9-31 REEAN ELYOVER NOTSE TEST

MODEL DC+9-31 PEG. NO. N54638

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75

**Mada and Mark Tan Mark And Mark and Mark and Mark and Mark and Mark and Andreas and an and and a state of the second** 

DATA PPOCESSED 06/28/75

14140628 PAGE 1

NATA INENTIFICATION INFORMATION

FAR DAPT 36 FLYOVED NOTSE LEVELS

DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

TABLE C-2.3

### TABLE C-2.3 (CONTINUED)

## DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

FAR PART	36	CALCULATED NOISE	IFVFLS	14140628	PAGE =	2

NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.

MODEL ELICHT	01-9-31 ND- 16	FUSELAGE NO. TEST RUN NO	741	PEGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST DATE 1-29-75
er from -		THE A METER WIT	12	and the strength of the	7	WIGE FOUR DUM TO

PEFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOTSE LEVELS.

PNITM= 98.7 PNDR DCF= -2.8 DR FPNL= 95.9 EPNDR

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

#### MEASURED ADJUSTED NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS NULSINESS FREQUENCY SPL NOISINESS SPI (NOYS) (NOYS) (H7) ไปคิ้า (081) REE. TEST WEATHED 50 2.9 74.8 3.Ō 74.8 77.2 63 5.0 77.2 5.0 AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 52.1 80 75.9 5.4 76.0 5.4 PEL. HUM. (PCT) 70.) 34.0 73.7 5.8 73.7 5.8 100 125 69.3 69.3 4.4 PREFORMANCE 4.4 9.7 9.7 77.8 PATH SPEED (KN) 176.8 176.3 160 77.8 AVE ENID 13721.0 13420.0 200 83.4 16.4 83.3 16.3 [[B<} 21.6 250 86.4 21.9 86.3 22.9 315 86.3 86.2 FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 1821. 400 78.0 13.9 77.9 13.8 1802. 23.0 22.9 500 85.7\* 85.1\* NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 1885. 1905. 79.6 15.5 79.6 630 15.5 79.2 15.2 79.5 CALCULATED NOTSE LEVELS 800 75.8 11.9 MEASURED FPNE 95.9 EPNOR 75.0 11.3 1000 ÷ DELTA 1 (ARP866) DELTA 2 DELTA 5 3.6 FPNDR 71.2 72.9 11.2 1250 10.0 ÷ -0.0 FPNDB 1600 65.1 8.5 68.3 10.6 Ŧ -0.0 EPNDR 2000 59.5 6.7 64.9 9.7 = 9.3 EPNNA 96.7 EPNDA 2500 7.3 DEETA ENIT 50.3 4.1 58.8 Ŧ 2.2 PEF. EPNL EN/D 315) 40.4 53.7 5.5 = 29.8 50.4 4000 4.4 5000 6337 8000 10000

PNL DNI TM	96.6 98.7		97•2 99•4	
DNITM	98.7	DNDA	99.4	DND

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORPECTION

272

	TIME PE 3	televet v	ν ΝΕΓΩΤΙΜΑΥΙΑ	i bitži dalje –	with with all	NHUME FOU		-20.1
	6 EEE 0 EN ĴE	SUPEACE	WEATHER C	<u>ONDITIONS</u>	темр = 7	7.0 F & RI	EL. HUM. =	70.0 PCT
		Ũc	SCRIPTION	I GE VCUNZTI	CAL DATA	PPOCESSIN	G,	
ANALYZER CISA MODE SAMPLE IN Avepaging	TYPE / DES 1 PASS TERVAL ENR TIME = 1.4	DEUTION MITH AUTO BASIC DA 500 SECON	GR1921(CT I-START ITA = .53 INS	SA) / 0.25 00 SECONDS	рв АТ Ва ДА	MOSPHERIC SIC UNIT TA TYPES	ATTENUATIC SOHNO PRES (OB REL. 1/3 OCTAVE PNL, PNL	N SAF ARP866(REV) SURE LEVEL J.JJJZ MICROBAR) G. DVERALL, A-WTD, S. EPNL

ATHER DESCRIPTION ANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF DALTH OF 10- 3-37.5 3-26.1 PCT

AVG. NIRT = AMR. TEMP. = 52.1 F EUSE NO. FLIGHT 7450. PP4 741 MTC. NUMBER 10 34.3 PCT MIC. I OCATION 20 AVG. EPP PFL. HUM. 1.722 Ξ = 16 210. DEG 3.4 GM/M3 A/P HEAPING = ABS. HUM MIC. DO IE Ŧ DOTENT CONTING RUN 12 FLAP PITS. = 11P WIND SPEED = = 976.6 FT 2.1 DEG 3. KN YHMA HEIGHT WIND DIR. = 255 DEG STA. PRESS = 29.41 IN HG 1.4T. DEV. =-1381.8 FT PATH ANG. = 9.6 DE PITCH ANG. = 19.6 DE GR. WEIGHT = 194690. LB 9.6 056 TEST DATE 1-29-75 SENT.PNG. = 1692.1 FT 19.6 DEG TEST NUMBER JOB 511 RT. THETA PATH SPN. = 176.5 KN = .9954 JNG OFFL A5282 AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10- 3-26.6

DATA CLASS -- FM/DLT = 9530 EBS. TYDE DE ELVOVER -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIME MEASIDEMENT TYPE -- .25 NMI SIDELINE, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECTOTION AT X = .555.0, Y = 1464.0, 7 = -9.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = .538.0, Y = 1519.0, Z = .0 FEET

AIPPLANE AND ENGINE DATA

NACELLES

ENGINE/MACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED

FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

DC-9-31 PEFAN ELYNVEP NOTSE TEST

PEG. NO. N54638 MODEL 00-9-31

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75

DATA PROCESSED 06/28/75

14140628 PAGE 1

WEATHER DATA

مراجع المراجع المراجع في المراجع المراجع المراجعة المراجع المراجع المراجع المستحد المثالية والمحافظة المناطعة ا ويستحدث المراجع المراجع في المراجع المراجع المراجعة المراجع المراجع المراجع المستحد المثالية والمحافظة المناطعة

NATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

FAR PART 36 FLYDVER NDISE LEVELS

02

TABLE C-2.4 DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

ORIGINAL OF POOR

POOR QUALITY

MEACUREMENT INFO

TABLE C-2.4 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

FUSELAGE NO.

TEST DIN NO

274

NUU 41

FLIGHT NO. 16

00-9-31

REEPENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REEM SIDELINE REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE SUMMARY DE MEASURED NOISE LEVELS. PNLTME 98.5 PNDR DCEE -1.2 DB EPNLE 97.3 EPNDR SUMMARY DE DELTAI CALCULATIONS

NOTSE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS MENCHORD ADJUSTED CPL SÓL NOISTNESS NOISINESS ERFOLIENCY TEST PFF. Ĩ ŃŃYŚĨ (nB) ÎŇŇÝŠĨ (nā) (ĤŹ) 79.3 4.6 4.8 5.7 WEATHER 79.7 5) AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 52.1 78.7 78.3 63 REL. HUM. (PCT) 34.0 7).) 7.9 3.2 80.3 80 80.7 73.7 5.8 74.1 6.) 10) PREFORMANCE 73.1 125 73.6 6.1 6.3 176.5 PATH SPEED (KN) 176.8 82.6 93.1 14.7 13.6 160 AVE ENIT 13721.0 13404. 87.1 Ž1.2 (L8S) 87.5 22.) 29) 87.7 23.7 24.6 250 88.2 FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 82.8 17.9 82.2 17.1 715 1832. 1692. 82.6 19.2 82.) 18.4 400 žī lī. 2033. 20.8 87.2\* 19.9 83 8\* 500 78.7 78.2 14.7 14.2 630 CALCULATED NOTSE LEVELS 12.5 900 12.7 76.4 76.7 MEASURED FONL DELTA 1 (APP866) 97.3 EPNDB Ŧ 1000 73.7 10.4 74.0 10.6 -0.1 EPNDB = 8.8 70.5 9.5 1250 69.3 3.3 EPNDR DETTA 2 9.3 69.1 11.3 = 1600 56.4 DELTA S -0.0 FPNDR 6.2 58.4 63.3 8.7 = 2000 0.3 CPNOR 3.7 57.1 6.5 = 2 500 48.9 97.8 FPNDP REF. EPNL FN/D 50.4 ₽ 315) 37.2 1.8 4.4 4000 5000 6300 8000 10000 97.4 PNDR 98.4 PNDP PNŁ 97.4 2ND9 98 5 PNAR DNETM

14140628

N54538

10

PAGE =

TEST DATE 1-29-75

MIC. LOCATION 20

2

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE COPRECTION

00

FAR PART 36 CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS.

741

12

REGISTRATION NO. MICPOPHONE NO.

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GRIDZITCISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	{PR RFL. 0.0002 MICRORAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD,
	PNL, PNLT & FPNL

### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

DESERTION SUDEACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.) F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

ATPPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC DE 10-42-22.8 OTHER REREDRMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME DE PNLTM DE 10-42-26.5 TIME DE ATROPAET AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10-42-22.1

MEASHDEMENT INFO ATRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA WEATHER DATA EUSE ' NO. 741 AVG. NIPT = MIC. NUMBER ġ. 7463. RPM AMR. TEMP. = 52.5 F 1.726 210. DEG · I'DCATION 16 AVG. FPP 35.1 PCT MTC REL. HUM. 16 ÷ s Mir. ARS. DRIENT GPAZING RUM A/P HEADING = HUM 3.6 GM/M3 16 FLAP POS. = IP TEST STTE YEMÄ HETCHT = 958.4 ST 2.1 DEG WIND SPEED = 4. KN 147. DEV. = 1498.5 ET SINT. RNS. = 1778.7 ET DATH ANG. 10.5 066 TEST NATE 1 - 29 - 75WIND DIR.  $= 260 \cdot DEG$  $\equiv$ TEST NUMBER JOB 511 PITCH ANG. = GR. WETCHT = 19.0 DEG STA. PRESS Ŧ 29.81 TN HG INP PEFL DATH COD. = 175.0 KM PT THETA 152.82 99900 LP . 9956 =

TYPE DE ELVOVER -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- EN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- .25 NMI SUDELINE, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY PIRT PECORDING AT X = 538.0, Y =-1461.0, 7 = 4.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST FND DE RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = 538.0, Y =-1519.0, Z = .0 FEET WEATHER DAT

ENGINEZNAMELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JIBD-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED

FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

DC-9-31 REEAN ELYNVER NOISE TEST

MODEL DC-9-31 PEG. NO. N54638

14140628 PAGE 1

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75

2-1-75 0474 PROCESSED 06/28/75

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

TABLE C-2.5

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

TABLE C-2.5 (CONTINUED)

## DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

FAR PART 36	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS	14140628	PAGE =	2

MODEL	DC-9-31	FUSELAGE NO. TEST RUN NO.	741	REGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST DATE 1-29-75
EL TGHT	ND- 16		16	MICROPHONE NO.	9	MIC. LOCATION 16

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DO-9 REFAN SIDELINE REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOTSE EVELS.

PNLTM= 98.5 PNDB DCF= -2.2 D3 EPNL= 96.3 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTA1 CALCULATIONS

# NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

	MEASURED	AD JUSTED	NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS
FREQUENCY (HZ)	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	REF. TEST
50 63 8)	73.4 2.6 76.9 4.9 75.4 5.2	73+3 2+5 76+8 4+8 75+2 5+1	WFATHER AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 52.5 REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0 35.1
100 125 160 200	69.5 4.1 66.4 3.5 78.2 1 7.7 83.0 16.0	69.3 4.0 66.2 3.4 78.0 9.8 82.8 15.7	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 176.8 175.0 AVE FN/D (LRS) 13721.0 13485.2
250 315 400 500	85.2 20.0 34.9 23.7 78.4 14.3 85.2* 22.9	85.0 19.6 84.6 20.2 78.0 14.0 84.8* 22.4	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 1798. 1779. NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 1874. 1856.
800 1000 1250	78.9 14.8 74.3 10.8 70.7 9.7	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = 96.3 EPNDB DELTA 1 (ARP866) = 0.3 EPNDB
1600 2000 2500 3150 4000	65•2 8•6 59•8 6•8 51•7 4•3 41•2 2•3 31•1 1•2	67.7 10.2 64.2 9.2 58.3 7.3 52.8 5.2 49.4 4.1	DELTA 2 = 0.0 EPNDB DELTA S = -0.0 EPNDB DELTA EN/D = 0.2 EPNDB REF. EPNL EN/D = 96.9 EPNDB
5000 6300 8000 10000	JIEI 167	<b>₩74₩</b> ₩	
DMI	OF I DNDR	96 4 2008	

PNETM

98-5 PNDB 98-9 PNDP

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

# TABLE C-2.6 DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75 DATA PROCESSED 06/28/75 14140628 PAGE 1

Boliger a research are really a gran

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN ELYDVER NOISE TEST

# FAP PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

#### ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT80-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE DE ELYDVEP -- STMULATED T.D. CLIMB DATA GLASS -- EN/DUT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- .25 NMI SIDELINE, 4 EEET ABDVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = 555.3, Y = 1464.3, 7 = -9.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = 538.0, Y = 1519.0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASHPENENT INCO	ATRP	LANE AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
HIC. NUMBER 1)	EUSE. NO. 741	$\Delta VG_{\bullet} NIPT = 7464_{\bullet} PPM$	AMB. TEMP. = 52.5 F
MIC. LOCATION 20	FLIGH* 16	AVG. FPR = 1.726	REL. HUM. = 35.1 PCT
MIC. OPIENT GRAZING	PUN 16	A/P HEADING = 210. DEG	$\Delta BS \cdot HIM \cdot = 3 \cdot 6 \ GM/M3$
TEST STTE YUMA	HFIGHT = 968.2	FT FLAP PAS. = UP 2.1 DEG	WIND SPEED = 4. KN
TEST DATE 1-29-75	LAT. DEV. =-1426.5	-FT PATH ANG. = 10.4 DEG	WIND DIR. = $260$ . DEG
TEST MUMBER JOB 511	SLNT.PNG. = 1724.0	ET PITCH ANG. = 19.3 DEG	STA. PPESS = 29.81 IN HG
JOB PEEL 45282	PATH SPD. = 175.0	KN GP. WEIGHT = 99900. LB	PT. THETA = .9955

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS PELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-42-22.7 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF POLTM OF 10-42-27.5 TIME OF AIRCPART AT MINING DISTANCE FROM MICPOPHONE LOCATION 10-42-22.0

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS - TEMP = 77.) F & PEL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

#### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

AMALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GRIDZI(CISA) / 0.25 PB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENHATION SAF ARP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH ANTO-STAPT	PASTC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS AVERACING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD.
an maran menangkanangkan sebasa sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai Belangkan menangkan sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai sebagai seba	PNI, PNI TE FPNI

all have been a stated and a second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the

50 76.9 3.5 76.6 3.5 WEATHER 5.8 77**.3** AMB. TEMP. (DEG E) 63 5.6 78.7 REL. HUM. (PCT) 5.2 8) 77.6 6. 4. 2 69.5 100 69.9 4.1 125 167 72.1 PREEDRMANCE 71 5.6 .8 5.4 PATH SPEED (KN) 31.7 12.4 80.9 12.1 176.8 19.7 AVE ENID 13721.0 13503.4 85\_6 19.1 {L8\$} 200 86.0 25.2 88.6 250 88.1 24.5 FUIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 83.3 315 83.8 18.5 1798. 81.2 16.8 400 17.4 93.7\* NOTSE PATH DIST. (FT) 20.7 1970. 500 84.7\* 21.4 77.2 76.9 63) 13.? 800 75.9 12.0 75.6 11.8 CALCULATED NOTSE LEVELS 97.1 PNDB MEASURED FPNL 73.8 74.0 1000 10.4 10.5 = 0.2 EPNDR 0.2 EPNDR -0.0 EPNDB 125) 71.2 72.2 DELTA 1 (ARP866) 10.7 ÷ 1).) DELTA 2 DELTA S DELTA S DELTA EN/D REF. EPNL EN/D 67**.** Ï 69.5 1600 9.8 11.6 = 9-2 7-8 5-2 3-7 64 2 59 7 2000 59.9 6.9 = 0.2 EPNDR 97.6 FPNDB 52.4 4.7 250) = 3150 52.8 48.1 41.1 2.3 = 4000 29.5 1.0 5000 6300 8000 10000

97.5 PNDR

99 1 DNDR

NOTSINESS

(NOYS)

SUMMARY OF PELTAL CALCULATIONS

D^F= -1.9 DB

SPI NOTSINESS

MEASUPEN

97.3 DAIDR

99 0 PND9

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

1983

MODEL DC-9-31

FITGHT ND. 16

PNLTM= 99.0 PNDB FPNL= 97.1 FPNDB

ENDYST

FUSELAGE ND.

PEFERENCE	CONDT	9-20-21ייני ז	PEEAN	SIDEL INE	BEEEDENLE	CONPETION	CHANGE
SIJMA	ARY DE	MEASUPED	MOTSE I	LEVELS.			

AD.IUSTED

SP1

(nā)

741

16

FAR	PART	36	CAL	CULATED	NOISE	LEVELS

DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY.

REGISTRATION NO.

MICROPHONE NO.

TABLE C-2.6 (CONTINUED)

2 -1 00

FRENCY

(HŽ)

PNL

PNITM

22

14140628

NOTSE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

N54638

10

PAGE =

TEST DATE 1-29-75

REF.

77.0

70.0

MIC. LOCATION 20

2

· TEST

52.5

35.1

175.0

1724.

1919.

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB CISA MODE I RASS WITH AUTO-START	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAF ARP866(REV BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS AVERACING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD,
	PNL. PNLT & FPNL

มา และการสมบัตร์ และสารณ์ และสารณ์ เป็นสารณ์ และสารณ์ และสารณ์ และสารณ์ และสารณ์ และสารณ์ และสารสารสารสารสารณ์

#### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

REFERENCE SUPFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.) F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-49-39.6 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF POLTM OF 10-49-43.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10-49-39.0

WEATHER DATA MEASUBEMENT INFO ATRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA 7473. 9PM 1.728 213. DEG AMB. TEMP. = 53.6 F 741 FUSE. MO. FLIGHT MIC. NUMBER ġ. AVG. N1PT = 35.5 PCT PEL. HUM. AVG. FOR MIC. LOCATION 16 16 = = 3.8 64/43 OPTENT GRATING A/P HEADING = ABS. HUM. HIC TEST TEST 17 REIN 401GHT = 912.1 FT LAT. DEV. = 1516.7 FT SLNT.BNG. = 1769.8 FT FLAP POS. = UP 2.1 DEG SPEEN 4. KN STŤĒ YIIMA WIND Ŧ PATH ANG. = PITCH ANG. = GR. WFIGHT = 10.1 DES 19.5 DES 99100. LA WIND DIR. 280. DEG = ĎÅŦĒ 1-29-75 STA. PRESS = RT. THETA = 29.81 TN HG ≢ TEST NUMBER JOP 511 .9957 PATH SPN = JOB REEL A5282 173.6 KN

TYPE OF ELYOVER -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- EN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- .25 NMI SIDELINE, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIPT RECORDING AT X = 538.0. Y =-1461.0, 7 = 4.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = 538.0. Y =-1519.0. Z = .0 FEET

ENGINEZNACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT89-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

FAP PART 36 NOTSE LEVELS

DC-9-31 REEAN FLYDVER NOTSE TEST

DATA PROCESSED 06/28/75

14140628 PAGE 1

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

DC-9 REF DC-9 REF CAR PATA DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75

279

TAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOTSE LEVELS

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

TABLE C-2.7 DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

į.

FPFOHENCY NOTSTNESS NOISTNESS CD1 SPL {HZ] (DP) (NOYS) (08) (NOYS) REF. 50 3.0 WEATHER 75.0 74.9 3.0 AMB. TEMP. (DEG E) 77.) 63 75.7 4.3 75.7 4.3 5.8 (PCT) 76.6 5.7 REL. HUM. 80 76.6 70.0 100 71.6 4.8 71.5 4.8 PPEEORMANCE. 125 68.2 4.0 4.0 68.1 8.7 PATH SPEED (KN) 8.8 176.8 160 76.4 76.3 AVE FN/D 200 250 82.9 85.9 15.9 15.7 82.7 (195) 13721.0 13506.0 85.7 20.9 22.1 12.9 22.5 FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY 315 86.1 85 . 8 13 1 22.9 MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 400 76.8 1774. 77.1 22.5 84.9\* 50ú 85.1\* 1860. 15.2 15.7 79.0 630 79.2 800 79.7 79.7 CALCULATED NOTSE LEVELS 15.7 75.1 96.1 EPNDB 0.5 EPNDB 75.5 MEASURED EPNL 1000 11.4 11.7 ÷. DELTA 1 (APP866) 1250 71.7 10.3 72.7 11.1 = DELTA 2 1600 9.4 0.0 EPNDS 66.5 68.8 11.1 = DELTA S DELTA EN/D 65.9 -9.1 EPNDB 2000 61.9 7.9 10.4 = 0.2 96.7 2500 5.2 60.8 8.4 EPNDR 54.0 Ξ 44.2 55.2 FEF. FPNL FN/D EPNDR 3150 2.8 6.1 = 4000 1.5 52.0 4.9 5000 6300 8000 10000 96.5 PNDR PNL 97.0 PNDB PNETM 99 3 PNNR 98.8 PNDA

SUMMARY OF DELTAI CALCULATIONS

MEASURED

PNLTM= 98.8 9NDR DCF= -2.7 DB EPNL= 96.1 FPNDB

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORPECTION

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOTSE LEVELS.

PEFERENCE CONDITIONS-OC-9 REFAN SIDELINE REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

ADJUSTED

MODEL DC-9-31 FUSELAGE NO. 741 REGISTRATION NO. N54638 TEST DATE	1-29-7
FLIGHT NO. 16 TEST PUN NO. 17 MICROPHONE NO. 9 MIC. LOC	TION 16

FAR PART 36 CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS

# DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

14140628

NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

PAGE =

2

TEST

53.6

35.5

173.6

1770.

1861.

29-75

TABLE C-2.7 (CONTINUED)

N 80

ANALYZER TYPE / PESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / ).25 PB CISA MORE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR RASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS AVERAGING TIME = 1.5)) SECONDS AVERAGING TIME = 1.5)) SECONDS DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WID, PNL, PNLT & EPNL

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

AIRPIANE SPACE POSITIONING IS PELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 13-49-39.6 OTHER REFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME DE POLTM DE 10-49-44.5 TIME DE AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE PEOM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10-49-39.1

MEASUPEMENT INFO AIPPLANE AND ENGINE DATA WEATHER DATA 7472. RPM 1.728 21). DEG AVG. NIPT = MIC. NUMBER 10 FUSE. NO. 741 AMB. TEMP. = 53.6 F MIC I DEATTON 20 FLIGHT AVG. EPR = 35.5 PCT REL. HUM. 16 = NOTENT GOATTIG AIP HEADING = PIN 17 3.8 GM/M3 ARS. HUM. = TECT SITE YUMA HETCHT = 922.2 FT  $FLAP POS_ = UP$ 2.1 DEG WIND SPEED = KN 1-79-75 PATH ANG. = TEST DATE LAT. DEV. =-1408.6 ET 10.0 hEG WIND DIR-= 280. DEG SLNT RNG. = 1683.6 FT PITCH ANG. = 19.6 PER PATH SPD. = 174.0 KN GR. WEIGHT = 99100. LB 19.6 PEG TEST NUMBER JOB 511 STA. PRESS = 29.81 IN HG JOB DEFT 15282 RT. THETA = .9956

TYPE OF FLYDYER -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- FN/DLT = 9500 LBS WEASHREHENT TYPE -- .25 NMI SIDELINE, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT PECORDING AT X = .555.0, Y = 1464.0.7 = -9.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = .538.0, Y = 1519.0, Z = .0 FEET

ENGINEZNACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JTRO-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED

FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

PC-9-31 PEEAN FLYDVER NOISE TEST

MODEL DC-9-31 PEG. NO. N54638

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75

**en in the second s** 

281

DATA PROCESSED 06/28/75

14140628 PAGE 1

NATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

TABLE C-2.8 DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY TABLE C-2.8 (CONTINUED)

#### DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

ALL AND A MARKE A PAGE

	FAR PART 36 C	ALCULATE	O NUISE LEVELS	1414	0028	PAGE = Z
MODEL 30-9-31	FUSELAGE NO.	741	REGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST	DATE 1-29-75
ELIGHT NO. 16	TEST RUN NO	17	MICROPHONE NO.	10	MIC.	

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

· -

SHAMARY OF MEASUPED NOISE LEVELS.

PNITM= 99.4 PMDR DCF= -2.1 DB FPNL= 97.3 FPMDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

#### NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

	MEASHRED	ADJUSTED	NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS
EPE01ENCY (H?)	(DB) (NOYS)	SPL NOISINESS (DP) (NOYS)	PEC. TEST
63 80	79.0 4.1 79.0 5.9 79.4 6.7	78.6 5.7 78.0 6.4 78.0 6.4	AMB, TEMP, (DEG F) 77.0 53.6 REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0 35.5
100 125 160 200 250	/1.4 4.5 70.5 4.9 79.8 11.2 86.3 20.1 88.3 24.7	71.01 4.0 70.1 4.7 79.4 10.8 85.8 19.4 87.7 23.8	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 176.8 174.0 AVE EN/D (LBS) 13721.0 13490.4
315 400 500 630	83.7 19.9 80.7 16.3 85.5* 73.4 77.7 13.6	83.1 18.2 80.0 16.0 84.9* 22.4 77.1 13.1	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 1774. 1684. NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 1959. 1886.
800 1000 1250 1600 2000	78.2 14.1 74.3 10.9 73.3 9.4 66.6 9.4 59.8 6.9	77.8 13.7 74.2 10.7 70.8 9.7 68.4 10.7 63.3 8.7	$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS} & \\ \text{MEASUPED EPNL} &= 97.3 FPNDR \\ \text{DFLTA 1 (ARP866)} &= -0.0 FPNDR \\ \text{DFLTA 2} &= 0.2 FPNDR \\ \text{DFLTA 5} &= -0.1 FPNDB \\ \end{array}$
2533 3150 4000 5013 6300 8000 10000	53.1 4.9 47.1 2.5 39.5 1.1	59.4 (.6 52.5 5.0 47.3 3.5	REF. EDNLENIN = 97.7 EDNDR

PNL TM

97.3 DNAR 90.4 DNAR 97.3 PMP9 99.4 PMP9

4

\* RAND PRODUCTING TONE CORRECTION

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLITION GRIDZI(CISA) / 0.25 DR	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARPR66(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	(DP REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD,
@	PNL, PNLT & EPNL

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

MEASUREVENT INCO. ATPPLANE AND ENGINE DATA WEATHER DATA MTC. NUMBER Ο. EUSE. MD. 741 7496. AMP. TEMP. = 55.4 F AVG. NIPT = P P N MIC. LOCATION 16 ELIGHT 1.734 210. AVG. FPP PĒĽ. 16 HUM. = = 32.8 PCT Mir: OPTENT GRAZING AIP HEADING = 3.7 GM/M3 011N 18 056 LPS. HUN = 872.4 57 TEST STTE **VIIM** нётснт 2.1 PEG FLAD DOS. = UP SPEED = WIND = 3. KN LAT. DEV. = 1514.5 FT SLNT.PNG. = 1747.8 FT nță. TEST PATE 1-29-75 PATH ANG. 220. DEG Ξ WÍND = TEST NUMPER JOR 511 PITCH ANG. = GP. WFIGHT = STA. PRESS 20.9 DEG 98000. LB 29.80 IN HG = JOR PERI 15282 03TH 500 ± 173.5 KN <u>, 9957</u> Ŧ ATRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS PELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC DE 11- 0- 4-8 OTHER DEPENDMANCE DATA IS EOR TIME OF DNITH OF 11- 0- 9-0 TIME OF AJROPHET AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 11- 0- 4-1

TYDE OF ELVINVER -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- EN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- .25 NMT SIDELINE. 4 FEET AROVE SANDY DIRT PECORDING AT X = 538.0, Y =-1461.0, Z = 4.0 EET FROM WEST-MOST END DE RUNWAY PEEERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = 538.0, Y =-1519.0, Z = .0 FEET

ENGINEZNACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT89-109 ENGINES WITH ACQUSTICALLY TREATED

FAR PART 36 NOTCE LEVELS

DC-9-31 REFAN FLYDVER NOTSE TEST

MODEL 00-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1+75 DAT

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS

DATA PROCESSED 06/28/75

DATA THENTTEICATION INFORMATION

TAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

TABLE C-2.9 DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY.

14140628 PAGE 1

.

TEMP = 77.) F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

SHMMARY OF MEASHRED NOTSE LEVELS.

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS MEASURED

905= -1.6 DR

00

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-OC-9 RIGEAN STDELINE REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

284

PNLTM= 97.9 PNDP

	FAR PART 36	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS	14149628
MADEL 00-9-31 FLIGHT NO. 16	FUSELAGE NO.	. 741 REGISTRATIO	N NO. N54638 TE

FPNL= 96.3 EPNDB

ADJUSTED

# TABLE C-2.9 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

1 (s. 1

PAGE =

TEST DATE 1-29-75 MIC. LOCATION 16

PEF.

77.0

70.0

176.8

1754.

1874.

96.3 EPNDR

0.4 EPNDB

0.0 EPNNR

-).1 EPNOR

0.1 EPNDB 96.8 EPNDR

13721.0 13585.5

2

TEST

55.4 32.8

173.5

1748.

1874.

(H7) (H7) 50 63 90 100 125 160 250 315 400 800 1,000	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{SPL} & \text{AUTSINESS} \\ \textbf{(nB)} & \textbf{(NDYS)} \\ \textbf{76.2} & \textbf{3.4} \\ \textbf{77.3} & \textbf{5.0} \\ \textbf{75.8} & \textbf{5.3} \\ \textbf{72.2} & \textbf{5.1} \\ \textbf{66.3} & \textbf{3.4} \\ \textbf{74.9} & \textbf{7.9} \\ \textbf{81.1} & \textbf{14.1} \\ \textbf{85.4} & \textbf{23.3} \\ \textbf{86.3} & \textbf{22.9} \\ \textbf{76.0} & \textbf{12.1} \\ \textbf{83.3*} & \textbf{23.0} \\ \textbf{77.2} & \textbf{13.1} \\ \textbf{73.8} & \textbf{14.7} \\ \textbf{77.2} & \textbf{13.1} \\ \textbf{73.8} & \textbf{19.4} \\ \textbf{71.1} & \textbf{9.9} \\ \textbf{67.8} & \textbf{10.3} \\ \textbf{63.0} & \textbf{8.5} \\ \textbf{57.8} & \textbf{6.8} \\ \textbf{52.5} & \textbf{5.1} \\ \textbf{48.9} & \textbf{3.9} \\ \end{array}$	WEATHER AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) PEL. HUM. (PCT) PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) AVE EN/D (LBS) FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (ET) NOISE PATH DIST. (ET) CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = DELTA 1 (ARP866) = DELTA 2 = DELTA 5 = D(D) = = DELTA 5 = D(D) = = D(D) = D(D) = = D(D) = D(D) = = D(D) = D(D) = D(D) = = D(D) = D(D) =
	95.9 PNDB 97.9 PNDB	96.4 PNDB 98.3 PNDB	

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORPECTION

ANAL VZEP TYPE / PESALUTION (P1921(CISA) / 0.25 08 ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE APP866(REV) CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START RASTE HNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR AASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS TOP REL. 0.0002 MTCROPARI N AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTO, PNL, PNL & FPNI DATA TYPES

8 ŭ

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PALTA OF 11- 0- 9.5 TIME OF ATRORAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FOOM MICROPHONE LOCATION 11- 0- 4-2 REFERENCE SUPEARE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & PEL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

AIPPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS PELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 11- 0- 4.7

MEASUPEMENT INCO ATPPLANE AND ENGINE DATA WEATHER DATA MTC. NUMBER FUSE. NO. 741 7496. RPM AMR. TEMP. = 55.4 F 10 AVG. NIPT = MIC. LOCATION 20 FÜIGHT AVG. FPR 1.734 32.8 PCT = PEL HUM. 16 = MIC PRIENT GRATING A/P HEADING = 210. DFG 3.7 64/43 RIN ABS. HUM. 18 Ξ HETGHT = 882.2 FT 2.1 DEG FIAP PRS. = UP WIND SPEED = 3. KN LAT. DEV. =-1410.8 FT SUNT.PNG. = 1663.9 FT TEST DATE PATH ANG. WIND DIR. 1 - 29 - 7511.1 DEG 220. DFG Ŧ = STA. PPESS TEST NUMBER JOB 511 PITCH ANG. = 23.9 DEG = 29.80 IN HG RT. THETA JUB BEEL 45282 PATH SPD. = 173.5 KK GR. WFIGHT = 98000. LP 19957 =

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- STMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- FN/DLT = 95) LBS MEASUPEMENT TYPE -- .25 NMT SIDELINE, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = .555.0, Y = 1464.0, 7 = -9.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY PEEEPENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = .538.0, Y = 1519.0, 7 = .0 FEET TYPE DE FLYDVER -- STMULATED T.D. CLIMB

ENGINE/MACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PRWA JT80-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. ND. N54638

DATA DIGITI750 2-1-75 DATA PROCESSED 06/28/75 14140628 PAGE 1

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOTSE LEVELS

DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

**TABLE C-2.10** 

and be any part of this structure and the structure of the

TABLE C-2.10 (CONTINUED)

		FAP PAR	T 36	CALCULATED	NOTSE LEVELS	1414	0628	PAGE =	2
MODEL T	)r-9-31 10. 16	SUSEL AN		• 741 18	PEGISTRATION NO. Miceopyone No.	N54638 1)	TEST MIC.	DATE 1-29 LOCATION	-75 20

WEATHED

DREENDMANCE

DELTA 2

DELTA S

AVE ENID

NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

(185)

=

÷

12

=

=

=

AMB. TEMP. (DEG E) REL. HUM. (PCT)

FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY

CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS

MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT)

NOISE PATH DIST. (FT)

PATH SPEED (KN)

MEASUDED FOND

DELTA I (APP866)

REE PON ENT

REE.

77.0

70.0

1754.

1927.

97.1 EPNOB

-0.1

0.1 97.4 EPNNA

0.1 FPNDB

0.2 FONDR

EPNDB

FPNDS

176.8 173.5 13721.J 13589.4

TEST

55.4

32.8

1664.

1856.

DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

FPNL= 97.1 FPNPB

NOTSTNESS

(NOYS)

3.4

4.4

5.7

5.1

5.1

11.3

19.3

25.2

20.0

16.2

13.1

13.9

11.4

10.6

11.1

9.0

8.3

5.5

4.1

ADJUSTED

SPI

{nē}

76.3

76.0

76.6

72.1

70.9

80.0

85.7

88.6

84.4

80.1

77.1

77.9

75.1

72-0

**58** 9

67.8

60.7

53.8

49.5

97.9 PMDR

100.1 PMDR

84.9\*

ふわ

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DO-9 REFAM SIDELINE REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

NOTSTNESS

INDYS1

3.5

4.6

÷ ğ

5.2

5 2

20.0

26.2

20.9

16.8

23.5

13.9

14.2

11.3

10.1

9.4

6.9

5.2

2.6

# BAND BODDUCTNE CORRECTION

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

MEASHPEN

SPL

(08)

76.6

76.3

77.0

72.5

71.3

80.4

86.2

89.1

85.0

80.7 85.5\* 77.7

78.2

75.0

71.3

66.8

6).)

54.0

42.8

31.9

97.8 0402

99 0 PHINA

DCF= -2.8 DB

86

PNLTM= 99.9 PNDR

FREQUENCY

50

63

80

105

125

160

200

250

315

400

500

630 800

1000

1250

1600

2000

2500

3150

4000

5000 6300 8000 1)222 PNL

DNITH

(47)

N

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GRIDPHICISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC BASIC UNIT	ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV) SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR RASIC DATA = $.500$ SECONDS		(DB RFL. 0.0002 MT(ROPAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES	1/3 OCTÁVE, OVERALL, A-WTD, PNL, PNLT & EPNL

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 11-17-24.5 OTHER REPEOPMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 11-17-27.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 11-17-24.5

MEASUREMENT INER		ATRPI ANE	AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER NATA
MIC NUMBER 9	EUSE NO.	741	AVG NIPT = 7533 PPM	AMR, TEMP, = 56.5 F PEL, HUM, = 30.4 PCT
MIC. POTENT GRATTNE	711N	19	AVP HEADING = 210 DEG	ABS. HUM. = 3.6 GM/M3
TEST SITE YUMA	HEIGHT	= 814.0 FT = 1501.3 CT	$FIAP POS_ = UP 1.9 DFG$ PATH ANG_ = 11.1 DFG	WIND SPEED = $3 \cdot KN$ WIND DIR. = 220 DEG
TEST NUMBER JOB 511	SUNT RNG.	= 1707.6 =+	PTTCH ANG. = 19.9 DEG	STA. PRESS = $29.80$ IN HG

TYPE OF FLYDYER -- STMULATED T.D. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- EN/DET = 9500 LAS MEASHPEMENT TYPE -- .25 NMI SIDELINE. 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = 578.0. Y =-1461.0. 7 = 4.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = 538.0. Y =-1519.0. 7 = .0 FEET

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGNPATION -- DEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED

TAP PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

DE-9-31 REEAN ELVOVED NOTSE TEST

NATA PROCESSED 06/28/75

MODEL 00-9-31 PEG. NO. N54638

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75

1-

DATA TOENTTEICATION INCORMATION

FAR PART 36 FLYNVER NOTSE LEVELS

DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

TABLE C-2.11

14140628 PAGE 1

	MEASUPED	ADJUSTED	NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS
FREQUENCY (HZ)	SOL NOISINESS	SPL NOTSINESS (DB) (NOYS)	PEF. TEST
50 63 80	75+1 3+0 76+2 4+5 77+6 5+2	75•0 3•0 76•1 4•5 77•5 6•2	AMR. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 56.5 REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0 33.4
100 125 160 2))	74.0 5.9 69.3 4.4 74.8 7.8 83.1 16.2	73.9 5.9 69.1 4.4 74.6 7.7 82.9 15.9	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 176.8 173.4 AVE FN/D (LBS) 13721.0 13735.7
250 315 4)) 500	87+1 22+8 88+0 25+9 79+4 15+4 85+7* 23+8	86.9 22.4 87.8 25.4 79.2 15.1 85.5* 23.4	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 1725. 1708. NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 1763. 1749.
630 807 1000 1250	81.6 17.8 79.9 15.9 77.5 13.5 75.1 13.1	81.4 17.6 80.0 16.0 78.0 14.0 76.4 14.3	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED FPNL = 97.1 FPNDB DELTA 1 (ARP866) = 0.6 FPNDB
1600 2000 2500	70.6 12.5 63.5 8.8 56.7 6.3	73.3 15.0 68.1 12.1 64.3 12.6	DELTA 2     =     0.0 EPNDB       DELTA S     =     -0.1 EPNDB       DELTA EN/D     =     -0.1 EPNDB
3150 4000 5000 6300 8000 10000	40-7 3-4 36-7 1-7	78•1 1•8 55•4 6•2	KERA ARNU ENVO
PNL	98.2 PNDB 99.4 PNDB	98.8 PNDR 100.5 PNDR	

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE COPRECTION

PNLTM= 99.9 PNDB DCF= -2.8 DB EPNL= 97.1 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

PREPRINCE CONDITIONS-DO-9 REFAM SIDELINE REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

		FAR PART	36	CALCULATED	NOISE LEVELS	14140	628	PAGE = 2	
MODEL ELIGHT	0C-9-31 ND. 16	FUSELAGE TEST RUN	ND.	741 19	REGISTRATION NO. MICENPHONE NO.	N54638 9	TEST MIC.	DATE 1-29-75 LOCATION 16	•

# DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

TABLE C-2.11 (CONTINUED)

ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARPR66(REV) ANALYZER TYPE / PESHLUTTAN GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL BASTC UNIT ΓΙSĂ ΜΠηΕ 1 ΡΑςς ΝΙΤΗ ΛΥΤΟ-ςΤΔΡΤ (DP PEL 0.0002 MICPOBAR) 1/3 NCTAVE. NVERALL, A-WTD. PNL, PNLT & EPNL \$ÅMD1 E TNTERVAL FOD BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS DATA TYPES AVEPAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS 68

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

RESERVICE SUPERCE WEATHER CONNTTICNS. TEND = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 11-17-24.4 OTHER REFERRMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 11-17-28.5 TIME OF ATROCAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 11-17-24.5

ATRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA AVG. NIRT = 7536. PPM WEATHER DATA MEASUPEMENT INFO AMB. MTC. NUMBER FUSE. NO. 741 TEMP. = 56.5 F 10 MTC. 1004TTOM 23 = 30.4 PET FÜICHT AVG. FPR 1.745 REL. HUM. 16 = 3.6 GM/M3 A/P HEADING = 210. DEG **A**85. HIM. MIC. OF TENT GRAZING 11119 19 = = 827.6 FT FLAP POS. = UP 1.9 DEG WIND SPEED = KN TEST SITE VIMA. HEIGHT 3. PATH ANG. LAT. DEV. =-1423.8 FT 11.1 DEG WIND DIR. ≃ 220. DFG TEST DATE 1-29-75 ÷. 20.1 DEG SLNT.RNG. = 1646.9 FT STA. PRESS = 29.80 IN HG TEST NUMBED JOA 511 PITCH ANG. = RT. THETA 173.6 KN GP. WFIGHT = 97333. LB .9960 DATH SDN = INB PEFT 14916 =

TYPE OF ELYDVEP -- SIMULATED T.D. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- EN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- .25 NMI SIDELINE, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = .555.0, Y = 1464.0, 7 = -9.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = .538.0, Y = 1519.0, 7 = .0 FEET

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PAWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

FAP PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

DC-9-31 REEAN ELYOVER NOISE TEST

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

DATA PIGTT1750 2-1-75

ender Anter ander der Anter andere einer einer einer einer einer einer einer einer einer einer einer einer eine

F POOR C

POOR QUALITY

DATA PROCESSED 06/28/75

14140628 PAGE 1

DATA TOENTIFICATION INFORMATION

FLYNVEP NOISE LEVELS FAR PART 36

DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

TABLE C-2.12

97.7 PMD4 99.7 PMD4 97.9 PNDB 99.9 PNDB \* RAND PRODUCTNS TONE CORRECTION

•

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NDISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS
ERECIJENCY (HZ)	SPI NOTSTNESS (DB) (NTYS)	SPL NOTSTNESS (DB) (NCYS)	REF. TEST
50 63 80	77.8 4.0 78.7 5.7 78.1 6.5	77.5 3.9 78.3 5.5 77.7 6.3	WEATHER AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 56.5 REL. HUM. (DCT) 70.0 30.4
100 125	74.8 6.3 69.4 4.5	74.4 6.1 69.0 4.3	PREFORMANCE
160 200	79.0 11.3 86.0 19.7	79.5 17.9 85.5 19.0	PATH SPEED (KN) 176.8 173.6 AVE EN/D (L9S) 13721.0 13761.9
250 315 400 500	87.2 /4.6 87.5 24.9 79.2 15.1 95.6* 23.6	37.3 37.3 78.6 14.5 $85.1 \pm 22.7$	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 1725. 1647. NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 1838. 1773.
630 800 1000	79.9 15.9 79.2 15.1 74.4 10.9	79.5 15.4 79.0 14.9 74.7 11.1	CALCULATED NOTSE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = 97.2 FPNDR
1250 1600 2000	71.0 9.9 65.9 9.0 60.4 7.1	71.9 13.5 68.3 10.6 64.6 9.5	DELTA I (ARP866) = 0.1 EPNÓB DELTA 2 = 0.2 EPNOB DELTA S = -0.1 EPNOB
2500	54.0 5.2 42.7 2.6 32.2 1.3	61.2 8.6 54.3 5.7 50.6 4 4	ΝΕΙΤΛ ΕΝ/Ŋ = -0.Ĵ ΕΡΝΠΑ REE. ΕΡΝΙ ΕΝ/Ŋ = 97.4 ΕΡΝ <u>Π</u> Β
5000 6300	72.07 2.07	.10 • 17 • • •	
8000 10000			

FPNL= 97.2 FPMDR PNLTM= 99.7 PNDR DCF= -2.6 DB

SHAWARY DE DELTAL CALCHLATIONS

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

DEFERENCE CONDITIONS-DO-9 REEAN SIDELINE DEFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

00

	FAR PART 36	CALCULATED	NOTSE LEVELS	14140	628	PAGE = 2
MODEL 31-9-31	FUSELAGE NO.	741	PEGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST	DATE 1-29-75
Elight NO. 16	TEST RUN NO	19	MICROPHONE NO.	10	MIC.	LOCATION 20

# DC-9 REFAN SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

TABLE C-2.12 (CONTINUED)

290

PNU PHETM

### TABLE C-3,1

#### DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75

Q

12280701 PAGE 1

如果是我的人生,你就能是你要要要了。"这些就是你能不能能说,我们就是你们就是我的你的。""IIIA",

#### MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACCUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- TAKEOFF CORR FLYOVER DATA CLASS -- POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z = -81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -7966.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

AIRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA AVG. NIRT = 7 MEASUREMENT INFO WEATHER DATA 7567. RPM 1.745 MIC. NUMBER FUSE. NO. 741 AMB. TEMP. = 48.8 F LOCATION CO DRIENT GRAZING FLIGHT AVG. EPR 4 PC1 REL-HUM. 16 = 210. DEG ğ. A/P HEADING = 3.7 GM/M3 ABS. HUM. FLAP POS = UPWIND SPEED = SITE YUHA HEIGHT 2.1 DEG 2. KN = 2316.1 FT LAT. DEV. = -134.5 FT WIND DIR. 1-29-75 PATH ANG. = 8.8 DEG = 330. DEG DATE TEST NUMBER JOB 511  $SLNT \cdot RNG \cdot = 2320 \cdot 0$  FT PITCH ANG. = 18.6 DEG STA. PRESS æ 29.81 IN HG JÕB REEL PATH SPD. = WEIGHT = 108600. LB .9909 Å5282 179.6 KN GR. RT. THETĀ =

> AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 9-32-33-3 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 9-32-40.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 9-32-32.2

> REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUN. = 70.0 PCT

#### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GRI921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	(DB_REL. 0.0002_MICROBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WID,
	PNL. PNLT & EPNL

, ,				
292	DC-9 R	TABLE C-3.1 (CONTIN	UED) ST CONDITION SUMMARY	
	FAR PI	ART 36 CALCULATED NOISE	LEVELS 12	280701 PAGE = 2
MODEL FLIGHT	0C-9-31 FUSELA NO. 16 TEST 6	AGE ND. 741 REGIS RUN NO 9 MICRO	TRATION NO. N54638 PHONE NO. 1	TEST DATE 1-29-75 MIC. LOCATION C6
REFERENCE CO	NDITIONS-DC-9 REFAI	N TAKEOFF WITHOUT CUTBAC	K SLOPE FROM FINA	L CORR CURVE
SUMMARY	OF MEASURED NOISE	LEVELS.		
PNLTM# 96.1	[ PNDB _ DCF= _ 0.6 ( DE DELTA] CALCULA	DB EPNL= 96.7 EPNDB		
JURRAN	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NOISE ADJUST	MENT PARAMETERS
FREQUENCY	SPL NOISINESS	SPL NOISINESS	NEATHER	REF. TEST
50 63 80	78.9 5.9 76.0 5.4		AMB. TEMP. (DE REL. HUM. (PC	GF) 77.0 48.8
100 125 160 200	80.8 10.4 83.5 13.5 86.8 18.1 83.8 16.9	80.1 9.9 82.8 12.8 86.0 17.1 82.9 15.9	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN AVE FN/D (LE	1) 180.3 179.6 13891.0 13750.0
250 315 400 500	85.2* 21.2 79.6 15.6 79.4 15.3	84.2* 19.7 78.5 14.4 78.2 14.2 75.6 11.8	FLIGHT PROFILE G MINIMUM DISTAN NDISE PATH DIS	EOMETRY ICE (FT) 2443. 2295. IC. (FT) 2935. 2757.
800 1000 1250 1600 2000 2500 3150 4000 5000 6300 8000	73.0       9.9         70.4       8.2         64.3       6.2         54.8       4.2         46.4       2.7         34.4       1.4	72.1 9.2 69.9 8.0 64.6 6.3 56.8 4.8 50.7 3.6 42.4 2.4	CALCULATED NOISE MEASURED EPNL DELTA 1 (ARP86 DELTA 2 DELTA S DELTA FN/D REF. EPNL FN/C	LEVELS = 96.7 EPNDB = -0.8 EPNDB = 0.3 EPNDB = -0.0 EPNDB = 0.1 EPNDB = 96.3 EPNDB
10000 PNL PNL TM	95.2 PNDB 96.1 PNDB	94.4 PNDB 95.3 PNDB		

**\*** BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

# TABLE C-3,2

### DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75

9

12280701 PAGE 1

# MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- TAKEOFF CORR FLYOVER DATA CLASS -- POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z =-81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -7966.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO	AIRPLANE	AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 1	FUSE. ND. 741	AVG. NIRT = 7598. RPM	AMB. TEMP. = $50.4$ F
MIC. LOCATION C6	FLIGHT 16	AVG. EPR = 1.755	$PEL \cdot HUM \cdot = 34.5 PCT$
MIC. ORIENT GRAZING	RUN 10	A/P HEADING = 210. DEG	$ABS \cdot HUM \cdot = 3 \cdot 3 GM/M3$
TEST SITE YUMA	HEIGHT = $2428.8$ FT	FLAP POS. = UP $2.1 DEG$	WIND SPEED = $2 \cdot KN$
TEST DATE 1-29-75	LAT. DEV. = $-82.9$ FT	PATH ANG. = 9.1 DEG	WIND DIR. = 335. DEG
TËST NUMBER JOB 511	SLNT.RNG. = 2430.2 FT	PITCH ANG. = 18.9 DEG	STA. PRESS = 29.81 IN HG
JOB REEL A5282	PATH SPD. = 178.3 KN	GR. WEIGHT = 106400. LB	RT. THETA = .9902

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 9-48-34.2 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 9-48-42.0 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 9-48-32.9

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUN. = 70.0 PCT

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FUR BASIC DATA = .000 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, DVERALL, Å-HTD.
WARMOING ITHE - ISAO ACONAA	PNL. PNLT & EPNL

# TABLE C-3.2 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

			FAR	PART 36	CALCU	LATED	NOISE LE	VELS	122807	01 PAGE	<b>≖</b> 2
	MODEL FLIGHT	DC-9-31 NO. 16	FUSE	LAGE NO RUN NO	• 741 10		REGISTR/ MICROPHO	ATION NO. ONE NO.	N54638 1	TEST DATE 1- MIC. LOCATIO	29-75 N C6
REFER	ENCE C		S-DC-9 REF	AN TAKE	OFF WI	тноит	CUTBACK	SLOPE FR	ROM FINAL CO	RR CURVE	
	SUMMAR	Y OF MEA	SURED NOIS	E LEVEL	.S•						
PNLT	1= 96.	2 PNDB	DCF= 0.	4 DB	EPNL=	96.6	EPNDB				
	SUMMAR	Y OF DEL	TA1 CALCUL	ATIONS							
		MEASUR	ED	A	DJUSTE	)	-	NOISE	ADJUSTMENT	PARAMETERS	
KEQUE	INCY	(DB)	(NOYS)	Ì	DBI NU.	(NOYS)	S			REF.	TEST
50 63 80		80.2 78.0 74.9	5.1 5.4 5.0		10.0 7.8 4.6	5.0 5.3 4.9		WEATHER AMB. TE Rel. Hu	MP. (DEG F) JM. (PCT)	77-0 70-0	50.4 34.5
125 160 200	5	83.8 87.7 84.9	13.8 19.2 18.3	88	3.5 7.3 4.5	13.5 18.8 17.7		PREFORMAN Path SP Ave FN/	ICE PEED (KN) (D (LBS)	180.3 13891.0	178.3 13876.4
250 315 400 500	) ) )	80.5 85.4* 80.2 7 <u>9.5</u>	14.4 21.4 16.2 15.5		10.0 4.8* '9.7 '9.0	13.9 20.6 15.7 15.0		FLIGHT PE MINIMUN NOISE F	ROFILE GEOME DISTANCE ( PATH DIST. (	TRY FT) 2443. FT) 2968.	2403. 2919.
630 800 1000 1250 1600 2000 2500 3150		77.3 72.7 69.9 63.7 54.7 44.7 32.1	13.3 9.7 7.9 5.9 4.2 2.4 1.2		7 • 1 7 • 9 9 • 9 9 • 9 9 • 5 9 • 7 9 • 7 9 • 7 9 • 7 9 • 7 9 • 7 9 • 7 • 7 • 7 • 7 • 7 • 7 • 7 • 7 • 7	13.1 9.8 8.5 7.0 5.8 4.2 2.8		CALCULATE MEASURE DELTA 1 DELTA 2 DELTA 2 DELTA F REF. EF	D NDISE LEV D EPNL (ARP866) N/D NL FN/D	ELS = 96.6 EPND = -0.1 EPND = 0.1 EPND = -0.0 EPND = 0.0 EPND = 96.5 EPND	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
5000 6300 8000 10000 PNL		95.4 P	NDB	¢	5-3 PN	DB					
PNLTN	1	96.Z P	NUB	5	VO+1 MNI	78					

ι

**\*** BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

والمراجع ومناجعة ترويح فيزك فكالكاف فستختبط تساكلا

294

وروارد ومواجر والانتقادة

#### TABLE C-3,3

#### DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

.

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-6-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75 12280701 PAGE 1

### MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYDVER NOISE TEST

#### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- TAKEOFF CORR FLYOVER DATA CLASS -- POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z =-81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -7966.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

X = -1700...AIRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA AVG. NIRT = 7603. RPM FPR = 1.757 210. DE MEASUREMENT INFO WEATHER DATA FUSE. ND. 741 C. NUMBER AMB.  $TEMP_{a} = 52.5 F$ C. LOCATION C6 FLIGHT 16 REL. HUM. A/P HEADING = 210. DEG FLAP POS. = UP 2.1 DEG 3.7 GM/M3 OR IENT GRAZING ABS. HUM. RUN 13 HEIGHT = 2382.5 FT LAT DEV. = 29.4 FT SLNT.RNG. = 2382.7 FT WIND SPEED = WIND DIR. = 2. KN YUMA SITE 10.5 DEĞ 1-29-75 PATH ANG. = 100. DEG DATE PITCH ANG. = ŠT NUMBER JÕB 511 20.2 DEG STA. PRESS = 29.81 IN HG RT. THETA PATH SPD. = GR. WEIGHT = 103800. LB = -9908 JOB REEL A5282 177.1 KN

> AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-11-33.9 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 10-11-41.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10-11-32.6

> REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

€

### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARPB66(REV)
CISA MODE_ 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	(DB_RELD.ODO2_MICROBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 ULTAVE+ UVERALL+ A-WIU+

296	`			DC-9 REF	AN TAKE	TAE OFF FL	BLE C-3.3 YOVER-	3 (CONTIN NOISE TE	UED} ST CONDITIO	n summai	RY			
				FAR PA	RT 36 (	ALCU	LATED	NOI SE	LEVELS		1226	0701	PAGE	* 2
	FLIGHT	DC-9-31 NO. 16	L	FUSELA	GE ND. UN NO	741 13		MICRO	DPHONE NO	NO. N5 • 1	4638	MIC	LOCATIC	IN C6
REF ER	RENCE CO Summary M= 96.7	NDITION OF MEA PNDB	IS-DC-9 ASURED DCF=	REFAN NDISE 0.2	TAKEOF LEVELS DB 8	F WI PNL=	THD UT 96.1	CUTBAC	CK SLOP	E FROM	FINAL	CORR	CURVE	
	SUMMARY	OF DEL	TAL CA	LCULAT	IONS		0		N			NT DA	DAMETEDS	
FREQU	ENCY	SPL (DB)		SS	SPI (DE		ISINE: (NDYS)	55		UIJE AL	JU3111	- <b>11</b> - <b>1</b>	REF.	TEST
5( 63 8(	3	80.4 78.5 72.4	5.2 5.6 4.0		80 78 72		5.0 5.4 3.9	-	WEATH Amb Rel	ER • TEMP• • HUM•	(DEG (PCT)	F)	77.0	52.5 36.1
12 16( 20(		83.8 87.6 84.8	13.8 19.2 18.1		83 87 84	3	13.3 18.5 17.4		PREFO Pat Ave	RMANCE H Speed FN/D	) (KN) (LBS)	I	180.3 13891.0	177.1 13858.9
25 31 40( 50(		86.1* 79.7 79.8	22.5 15.6 15.8		85 85 78 79	3*	13.5 21.3 14.8 14.9		FLIGH MIN NOI	T PROFI IMUM DI SE PATH	LE GEO Stançe Dist.	METRY (FT) (FT)	2443. 3011.	2352. 2899.
800 1000 1250 2000 3150 4000		73.9 69.9 55.2 46.9 39.7	9.8 9.8 5.6 4.3 2.8 1.9		72. 70. 58. 52. 49.	500377	12.5 9.0 6.1 5.3 4.2 3.9		CALCU MEA DEL DEL DEL REF	LATED N SURED E TA 1 (4 TA 2 TA S TA FN/C • EPNL	IDISE L PNL RP866] FN/D	EVEL S = = = = = =	96.9 EPNC -0.4 EPNC 0.2 EPNC -0.1 EPNC 0.0 EPNC 96.6 EPNC	)8 )8 )8 )8 )8 )8
630( 800( 1000														
PNL PNLTI	4	95.7 P 96.7 P	NDB NDB	ł	95. 96.	3 PN 3 PN	DB DB							
	*	BAND PR		G TONE	CORREC	TION								

Sec. 2

<u>.</u>

## TABLE C-3.4

#### DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-5-75

DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75

12280701 PAGE 1

THE ALL STATES

### MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION --- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- TAKEOFF CORR FLYOVER DATA CLASS -- POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT x = -7301.0, y = .0, z = -81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION x = -7966.0, y = .0, z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT	INFO		AIRPLANE	AND ENGINE	DATA		WEATHER	DATA
MIC. NUMBER	1 F	USE. ND. 7	741	AVG. NIRT =	7564.	RPM AMB.	- TEMP. = 5	55.1 F
MIC. LOCATION	C6 F	LIGHT 2	21	AVG. EPR	= 1.742	REL	. HUM. =	41.8 PCT
MIC. ORIENT G	RAZING R	.UN _ 5	53	A/P HEADING	= 210.	DEG ABS	• HUM• =	4.7 G4/M3
TEST SITE Y	UMA H	IEIGHT =	= 2062.1 FT	FLAP POS.	= UP 1.7	DEG WIN	ID SPEED =	5. KN
TEST DATE	2-02-75 L	AT. DEV. =	= -145.0 ET	PATH ANG.	= 8.6	DEG WIN	D DIR. =	20. DEG
TEST NUMBER J	OB 511 S	LNT_RNG_ =	= 2067.2 FT	PITCH_ANG.	= 18.7	DEG STA	PRESS =	30.00 IN HG
JOB REEL A	5342 P	ATH SPD. =	= 181.3 KN	GR. WEIGHT	= 108900.	LB RT.	THETA =	•9965

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 9-38-56.1 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 9-39- 3.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 9-38-55.0

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & PEL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARPB661REV
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE_INTERVAL FOR_BASIC_DATA = .500 SECONDS	(DB_REL. D.0002 MICROBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD,
	PNI, DNET E FPNI

298 TABLE C-3.4 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY 12280701 FAR PART 36 CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS PAGE = MGDEL DC-9-31 FLIGHT ND. 21 REGISTRATION NO. MICROPHONE ND. TEST DATE 2-02-75 MIC. LOCATION C6 FUSELAGE NO. TEST RUN NO 741 N54638 53 1 REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN TAKEDFF WITHOUT CUTBACK SLOPE FROM FINAL CORR CURVE SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS. PNLTM= 99.0 PNDB DCF= -0.3 DB EPNL= 98.8 EPNDB SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS MEASURED **ADJUSTED** NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS SPL NOISINESS SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS) FREQUENCY Ĩ Į Į REF. WEATHER 84.5 7.8 82.9 6.6

2

TEST

ζ.

80	82.0 78.9	8.3 7.0	77.2	6.0	REL. HUM. (PCT)	70.0 41.	8
100	79.4	.9.3	77.6	.8.1	DDEEDDMANCE		
160	84.0	20.4	82•2 86•6	17:3	PATH SPEED (KN)	180.3 181.	3
200	89.4*	24-9	87.5*	21.8	AVE FN/D (LBS)	13891.0 13781.	5
315	85.3	21.2	83.1	18.3	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY		
400 500	84•9 81-8	22.5	82.6	19.1	MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT)	2443. 2040	
630	79.5	15.5	76.9	12.9		51244 2007	•
800 1000	77.3	13.3	74.5	10.9	MEASURED EPNL = 9	8.8 EPNDB	
Į250	69.1	8.6	66.5	1.2	DELTA 1 (ARP866) = -	2.0 EPNDB	
2000	58.4	0•2 6•2	62+5 57+7	5.9	DELTA S =	0.0 EPNDB	
2500	49.6	3.9	51.1	4.3	DELTA FN/D =	0.1 EPNDB	
4000	20+1	107	+J+1	2.0	NET • CFUL FN/D - 5	Ter Criud	
5000							
8000							

96.2 PNDB 97.0 PNDB

10000

PNL PNLTM

98-2 PNDB 99-0 PNDB

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

# TABLE C-3.5

#### DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-5-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75

and the state of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second

90

12280701 PAGE 1

### MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

## DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- TAKEOFF CORR FLYOVER DATA CLASS -- POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECURDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z = .0, FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -7966.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

AIRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA AVG. NIRT = 7512. RPM AVG. EPR = 1.734A/P HEADING = 210. DEG 11.6 FT PATH ANG. = 8.9 DEG MEASUREMENT INFO WEATHER DATA IC. NUMBER FUSE. ND. 741 FLIGHT 21 AMB. TEMP. = 55.3 F IC. LOCATION C6 IC. ORIENT GRAZING 21 54 REL. HUM. 42.5 PCT = ÎĈ. RŪN ABS. HUM. ÷ 4.8 G4/M3 = 2117.1 FT **HEIGHT** YUMA WIND SPEED = SITE 4. KN LAT. DEV. = 11.6 FT SLNT.RNG. = 2117.1 FT WIND DIR. šτ 2-02-75 45. DEG DATE = STA. PRESS RT. THETA PITCH ANG. = Ξ TEST NUMBER JOB 511 20.3 DEC 30.00 IN HG JŪB REEL Å5342 PATH SPD. = 179.8 KN GR. WEIGHT = 107400. LB .9969

> AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 9-46- 6.2 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 9-46- 9.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 9-46- 5.1

> REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR:921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	(DB REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-HTD,
•	PNL, PNLT & EPNL
# TABLE C-3.5 (CONTINUED)

#### DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

	FAR PART 36 C	ALCULATED	NOISE LEVELS	1228	0701	PAGE =	2
MODEL DC-9-31	FUSELAGE NO.	741	REGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST	DATE 2-02-7	1 <b>5</b>
FLIGHT ND. 21	Test run no	54	Microphone No.	1	MIC.	LOCATION CO	5

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITHOUT CUTBACK SLOPE FROM FINAL CORR CURVE SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS. PNLTM= 99.3 PNDB DCF= -0.4 DB EPNL= 98.8 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTA1 CALCULATIONS

300

#### NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

50       75.9       3.3       74.5       2.9       WEATHER MB. TEMP. (DEG F)       77.0       55.         80       72.2       3.9       70.7       3.5       REL. HUM. (PCT)       70.0       42.         100       79.5       9.4       78.0       8.3       PATH SPEED (KN)       180.3       179.         125       83.5       13.4       82.0       12.1       PREFORMANCE       PATH SPEED (KN)       180.3       179.         200       81.0       13.9       79.1       12.4       AVE FN/D       (LBS)       13801.0       13660.         250       81.7       15.7       80.0       13.9       FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY         400       81.9       18.3       80.0       16.0       MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT)       2443.       2090         500       84.3       21.6       82.3       18.7       NOISE PATH DIST. (FT)       2443.       2090         630       81.8       18.1       79.6       15.5       GALCULATED NOISE LEVELS       MEASURED EPNLE       98.8 EPNDB         10200       76.8       12.2       73.7       10.3       DELTA 1 (ARP866) = -1.6 EPNDB       20.7 EPNDB         1250       71.9       10.5       69.7	FREQUENCY	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	SPL NOISINESS [DB] (NOYS)	PEF. TEST
100       (9.2)       9.4       78.0       8.3       78.0       12.1       PREFORMANCE         160       84.9       15.9       83.3       14.2       PATH SPEED (KN)       180.3       179.         200       81.0       13.9       79.3       12.4       AVE FN/D       (LBS)       13891.0       13660.         200       81.0       13.9       79.3       12.4       AVE FN/D       (LBS)       13891.0       13660.         200       81.7       15.7       80.0       13.9       FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY       AVE FN/D       180.3       179.         315       87.8*       25.3       86.00       16.0       MINUM DISTANCE       2443.209(         400       81.8       18.1       79.6       15.5       NOISE PATH DIST. (FT)       2519.2150         630       81.8       18.1       79.6       15.5       MEASURED EPNL       = 98.8 EPNDB         1250       76.0       12.2       73.7       10.3       MEASURED EPNL       = 98.8 EPNDB         1250       71.9       10.5       69.7       9.0       DELTA 2       = 0.7 EPNDB         2000       64.4       10.7       66.7       9.6       DELTA 5       = -0.0	50 63 80	75•9 3•3 76•2 4•5 72•2 3•9	74.5 2.9 74.7 4.0 70.7 3.5	MEATHER AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 55.3 REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0 42.5
315       87.8*       25.3       86.0*       22.3       FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY         400       81.9       18.3       80.0       16.0       MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT)       2443.209(         500       84.3       21.6       82.3       18.7       NOISE PATH DIST. (FT)       2519.215(         630       81.8       18.1       76.6       12.2       73.7       10.3       MEASURED EPNL       = 98.8 EPNDB         1000       76.0       12.2       73.7       10.3       MEASURED EPNL       = 98.8 EPNDB         1250       71.9       10.5       69.7       9.0       DELTA 1 (ARP866) = -1.6 EPNDB         2000       64.2       9.2       63.5       8.8       DELTA 2       = 0.7 EPNDB         2500       58.3       7.1       59.5       7.6       DELTA 5       = -0.0 EPNDB         3150       50.6       4.4       54.6       5.8       REF. EPNL FN/D       = 98.1 EPNDB         5000       60.1       2.2       49.0       4.0       4.0       7.0       = 0.2 EPNDB         5000       6300       8000       10.1       2.2       49.0       4.0       7.6       DELTA 5       = 0.2 EPNDB         50000       630	100 125 160 200	(9.5 83.5 84.9 81.0 13.9 81.0 13.9	78.0 8.3 82.0 12.1 83.3 14.2 79.3 12.4	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 180.3 179.8 AVE FN/D (LBS) 13891.0 13660.9
000       79.1       15.1       17.0       12.3       CALCULATED NDISE LEVELS         1000       76.0       12.2       73.7       10.3       MEASURED EPNL = 98.8 EPND8         1250       71.9       10.5       69.7       9.0       DELTA 1 (ARP866) = -1.6 EPND8         1600       68.4       10.7       66.7       9.6       DELTA 2       = 0.7 EPND8         2000       64.2       9.2       63.5       8.8       DELTA S       = -0.0 EPND8         2500       58.3       7.1       59.5       7.6       DELTA FN/D       = 0.2 EPND8         3150       50.6       4.4       54.6       5.8       REF. EPNL FN/D       = 98.1 EPND8         4000       40.1       2.2       49.0       4.0       4.0       98.1 EPND8         5000       63.9       9.6       PND8       97.6 PND8       REF. EPNL FN/D       = 98.1 EPND8         6300       8000       10000       98.3 PND8       97.6 PND8       97.6 PND8       97.6 PND8	250 315 400 500	87.8* 25.3 81.9 18.3 84.3 21.6	86.0* 22.3 80.0 16.0 82.3 18.7	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 2443. 2090. NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 2519. 2156.
1000       00.4       10.7       00.7       7.0       DELTA 5       =       -0.0 EPNDB         2000       64.2       9.2       63.5       8.8       DELTA 5       =       -0.0 EPNDB         2500       58.3       7.1       59.5       7.6       DELTA FN/D       =       0.2 EPNDB         3150       50.6       4.4       54.6       5.8       REF. EPNL FN/D       =       98.1 EPNDB         4000       40.1       2.2       49.0       4.0       4.0       98.1 EPNDB         5000       6300       8000       100000       98.3 PNDB       96.6 PNDB       97.6 PNDB	800 1000 1250	79.1 15.0 76.0 12.2 71.9 10.5	76.8 12.8 73.7 10.3 69.7 9.0	CALCULATED NDISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = 98.8 EPNDB DELTA 1 (ARP866) = -1.6 EPNDB DELTA 2 - 0.7 EPNDB
4000       40.1       2.2       49.0       4.0         5000       6300       8000       8000         10000       98.3 PNDB       96.6 PNDB         PNL 99.3 PNDB       97.6 PNDB	2000 2500 3150	64.2 58.3 50.6 4.4	63.5 59.5 54.6 54.6 5.8	DELTA S = -0.0 EPNDB DELTA FN/D = 0.2 EPNDB REF. EPNL FN/D = 98.1 EPNDB
PNL 98.3 PNDB 96.6 PNDB PNLTM 99.3 PNDB 97.6 PNDB	4000 5000 6300 8000 10000	40.1 2.2	49.0 4.0	
	PNL PNLTM	98.3 PNDB 99.3 PNDB	96.6 PNDB 97.6 PNDB	

n balan in har tink de jalitations at the children is an interior this sector in the sector of the sector of th

**\*** BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

MEASURED ADJUSTED

# TABLE C-3.6

na ta base recala rata

## DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF FLYOVER NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-5-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75

12280701 PAGE 1

### MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- TAKEOFF CORR FLYOVER DATA CLASS -- POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z =-81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -7966.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMEN	NT INFO		AIRPLANE	AND ENGINE D	ATA	WEATHER	DATA
MIC. NUMBER	1	FUSE. NO.	741	AVG. NIRT =	7505. RPM	AMB. TEMP. =	56.6 F
MIC. LOCATIO	JN Ç6	FLIGHT	21	AVG. EPR =	1.734	REL. HUM. =	41.9 PCT
MIC. ORIENT	GRAZING	RUN	55	A/P HEADING	≠ 210. DE	G ABS.HUM. =	4.9 GM/M3
TEST SITE	YUMA	HEIGHT	= 2208.0 FT	FLAP POS. =	= UP 2.1 DE	G WIND SPEED =	5. KN
TUST DATE	2-02-75	LAT. DEV.	= -156.5 FT	PATH ANG. =	= 9.1 DE	G WIND DIR. =	350. DEG
TEST NUMBER	JO8 511	SLNT-RNG-	= 2213.6 FT	PITCH ANG. =	• 19.6 DE	G STA. PRESS =	30.00 IN HG
JUB REEL	A5342	PATH SPD.	= 179.4 KN	GR. WEIGHT =	= 106400. LB	RT. THETA =	•9963

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 9-53-26-2 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 9-53-32.0 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 9-53-25.1

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

#### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

<u>Õ</u>

NALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV
ISA MODE_1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
AMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	(DB REL. D.OOOZ MICROBAR)
VERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECUNDS	DAIA ITES 1/3 ULIAVE: UVERALL: A-WIU: DNL DNIT 5 EDNI

## TABLE C-3.6 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

	FAR PART 36 C	ALCULATED	NUISE LEVELS	1228	0701	PAGE = 7	Z
MODEL DC-9-31	FUSELAGE NO.	741	REGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST	DATE 2-02-75	5
FLIGHT ND. 21	Test run no	55	MICROPHONE NO.	1	MIC.	LOCATION C6	

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITHDUT CUTBACK SLOPE FROM FINAL CORR CURVE SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS. PNLTM= 97.7 PNDB DCF= 0.1 DB EPNL= 97.8 EPNDB

95.5 PNDB 96.5 PNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTA1 CALCULATIONS

.....

302

PNL TM

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NUISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS
FREQUENCY (HZ)	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	REF. TEST
50 63	78.0 4.1 77.9 5.3	77.0 3.7 76.9 4.8	WEATHER AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 56.6
100	75.8 5.3 78.2 8.4	74.7 4.9	REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0 41.9
125 160 200 250	83.9 13.9 87.6 19.2 83.6 16.7 78.9 12.9	82.8 12.8 86.4 17.7 82.3 15.3 77.6 11.8	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 180.3 179.4 AVE FN/D (LBS) 13891.0 13631.3
315 400 500	85.7* 21.9 80.3 16.4 82.1 18.5	84.3* 19.8 78.8 14.7 80.5 16.5	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 2443. 2187. NDISE PATH DIST. (FT) 2790. 2497.
800 1000 1250	77.2 77.2 74.5 70.0 9.2	75.3 11.6 72.6 9.6 68.4 8.2	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = 97.8 EPNDB DELTA 1 (ARP866) = -1.2 EPNDB
1600 2000 2500	66.2 9.2 59.8 6.8 51.2 4.3	65.1 8.5 59.9 6.8 53.4 5.0	DELTA 2 = 0.5 EPNDB DELTA S = -0.0 EPNDB DELTA FN/D = 0.3 EPNDB
3150 4000 5000 6300 8000	42.4 2.5 29.1 1.0	48.1 3.7 40.3 2.2	PEF. EPNL FN/D = 97.3 EPNDB
2500 3150 4000 5000 6300 8000 10000	51.2 4.3 42.4 2.5 29.1 1.0	53.4 5.0 48.1 3.7 40.3 2.2	DELTA FN/D = 0.3 EPNDB PEF. EPNL FN/D = 97.3 EPNDB

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

96.7 PNDB 97.7 PNDB

ı.

#### TABLE C-4.1

DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75 DATA PROCESSED 06/27/75 14000627 PAGE 1

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYDVER NOISE TEST

#### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-10° ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- FN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z = -81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -7966.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO IC. NUMBER 1 IC. LOCATION C6 AIRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA WEATHER DATA FUSE. NO. 741 AVG. NIRT = 6469 RPM AMB. TEMP. = 51.2 F FĽĬĠĦT 16 AVG. EPR 1.441 REL. HUM. 36.0 PCT = = MIC. DRIENT GRAZING 3.5 GM/M3 A/P HEADING = 210. DEG ABS. RUN 11 HUM. = = 2322.6 FT FLAP POS = UP2. KN HEIGHT 2.1 DEG WIND SPEED -LAT. DEV. = -8.6 FT SLNT.RNG. = 2322.6 FT PATH ANG. WIND DIR. WIND DIR. = 245. DEG STA. PRESS = 29.81\_IN HG DATE 1 - 29 - 75= 4.6 DEG TEST TEST NUMBER JOB 511 PITCH ANG. = 13.9 DEG JOB REEL  $P\overline{A}TH$  SPD. = 175.4 KN RT. THETA A5282 GR. WEIGHT = 105500. LB= .9907

> AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 9-56- 2.7 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 9-56- 8.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 9-56- 1.8

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

#### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS DATA TYPES 1/3 DCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD, PNL, PNLT & EPNL

õ

## TABLE C-4.1 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

	FAR PART 36 CALCUL	ATED NOISE LEVELS	14000627	PAGE = 2
10DEL DC-9-31	FUSELAGE ND. 741	REGISTRATION NO.	N54638 TEST	DATE 1-29-75
-LIGHT ND. 16	TEST RUN NO 11	MICROPHONE NO.	1 MIC	LOCATION C6

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK PEFERENCE CONDITIONS CHANGE

ADJUSTED

60

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

PNLTM= 87.8 PNDB DCF= 0.1 DB EPNL= 87.9 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

MEASURED

#### NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

(HZ)	(DB) (NOYS)			REF. 1EST
50 63 80	62.6 1.6 67.6 2.0 64.5 2.1	68•8 1•6 67•8 2•1 64•7 2•1	WEATHER Amb. Temp. (Deg F) Rel. Hum. (PCT)	77.0 51.2 70.0 36.0
125 160 200	74-8 6-9 76-5 8-9 72-8 7-8	75.0 7.0 76.6 8.9 72.9 7.9	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) AVE FN/D (LBS)	179.7 175.4 9451.0 9026.1
290 315 400 500	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	78.0* 12.7 73.4 10.1 71.9 9.1	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) NOISE PATH DIST. (FT)	2237. 2309. 2447. 2526.
630 800 1250 1250 2500 2500 3150 4000 5000 6300 8000	69.5 7.7 65.8 6.0 62.4 4.7 56.0 3.5 49.4 2.9 42.5 2.1 32.2 1.2	69.7 7.8 66.3 6.2 63.6 5.1 58.3 4.1 53.6 3.9 49.3 3.3 43.1 2.5	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = 87 DELTA 1 (ARP866) = 0 DELTA 2 = -0 DELTA S = -0 DELTA FN/D = 0 REF. EPNL FN/D = 89	-9 EPNDB -4 EPNDB -1 EPNDB -1 EPNDB -6 EPNDB -6 EPNDB
PNL PNLTM	87-2 PNDB 87-8 PNDB	87.7 PNDB 88.2 PND5	-	

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

304

## TABLE C-4.2

#### DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75 DATA PROCESSED 06/27/75 14000627 PAGE 1

### MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

#### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINF/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYDVER -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- FN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z =-81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -7966.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO	AIRPLAN	E AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 1	FUSE. NO. 741	AVG. NIRT = 6558. RPM	$AMB \bullet TEMP \bullet = 52 \bullet 1 F$
MIC. LOCATION C6	FLIGHT 16	$AVG_{\bullet} EPR = 1.463$	REL. HUM. = $34.0$ PCT
MIC. ORIENT GRAZING	RUN 12 _	A/P HEADING = 210, DEG	$ABS \cdot HUM \cdot = 3 \cdot 4 GM/M3$
TEST SITE YUMA	HEIGHT = $2248.4$ FT	FLAP POS = UP 2 I DEG	WIND SPEED = 3. KN
TEST DATE 1-29-75	LAT. DEV. = $-95.1$ FT	$PATH ANG_{\bullet} = 5_{\bullet}2 DEG$	WIND DIR. = $255 \cdot DEG$
TEST NUMBER JOB 511	$SLNT \cdot RNG \cdot = 2250 \cdot 4 FT$	$PITCH ANG_{\bullet} = 14.8 DEG$	STA. PRESS = 29.81 IN HG
JOB REEL A5282	$PATH SPD_{\bullet} = 175_{\bullet}3 KN$	GR. WEIGHT = 104600. LB	RT. THETA = .9914

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10- 3-53.4 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 10- 4- 0.0 TIME OF AIRCPAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10- 3-52.6

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

NALVZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(PE	V)
ISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PPESSURE LEVEL	
AMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	(DB_REL. 0.0002_MICROBAR)	
IVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WID	9
	PNL PNL 1 & PPNL	

TABLE C-4.2 (CONTINUED)

DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

		FAR PART 36 C	ALCULATED	NOISE LEVELS	1400	0627.	PAGE =	2
MODEL	DC-9+31	FUSELAGE NO.	741	REGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST	DATE 1-29-	75
FLIGHT	ND+ 16	TEST RUN NO	12	MICROPHONE NO.	1	MIC.	LOCATION CO	6

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK REFERENCE CONDITIONS CHANGE

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

DCF= 0.0 DB EPNL= 87.8 EPNDB PNLTM= 87.8 PNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTA1 CALCULATIONS

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS
FREQUENCY	SPL NOISINESS	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	REF. TEST
50 63 80	71•1     2•0       68•3     2•2       65•9     2•3       65•9     2•3	68 • 2 65 • 8 65 • 8 65 • 8 65 • 8 67	AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 52.1 REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0 34.0
100 125 160 200	74.7 6.9 77.7 9.6 76.3 10.1	74-6 6.8 77-5 9.5 76-1 9.9	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 179.7 175.3 AVE FN/D (LBS) 9451.0 9426.4
315 400 500	77.3* 12.1 71.9 9.1 71.7 9.0	77.0* 11.9 71.6 8.9 71.4 9.8	FLIGHT PROFILE GEDMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 2237. 2240. NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 2564. 2567.
800 1000 1250 1600 2000	65.2 5.7 62.5 4.8 57.8 4.0 50.2 3.0 43.1 2.2	65.5 65.5 63.4 60.0 54.3 54.1 50.2 3.5	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = 97.8 EPNDB DELTA 1 (ARP866) = 0.2 EPNDB DELTA 2 = -0.0 EPNDB DELTA S = -0.1 EPNDB
2500 3150 4000 5000 6300 8000 10000	32.8 1.2	44.0 2.6	DELTA FN/D = 0.0 EPNDB PEF. EPNL FN/D = 88.0 EPNDB
PNL PNLTM	87.1 PNDB 87.8 PNDB	87.3 PNDB 88.0 PNDB	·

**\* BAND PPODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

## TABLE C-4.3

#### DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

00

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75 DATA PROCESSED 06/27/75 14000627 PAGE 1

#### MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

#### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- P&WA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

**TYPE OF FLYOVER** -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- FN/DLT = 9500 LBS **MEASUREMENT** TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT **RECORDING** AT x = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z = -81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY **REFERENCE** RECORDING LOCATION x = -7966.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO	AIRPLA	NE AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 1	FUSE. NO. 741	AVG. N1RT = 6490. RPM	AMB. TEMP. = 52.5 F
MIC. LOCATION C6	FLIGHT 16	AVG. EPR = 1.445	REL. HUM. $= 35.1$ PCT
MIC. ORIENT GRAZING	RUN 16	A/P HEADING = 210. DEG	ABS. $HUM$ . = 3.6 $GM/M3$
TEST SITE YUMA	HEIGHT = 2288.0 F	f FLAP POS. = UP 2.1 DEG	WIND SPEED = 4. KN
TEST DATE 1-29-75	LAT. $PEV_{*} = -134.8 F'$	$T PATH ANG_{\bullet} = 4.0 DEG$	WIND DIR. = 260. DEG
TEST NUMBER JDB 511	SLNT.RNG. = 2292.0 F	$\Gamma$ PITCH ANG. = 11.4 DEG	STA. PRESS = 29.81 IN HG
JOB REEL A5282	PATH SPD. = 174.4 K	N GR. WEIGHT = 99900. LB	RT. THETA = .9911

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-42-49.9 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 10-42-56.0 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10-42-49.3

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	(DB REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD, PNL. PNLT & FPNL

### TABLE C-4.3 (CONTINUED)

## DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

	FAR PART 36 C	ALCULATED	NOISE LEVELS	14000	627	PAGE = 2
MODEL DC-9-31	FUSELAGE ND.	741	REGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST	DATE 1-29-75
Flight ND. 16	Test run no	16	MICROPHONE NO.	1	MIC.	LOCATION C6

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK REFERENCE CONDITIONS CHANGE

22

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

PNLTM= 87.6 PNDB DCF= -0.5 DB EPNL= 87.2 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NUISE ADJUSIMENI PARAMETERS
FREQUENCY	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	SPL NDISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	REF. TEST
50 63 80	69.9 1.8 67.1 1.9 64.3 2.0	70•1 1•8 67•2 2•0 64•4 2•0	MEATHER AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 52.5 REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0 35.1
100 125 160 200	72.8 5.4 75.7 7.4 75.8 8.5 73.6 8.3	75.8 75.9 75.9 73.6 8.3	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 179.7 174.4 AVE FN/D (LBS) 9451.0 9111.2
250 315 400 500	74.8 9.7 77.5* 12.3 73.9 10.5 73.1 9.9	77.4* 12.2 73.8 10.4 73.0 9.9	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 2237. 2287. NDISE PATH DIST. (FT) 2451. 2505.
630 800 1000 1250	69.9 7.9 64.8 5.6 60.3 4.1 56.1 3.5	70.0 8.0 65.1 5.7 61.3 4.4 58.1 4.0	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = 87.2 EPNDB DELTA 1 (ARP866) = 0.5 EPNDB
1600 2000 2500	50.0 3.0 42.0 2.0 32.1 1.2	53.9 48.5 42.6 2.4	DELTA 2 = -0.1 EPNDB DELTA S = -0.1 EPNDB DELTA FN/D = 0.5 EPNDB
3150 4000 5000 6300	21.7 0.0	38+2 1+9	REF = EPNL FN/U = B/O EPNUD
8000 10000			
	87.1 PNDB	87.6 PNDB	

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

### TABLE C-4.4

### DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75

9

DATA PROCESSED 06/27/75

14000627 PAGE 1

### MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

#### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- FN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z =-81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNHAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -7966.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO	AIRPLANE	AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC NUMBER 1	FUSE. NO. 741	AVG. NIRT = 6489. RPM	AMB. TEMP. = 53.6 F
MIC. LOCATION C6	FLIGHT 16	$AVG_{\bullet} EPR = 1.445$	REL. HUM. = $35.5$ PCT
MIC. ORIENT GRAZING	RUN 17	A/P HEADING = 210. DEG	ABS + HUM = 3 + B GM/M3
TEST SITE YUMA	HEIGHT = $2163.0$ FT	$FLAP POS_{\bullet} = UP 2.1 DEG$	WIND SPEED = 4. KN
TEST DATE 1-29-75	$LAT_{\bullet} DEV_{\bullet} = -91_{\bullet}7_{\bullet}7_{\bullet}7_{\bullet}$	PATH ANG = 4.3 DEG	WIND DIP. = 280. DEG
TEST NUMBER JOB 511	$SLNT \cdot RNG \cdot = 2164 \cdot 9 FT$	$PITCH ANG_{\bullet} = 14.7 DEG$	$STA_{\bullet}$ PRESS = 29.81 IN HG
JOB REEL 45282	PATH SPD. = 176.8 KN	GR. WEIGHT = 99100. LB	RT. THETA = .9920

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-50- 6.6 OTHEP PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 10-50-11.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICPOPHONE LOCATION 10-50- 6.0

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARPB66(REV	)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL	
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD,	

310	• .		DC-9 R	EFAN TA	KEOFF	TABLE WITH CUTBAC	C-4.4 (CON K FLYOVE	TINUED) R-NOISE TEST CO	NDITION SUMM	ARY		
			FA	R PART	36 0	ALCULATED	NOISE	LEVELS	14000	0627	PAGE =	2
	MODEL FL IGHT	DC-9-31 NO. 16	FU TE	SELAGE ST RUN	NO. NO	741 17	REGIS MICRO	TRATICN NO. Phone No.	N54638 1	TEST MIC.	DATE 1-29 LOCATION	9-75 C6
REFE	RENCE C	ONDITIONS	-DC-9 R	FAN T	AKEOF	F WITH CU	тваск	REFERENCE	CONDITIONS	CHANG	E	
	SUMMAR	Y OF MEAS	WRED NO	ISE LE	VELS.							
PNLT	M= 87.	7 PNDB	DCF= -	.7. DB	Ē	PNL= 87.	0 EPNDB					

0 A A

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

MEASURED

### NDISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

COCOLOMON	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS	
HZ	(DB) (NOYS)	(DB) (NOYS)	REF.	TEST
50 63 80	67.5 68.2 67.1 71.8 4.9	67.8 66.7 71.3 66.7	AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0	53.6 35.5
125 160 200	75.0 76.1* 70.3 70.3 70.3	74.6 6.8 75.6* 8.3 69.7 6.2	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 179.7 AVE FN/D (LBS) 9451.0	176.8 9080.1
315 400 500	77.3 12.1 74.2 10.7 71.8 9.1	76.7 11.6 73.5 10.2 71.1 8.6	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 2237. NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 2381.	2159. 2298.
630 800 1000 1250 1600 2000	71.0       8.9         65.6       5.9         60.9       4.3         57.5       3.9         53.0       3.7         46.3       2.7	71.0       5.6         65.2       5.7         60.9       4.2         58.3       4.1         55.2       4.3         50.7       3.6	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED FPNL = 87.0 EPND DELTA 1 (ARP866) = -0.1 EPND DELTA 2 = 0.2 EPND DELTA S = -0.1 EPND DELTA S = -0.1 EPND	18 18
2300 3150 4000 5000 6300 8000 10000	27.8 0.ó	40.5 2.2	PEF. EPNL FN/D = 87.5 EPND	B

PNL PNLTM

87.2 PND8 87.7 PND8

87.0 PND8 87.6 PND8

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

#### TABLE C-4.5

DATA PROCESSED 06/27/75

DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75

14000627 PAGE 1

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- FN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z =-81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -7966.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO	AIRPLANE	AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 1	FUSE. NO. 741	AVG. NIRT = 6474. RPM	AMB. TEMP. = $55.4$ F
MIC. LOCATION C6	FLIGHT 16	$AVG_{\bullet} EPR = 1.440$	REL. HUM. = 32.8 PCT
MIC. ORIENT GRAZING	RÚN 18	A/P HEADING = 210. DEG	ABS. HUM. = $3.7 \text{ GM/M3}$
TEST SITE YUMA	HEIGHT = $2206.4$ FT	$FLAP POS_{\bullet} = UP 2_{\bullet}1 DEG$	WIND SPEED = 3. KN
TEST DATE 1-29-75	LAT. DEV. = -49.5 FT	PATH ANG = 4.7 DEG	WIND DIR. = 220. DEG
TEST NUMBER JOB 511	$SLNT_RNG_ = 2207.0 FT$	PITCH ANG. = 14.8 DEG	STA. PRESS = 29.80 IN HG
JOB REEL A5282	PATH SPD = 175.0 KN	GR. WEIGHT = 98000. LB	RT. THETA = .9916

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 11- 0-32.1 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 11- 0-38.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 11- 0-31.5

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP865(REV	)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL	
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	(DB_REL. 0.0002_MICROBAR)	
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECUNDS	DATA 149ES 173 UCIAVE, UVERALL, A-WIU,	

- 312						
	DC-9 REEAN	TABLE C-4.5 (CONT TAKEOFE WITH CUTBACK EL YOVE	FINUED) SNOISE TEST CONDITION :	SUMMARY		
	FAR	PART 36 CALCULATED NOISE	E LEVELS	14000627	PAGE	= 2
FL IGHT	NO. 16 TEST	RUN NO 18 MICE	ROPHONE NO. 1	MIC	LOCATIO	29-75 N C6
REFERENCE CO	NDITIONS-DC-9 REF	AN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK.	REFERENCE CONDI	TIONS CHANG	GE	
SUMMARY	OF MEASURED NOIS	E LEVELS.				
PNLTM= 88.7	PNDB DCF= -1.	1 DB EPNL= 87.6 EPNE	)B			
SUMMARY	OF DELTAL CALCUL	ATIONS				
FREQUENCY	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NOISE ADJ	USTMENT PAR	RAMETERS	
(HZ) 50	(DB) (NOYS) 70-6 1-9	(DB) (NOYS)	WEATHER		REF.	TEST
63	68.9 2.3 66.3 2.4	68.7 2.3 66.1 2.4	AMB. TEMP. REL. HUM.	(DEG F) (PCT)	77.0	55.4 32.8
100 125	71.5 4.8 76.2 7.8	71-2 4-7 75-9 7-6	PREFORMANCE			
160 200	78.8 10.4 77.3 10.8	78.5 10.2 76.9 10.5	PATH SPEED Ave FN/D	(KN) (LBS)	179.7 9451.0	175.0 9019.2
250 315	75.8 10.4 78.3* 12.9	75.5 10.2 77.8* 12.5	FLIGHT PROFIL	E GEOMETRY		22.01
400 500	73.4 10.1 72.6 9.6	72.1 9.3 72.1 9.3	NOISE PATH	DIST. (FT)	2537.	2496.
800	10.3 8.2 65.3 5.9 43.3 4 7		CALCULATED NO	ISE LEVELS	7 4 EDND	Q
1250	58.0 4.0	59.4 55.4 55.4	DELTA 1 (AR	(P866) =	0.1 EPND	B
2000	44.5 2.4	50.2 3.5	DELTA S		0.1 EPND	B B
3150 4000 5000 6300 8000 10000	26.5 0.0	41.9 2.4	ŘĚF. EPNL F	™/D = 8	38.3 EPNO	B.
PNL PNLTM	88.1 PNDB 88.7 PNDB	88.2 PND5 88.8 PND5				

..

3

00

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

#### TABLE C-4.6

#### DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75 DATA PROCESSED 06/27/75 14000627 PAGE 1

### MOD% \_ DC-9-31 \_ REG. NO. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

#### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- P&WA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- FN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z =-81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -7966.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO		AIRPLANE	AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 1	FUSE. NO.	741	AVG. NIRT = $6462$ . RPM	AMB. TEMP. = 56.5 F
MIC. LOCATION C6	FLIGHT	16	AVG. EPR = 1.437	REL. HUM. = $30.4$ PCT
MIC. ORIENT GRAZING	RUN	19	A/P HEADING = 210. DEG	$ABS \cdot HUM \cdot = 3.6 GM/M3$
TEST SITE YUMA	HEIGHT	= 2175.8 <u>FT</u>	FLAP POS = UP 1 + 8 DEG	WIND SPEED = 3. KN
TEST DATE 1-29-79	5 LAT. DEV.	= -43.3 FT	PATH ANG = 5.8 DEG	WIND DIR. = $220.0EG$
TEST NUMBER JOB 511	SLNT-RNG-	= 2176.2 FT	$PITCH ANG_{\bullet} = 15.2 DEG$	STA = PRESS = 29.80 IN HG
JDB REEL A4916	PATH SPD.	= 174.7 KN	GR WEIGHT = 97000 LB	RT. THETA = .9920

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 11-17-51-5 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 11-17-56-5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 11-17-50-8

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

NALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMGSPHERIC	ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV)
ISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BÁSÍC UNIT	SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
AMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS		(DB_REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR)
VERÁGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES	1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD,
		PNL PNLT & EPNL

#### 6.63 TABLE C-4.6 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY 14000627 2 FAR PART 36 CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS PAGE = REGISTRATION NO. MICROPHONE NO. **TEST DATE 1-29-75** FUSELAGE NO. TEST RUN NO N54638 MODEL DC-9-31 741 MIC. LOCATION C6 FLIGHT NO. 16 19 1 REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK REFERENCE CONDITIONS CHANGE SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS. EPNL= 87.3 EPNDB DCF= -0.8 DB PNLTM= 88.1 PNDB SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS MEASURED ADJUSTED NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS SPL FREQUENCY NOISINESS NÖISINESS SPL (NOYS) REF. TEST (HŹ) (DB) (NOYS) (DB) 67.2 WEATHER **5**0 65.9 1.3 1.4 AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) REL. HUM. (PCT) 77.0 56.5 63 65.0 • 6 - 6 70.0 įģ 30.4 80 66.6 2.4 70.7 100 70.4 PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 25 6.9 6.7 174.7 8.7 179.7 160 76.3≈ 9.0 AVE FN/D (LBS) 9451.0 8949.0 200 ۰. 71.6 7.1 250 10. 5-6 0.3 FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 315 2237.2401. 2166.2325. 40Ō 10.3 10. NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 500 9. 9.3 630 70.4 8.3 CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS 66.5 800 6.3 6. MEASURED EPNE 87.3 EPNDB 62.3 4.7 $\Xi$ 1000 4.2 DELTA 1 (ARP866) 0.0 EPNDB 3.8 58.6 = 250 • 1 DELTA 2 DELTA S 0.1 EPNDB 55.2 50.7 = **1600** .9 3.4 -0.1 EPNDB 3.6 2.4 = 45.0 2000 35.5 24.4 DELTA FN/D 1.5 = 2500 45.1 2.i REF. EPNL FN/D 89.0 EPNDB 39.8 = 3150 0.0 4000 5000 6300 **ÖÖÖ**Ö

 PNL
 87.5
 PNDB
 87.5
 PNDB

 PNLTM
 88.1
 PNDB
 88.1
 PNDB

.

10000

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

ୁ କ୍ର

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GP1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC	ATTENUATION SAE ARP866( PEV)
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = +500 SECONDS	BASIC UNIT	(DB REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES	1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTO, PNL, PNLT & FPNL

TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10-52-43.8

MEASUREMENT INFO AIRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA WEATHER DATA MIC. NUMBER FUSE. NO. 741 AVG. NIRT = 5488. RPM TEMP. = 55.9 F 6 AMR. LOCATION 10 FÜÌĞĤT 19 AVG. FPR = 1.233 REL. ĤUM. 7 PCT RELENT GPATING ₹ŪN A/P HEADING = 3) 37. DEG ARS. 5.2 GM/M3 HHM. Ξ SITE FLAP POS. = VH MA 369.5 FT WIND SPEED HEIGHT = 49.3 DEG - KN = LAT. DEV. = -183.1 FT SLNT.FNG. = 412.4 FT TEST DATE 1-31-75 PATH ANG. WIND DIR 330. = -2.6 DEG DEG = STA. PPESS = 29.96 IN HG TEST NUMBER JN8 511 PITCH ANG. = 4 055 1. JÖB REËL 45283 PATH SPN = 140.2 KN 93800 18 RT. THETA = GR. WFIGHT = .9967 AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-52-43.8 OTHER REPERRMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF POLLTM OF 10-52-45.5

PATA CLASS --TYPE OF FLYOVER -- LANDING APPPOACH H POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH. 4 RECORDING AT X = -6978.0, Y = 198.0, Z = -1.0 FEFT FROM THRESHOLD REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -6076.0, Y = -0, Z = -0 FE .0 FEST

ENGINE/MACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS

**U**T

DATA PROCESSED 06/27/75

14590627 PAGE 1

TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOTSE LEVELS

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F$  = 50°) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

TABLE C-5.1

<u>Ö</u> ĝ

TABLE C-5,1 (CONTINUED)

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{\rm F} = 50^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

FAR PART	36 CALCU	ATED NOISE	LEVELS	14590627	PAGE =	Z
1 10 1 1 1 10 10 1	JO CHEVOL					

MODEL	DC-9-31	FUSELAGE NO.	741	REGISTRATION ND.	N54638	TEST DATE 1-31-75
FLIGHT	ND. 19		30	MICROPHONE NO.	6	MIC. LOCATION 10

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN 50 DEG APPROACH REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

PNLTM= 102.7 PNDR DCF= -5.8 DB EPNL= 96.8 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS
FREQUENCY	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	REF. TEST
50	72.3 2.3	73.3 2.5	WEATHER AMB, TEMP, (DEG E) 77.0 55.9
80	66.7 2.5	<u> </u>	REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0 45.7
125	79.6 10.2	80.5 11.0	PREFORMANCE
200	84.6 15.5 85.1 18.5		AVE FN/C (LPS) 5362-0 5558-4
250 315	79.7 13.7 84.1 19.5	80•7 14•6 85•0 20•8	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY
400 500	82.5 19.0 81.6 17.9	83.4 20.3 82.5 19.1	NOISE PATH DISTANCE (FT) 383. 428.
630 800	80.6 16.7 80.4 16.4	81.5 17.8 81.3 17.5	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS
1000	77.7 13.6 77.3 15.3	78.6 14.5 78.3 16.4	MEASURED FPNL = 96.8 EPNDB Delta 1 (Arp866) = 1.9 Epndb
1600	76.4 18.6	77.5 20.1 79.1 25.9	DELTA Z = -0.5 EPNDB DELTA S = -0.0 EPNDB
2500	77.8 27.0	79.5 <u>30.4</u>	DELTA EN/D = -0.4 EPNDB REF. ERNI EN/D = 97.9 EPNDB
4000	68.9 15.7	72.3 19.8	
6300	70.4 15.1		
10000	or•2* 9•9 55•4 3•5	67.2 8.0	
<b>6344</b>	100 0 0000	106 0 0000	

PNL 102.0 PNDB 104.0 PNDB PNLTM 102.7 PNDB 104.6 PNDB

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

#### TABLE C-5.2

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{e} = 50^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75 DATA PROCESSED 06/27/75 14590627 PAGE 1

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JI8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- LANDING APPROACH DATA CLASS -- H POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -6978.0, Y = 198.0, Z = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLD REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -6076.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO	ATRPLAN	F AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 6	FUSE. NO. 741	AVG. NIRT = 5463. RPM	AMB. TEMP. = $53.1$ F
MIC. LOCATION 10	GLIGHT 19	AVG. EPR = 1.231	REL. HUM. = $49.7 PCT$
MIC. DRIENT GRAZING	PUN 27	$A/P HEADING = 30 \cdot DEG$	ABS + HUM = 5 + 2 GM/M3
TEST SITE YUMA	HFIGHT = 344.5 FT	ELAP PDS = 49.3 DEG	WIND SPEED = $10. \text{ KN}$
TEST DATE 1-31-75	LAT. DEV. = -193.7 FI	PATH ANG = -3.1 UEG	WIND DIR. = 360.0EG
TEST NUMBER JUB 511	SLNT PNG = 395 2 F1	PITCH ANG = 1.8 UEG	SIA = PRESS = 29.90 IN HG
JOB REEL 45283	- PATH SPU. = 135.9 KN	6K. WEIGHT = 98400. L9	K.* iMeit = *AAOT

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-14-49.9 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 10-14-51.5 TIME DE AIPCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10-14-50.0

REFERENCE SUPPACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

ANALYTER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0+25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC	ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(KEV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	Basic unit	SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES	(DE REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR) 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD, DNI , DNI T & EPNI

1

## TABLE C-5.2 (CONTINUED)

# DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F = 50^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

	FAR PART 36	CALCULATED	NOISE LEVELS	1459	0627	PAGE = 2	
MODEL DC-9-31 FLIGHT NO. 19	FUSELAGE NO.	. 741 27	REGISTRATION NO. MICROPHONE NO.	N54638 6	TEST MIC.	DATE 1-31-75 LOCATION 10	

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN 50 DEG APPROACH REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOTSE LEVELS.

PNLTM= 103.6 PNDB DCF= -6.2 DB EPNL= 97.4 FPNDA

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED
FREQUENCY	SPL NOISINESS	SPL NOTSINESS
50	74-9 3-0	75.5 3.1
63	73-1 3-4	73.7 3.6
80		69•9 3•3 72.9 5.4
125	78.6 9.4	79.1 9.9
160	83-8 14-7	84-4 15-3
250		81.3 15.3
315	84.1 19.6	84.6 20.3
400	84.2 21.4	84•1 22•2 82-6 19-1
630	90.7 16.8	81.2 17.4
807	82.7 16.8	81.2 17.4
1250	77.0 14.9	77.5 15.5
1600	76-4 18-6	77-1 19-5
2000	78-7 25-0 79-2 29-7	19.5 26.6 80.4 32.3
3150	75.8 25.2	77.6 28.5
4000	69-9 16-8	72.6 20.2
6301		76.9 23.7
8000	68-9* 11-1	76-1* 18-2
10000	5/+4 4+L	01+0 8+3
PNL	103.0 PNDB	104-4 PNDB
PNLTM	103.6 PND9	105.0 PNDB

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

*.*(2)

### NOTSE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

	REF.	TEST
AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) Rel. Hum. (PCT)	77:9	53.1 49.7
PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) AVE FN/D (LBS)	141.4 5362.0	135.9 5507.0
FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETR MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT NDISE PATH DIST. (FT	Y 1 369. 1 379.	395. 405.
CALCULATED NOISE LEVEL MEASURED EPNL = DELTA 1 (ARP866) = DELTA 2 = DELTA 5 = DELTA FN/D = DELTA FN/D =	S 97.4 EPND 1.4 EPND -0.3 EPND -0.2 EPND -0.3 EPND	8.888 8.888 8.888

#### TABLE C-5.3

# DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{\rm F}$ = 50°) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOTSE LEVELS

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75 DATA PROCESSED 06/27/75 14590627 PAGE 1

#### MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. M54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

#### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT80-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF ELYOVER -- LANDING APPROACH MEASUREMENT TYPE -- RENEATH ELT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = +6978.0, Y = 198.0, 7 = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLD REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -6076.0, Y = -0, Z = -0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO		AIRPLANE	AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 6	FUSE. NO.	741	AVG. N1PT = 5315. RPM	AMB. TEMP. = 54,1 F
MIC. LOCATION 10	FLIGHT	19	AVG. FPR = 1.207	PEL. HUM. = 51.7 PCT
MIC. ORIENT GRAZING	PUŅ	28	A/P HEADING = 30. DEG	$\Delta BS \bullet HUM \bullet = 5 \bullet 6 \ GM/M3$
TEST STTE YUMA	HFTGHT	= 292.8 FT	FLAP POS = 49.5 DEG	WIND SPEED = 7. KN
TEST DATE 1-31-75	LAT. DEV.	= -157.8 FT	$PATH ANG_{\bullet} = -2.6 DEG$	WIND DIR. = 360. DEG
TEST NUMBER JOB 511	SUNT PNG.	= 332.6 FT	PITCH ANG. = 1.5 DEG	STL. PRESS = 29.96 IN HG
JOB PEFL 45283	PATH SPD.	= 134.8 KN	GR. WEIGHT = 96300. LB	PT. THETA = .9963

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-33-31.1 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 10-33-33.0 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10-33-31.1

REFERENCE SUPFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

ANALYZER TYPE / PESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC	ATTENUATION SAE APP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT	SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOP BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS		IDB REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECTINDS	DATA TYPES	DNIL DNITE EDNI

TABLE C-5.3 (CONTINUED)

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{e} = 50^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

		FAR PART 36	CALCULATED	NDISE LEVELS	1459	0627	PAGE =	2
MODEL	DC-9-31 NO. 19	FUSELAGE ND.	741 28	REGISTRATION NO. MICROPHONE NO.	N54638 6	TEST MIC.	DATE 1-31- LOCATION 1	75 0

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN 50 DEG APPROACH REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

SUMMARY OF MEASUPED NOISE LEVELS.

EPNL= 97.5 EPNDB PNLTM= 103.7 PNDR DCF= -6.2 DR

SUMMARY OF DELTAI CALCULATIONS

#### 0F 041 MEASURED SPL NOISINESS (D8) (N0YS) 73-1 2-5 3-5 4-0 ADJUSTED SPL\_ NOISINESS FREQUENCY (H7) 50 កែគិរ (NOVS) 72. .3 12. 63 8Ō 100 a 25 160 20Ō 250 315 19-400 ララ 500 630 8ŏÓ ŌŌŌ 50 600 2000 2500 3150 000 .0 16` 5000 .9 16. 6300 20. 16.7 . 8 23.4 8505 10000 9 71.4\* 4.7 59.6 7.1 65 - 4 103.0 PNDB 103.7 PNDB 102.5 PNDB 103.2 PNDB PNLTM

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

NDISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

	REF.	TEST
WEATHER AND. TEMP. (DEG E)	77-0	54.1
REL. HUM. (PCT)	70.0	51.7
PREEDRMANCE		
AVE EN/D (185)	141.4	134.8
MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT	369.	332.
NOISE PATH DIST. (FT	) 419.	378.
CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS	5	
DELTA 1 (ARP866) =	-0.5 EPND	н В
DELTA 2 =	0.5 EPND	8
DELTA FN/D =	0.5 EPND	2
REF. FPNI FN/D =	97.7 EPND	

	: 	<u><u><u> </u></u></u>				1 Augurt and a second
	<b>``</b>	)		نې بې د ب	>	
ORIGINAL PAGI OF POOR QUAL	DC-9 REFAN LANDING AP	TABLE C-5.4 PROACH (δ <sub>F</sub> ≈ 50 <sup>0</sup> ) FLYOVEF	R-NOISE TEST COND	ITION SUMMARY		
KALL R	FAR PART 36	FLYOVER NOTSE LE	VFLS			
	DATA IDENTI	FICATION INFORMATI	<u>nn</u>			
DATA DIGIT	1250 2-3-75	MATA PROCESSED	06/27/75	14590627 PAG	E 1	
	MOT	DEL DC-9-31 PEG.	ND. N54638			
•	DC-	9-31 REFAN FLYOVER	NOISE TEST			
		FAR PART 36 NOTSE	LEVELS			
ENGINE/NACEL	LE CONFIGURATION	PSWA JT8D-109 E NACELLES	NGINES WITH A	COUSTICALLY TRE	ATED	
TYPE OF EL MEASUPEMEN PECTROING REFERENCE	YOVEP LANNING T TYPE RENEATH AT X = -6978.0, N RECORNING LOCATIO	APPRDACH   FLT PATH, 4 FFE   = 198.0, Z = -1.  N x = -6076.0, Y	DATA CLASS T ABOVE SANDI O FEET FROM 1 = .0, 7 =	H POWER		
MEASUREMENT INFO MIC. NUMBER 6 MIC. LOCATION 10 MIC. ORIENT GRAZIN TEST SITE YUMA TEST DATE 1-31- TEST NUMBER JOB 51 JOB REEL A5283	FUSE ND 74 FLIGHT 19 G PUN 24 HFIGHT = 75 LAT OEV. = 1 SLNT PNG. = PATH SPD. =	AIRPLANF AND FNG AVG. NI AVG. EP AVG. EP A/P HEA 354.7 FT FLAP PC -171.4 FT PATH AN 394.0 FT PITCH S 125.3 KN GR. WF1	$\begin{array}{rcrr} \text{INF} & \text{PATA} \\ \text{RT} &= & 5335 \\ \text{RT} &= & 1 \cdot 193 \\ \text{IDING} &= & 30 \\ \text{IS} &= & 49 \cdot 3 \\ \text{IG} &= & -3 \cdot 5 \\ \text{IG} &= & -3 \cdot 5 \\ \text{IG} &= & 1 \cdot 8 \\ \text{IG} &= & 95100 \\ \end{array}$	RPM AMB. TEMP REL. HUM DEG ABS. HUM DEG WIND SPE DEG WIND DIA DEG STA. PRE LB RT. THET	THER DATA = $54.3$ F = $51.4$ PCT = $5.6$ GM/M3 ED = $6.$ KN = $200.0$ DEG SS = $29.96$ IN H A = $.9959$	IG
AIRPLANE TIME DE	SPACE POSITIONI OTHER PERFORMANC AIRCEAFT AT MINIP	NG IS RELATIVE TO N CE DATA IS FOR TIME AUM DISTANCE FROM N	NIC FOR TIME A OF PALTM OF NICROPHONE LOC	AT MIC OF 10-42- 10-42-27.0 ATION 10-42-25	25.3 .4	
REFERENC	E SURFACE WEATHER	CONDITIONS TEMP	P = 77.) f € 5	16L. HUM. = 70.0	PCT	
	DESCRIPT	IN OF ACOUSTICAL E	ATA PROCESSI	łG		
ANALYZER TYPE / OF CISA MODE 1 PASS SAMPLE INTERVAL FO AVERAGING TIME = 1	SOLUTION G91921 WITH AUTO-START 94510 DATA = •500 SECONDS	(CISA) / 0.25 DB .500 SECONDS	ATMOSPHERIC BASIC UNIT DATA TYPES	ATTENUATION SOUND PRESSURE (DB REL: 0.00 1/3 OCTAVE, OV PNL, PNLT & E	AE ARP866(REV) LEVEL 07 MICROBAR) ERALL, A-WTD, PNL	-

1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -

المرأ الكوافع إخاره وسلطان الأواس الاتحا أتكاف

- which is submo

Price and the

والمتروي والمعاصر والمتحادث والمتحاد والمتحاد والمتحاد

in Anna

-

1.2.2.11

812122

1000 COLOR

. . . .

	DC-9 REFAN LANDIN	g api	PROACH (δ <sub>F</sub> = 50	•) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CO	NDITION SUM	MARY
	FAR PART	36	CALCULATED	NOISE LEVELS	1459	0627
DC-9-31 ND. 19	FUSELAGE TEST PUN	NO. NO	741 29	REGISTRATION NO. MICROPHONE NO.	N546 <u>3</u> 8 6	T S M I

TABLE C-5.4 (CONTINUED)

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN 50 DEG APPROACH REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

والاتحادة والمتركبة والمعصوبة كالمتحد فأفتنا الما

SHMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

PNLTM= 102.2 PNDB DCF= -5.8 DB FPNL= 96.4 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED
FREQUENCY	SPL NOTSINESS	SPL NOISINESS
(HZ)	(DB) (NOVS)	(08) (NOYS)
50	74.9 3.0	75.5 3.2
63	69.8 2.5	70.4 2.6
80	63.5 1.9	64.1 2.0
100	72.3 5.2	72.9 5.4
125	<u>78+2</u> 9+1	78.7 9.6
16)	83.7 14.6	84.2 15.2
200	83-1 16-1	<u>83.7 16.7</u>
250	74.3 9.3	74.8 9.7
315	82+5* 17+5	83.0* 18.1
400	80.1 16.1	80.6 16.7
500	81.9 18.2	82.4 18.9
63.5	83.4 15.2	80.9 11.1
800	17-5 17-5	80+3 10+4
1000		
1271		
1000		
2500	76 0 25 5	
2150	72 0 20 9	
4000	68.7 15.5	71.1 18.2
5000	69.1 13.9	71.0 16.9
6300	71.9 16.9	76.2 22.6
8000	68.2 10.6	74.6 16.5
10000	56.4 3.8	65.6 7.2
PNI	101.4 PND9	102-6 PNDB
PNETM	132.2 PNDB	133.5 PN08
- <del>-</del>		

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORPECTION

### NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

14590627

PAGE =

TEST DATE 1-31-75 MIC. LOCATION 10

2

	PEF.	TEST
AMR. TEMP. (DEG E) REL. HUM. (PCT)	77.0	54.3 51.4
PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) AVE EN/D (LRS)	141.4 5362.0	125.3 5225.0
FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) NOISE PATH DIST. (FT)	369. 381.	393. 405.
CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MFASURED EPNL = 90 DELTA 1 (ARP866) =	5.4 EPN0 1.3 EPN0 0.3 EPN0 0.5 EPN0 0.2 EPN0 7.2 EPN0 7.2 EPN0	8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3

322

MODEL

### TABLE C-5,5

# DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F = 50^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

AIRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA

AJRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 11- 2- 0.0 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 11- 2- 2.0 TIME OF ATROPART AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 11- 2- 0-1

AVG. EPP

FLAP POS.

PATH ANG.

AVG. NIRT =

A/P HEADING =

PITCH ANG. =

ENGINEZNATELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED

NACELLES

MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENFATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -6978.0.7 = 198.0.7 = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLD REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -6976.0.7 = .0.7 = .0 FE

= 366.4 FT

134.1 KN

DATA PROCESSED 06/27/75

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

MODEL DC-9-31

DATA CLASS ---

=

=

=

GR. WEIGHT = 92700. LB

5357. RPM

30. DEG

49.5 DEG

-3.0 DEG

1.2 DEG

TEMP = 77.0 E E REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

1.214

REG. NO. N54638

### 14590627 PAGE 1

H POWER

REL. HUM.

ABS. HUM.

WIND DTR.

WIND SPEED =

RT. THETA =

WEATHER DATA

=

STA. PRESS = 29.93 IN HG

= 46.8 PCT

= 335. DFG

.9966

5.4 GM/M3

7. KN

AMB. TEMP. = 56.0 F

.0 FFET

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GRI921(CISA) / 0.25 DB CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-STAPT SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS

FUSE. NO. 741

PATH SPD. =

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS.

19

31

LAT. DEV. = -199.8 FT SLMT.PNG. = 417.4 FT

DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75

MEASUPEMENT INFO

ORIENT GPATING

MIC. LOCATION IO

6

VIIMA.

1 - 31 - 75

JTR 511

A5283

MIC. NUMBER

MIC ORIE

TEST DATE

JÖB REFL

TEST NUMBER

TYPE DE ELYOVEP -- LANDING APPPLACH

FĽŤGĤT

HEIGHT

RUN

ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE APP866(PEV) SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL PASIC UNIT (DB REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR) 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD, DATA TYPES PNL. PNLT & FPNL

AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS Ň w

	C-9 REFAN LANDING APPRO	TABLE C-5.5 (CONTINUED) ACH ( $\delta_{\rm F}$ = 50°) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST (	CONDITION	
•	FAR PART 36 CAL	CULATED NOTSE LEVELS	14590627	PAGE = 2
MODEL DC-9-31 FLIGHT NO. 19	FUSELAGE NO. 7 TEST RUN NO 3	41 REGISTRATION NO. 1 MICROPHONE NO.	N54638 TEST 6 MIC	DATE 1-31-75
REFERENCE CONDITIONS-D	C-9 REFAN 50 DEG	APPROACH REFERENCE CONDIT	TON CHANGE	

 $\circ \circ$ 

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

PNLTM= 101.7 PNDB DCF= -5.8 DB EPNL= 95.9 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

MEASURED

## NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS	
HZ )	SPI NOISINESS	SPL NOISINESS	REF.	TEST
63 80	13•1 2•0 71•4 2•9 65•9 2•3	72.4 3.2 67.0 2.5	AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 PFL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0	56.0 46.8
100 125 160	69.5 4.1 77.7 8.8 83.8 14.9	70.6 4.4 78.7 9.5 84.9 15.9	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 141.4	134.1
200 250 315	84.5 17.8 78.6 12.6 81.2 15.9	85.6 19.1 79.6 13.6 82.2 17.1	AVE FN/D (LRS) 5362.0	5209 <b>.</b> 1
435 500 630	82.5 19.1 81.2 17.3 80.1 16.1	83.6 20.5 82.2 18.6 81.1 17.3	MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 369. NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 396.	417. 447.
800 1000 1250	79.4 15.4 77.2 13.2 76.5 14.4	80.4 16.5 78.2 14.1 77.6 15.5	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = 95.9 EPNDE DELTA 1 (ARP866) = 2.1 EPNDE	3
1699 2000 2500	75.2 17.2 76.9 22.1 76.9 25.3	76.4 18.7 78.3 24.4 79.7 29.7	DELTA 2 = -0.5 EPNDE DELTA S = -0.2 EPNDE DELTA EN/D = 0.3 EPNDE	3
3150 4000 5000	72.6 20.2 67.2 13.9	75.0 23.9 70.6 17.7 70.9 14.9	REF. EPNL FN/D = 97.4 EPNDE	3
6302 8000 10000	69.9 14.7 65.8* 9.0 52.6 2.9	75.9 74.3* 64.6 6.7		
PNL PNLTM	101.0 PNDR 101.7 PNDR	103-1 PNDB 103-7 PNDB		

\* SAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

110

ORIGINAL' PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

#### TABLE C-5.6

## DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{e} = 50^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

 $\circ \circ$ 

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

MODEL DC-9-31

FAR PART 36

DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75

DATA PROCESSED 06/27/75

REG. NO. N54638

14590627 PAGE 1

# DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

# ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF ELYOVER -- LANDING APPPOACH DATA CLASS -- I MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH ELT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RÉCOPDING AT X = -6978.0, Y = 198.0, Z = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLD PEFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -6076.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FE H POWER .O FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO	A TR PL ANE	AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 6	FUSE. NO. 741	AVG. NIRT = 5464. RPM	AMB. TEMP. = $56.0$ F
MIC. LOCATION 10	FLIGHT 19	$\Delta VG \bullet EPR = 1 \bullet 230$	$RFL \cdot HUM = 46.8 PCT$
MIC. ORIENT GRAZING	PUN 32	A/P HEADING = 30, DEG	ABS = HUM = 5 4 GM/M3
TEST SITE YUMA	HEIGHT = 379.7 ET	$FLAP POS_{\bullet} = 49.5 DEG$	WIND SPEED = $7 \cdot KN$
TEST DATE 1-31-75	$LAT_{\bullet}$ DEV. = -201.5 FT	$PATH ANG_{\bullet} = -3.6 \text{ DEG}$	WIND 01K. # 330. 02G
TEST NUMBER JOB 511	SLNT RNG = 429.9 FI	$P_1 I CH ANG_{\bullet} = 01700 DEG$	514. PKE35 = 29.93 IN TO
JOB REEL 45283	$PATH SPD_{\bullet} = 137_{\bullet}T KN$	GR. WEIGHT = 91700. L9	*le incia = e9711

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 11-10-32.7 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 11-10-34.5 TIME DE AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 11-10-32.8

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HIM. = 70.0 PCT

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GP1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC	ATTENUATION SAE ARPB66(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT	SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES	(DB REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR) 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD, PNL. PNLT & EPNL

# TABLE C-5.6 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F = 50^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

	FAR PART 36	CALCULATED	NOISE LEVELS	14590	627	PAGE =	2
MODEL DC-9-31	FUSELAGE NO	• 741	REGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST	DATE 1-31-	75
FLIGHT ND. 19	TEST PUN NO		MICROPHONE NO.	6	MIC.	LOCATION L	0

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9. REFAN 50 DEG APPROACH REFERENCE CONDITION CHANGE

22

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

20

PNLTM= 101.9 PNDB DCF= -5.7 DB EPNL= 96.3 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NOTSE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETE	RS
FREQUENCY (HZ)	SPL NOISINESS (DR) (NOYS)	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	RE	F. TEST
50 63	72.8 2.4 72.3 3.2	74•1 2•7 73•6 3•6	MEATHER AMB. TEMP. (DEG.E) 77	- 2 56-2
100			REL. HUM. (PCT) 70	•0 46•8
160	83.1 14.) 83.8 17.0	84.4 15.3 85.1 18.6	PATH SPEED (KN) 141 AVE EN/D (LRS) 5362	•4 137•1
250 315	77.7 11.9 83.2 18.1	79.0 13.0 84.3 19.9	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY	
400 500 630	81.5 17.8 81.5 17.8 80.3 16.4	82.8 19.5 82.8 19.4 81.6 17.9	MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 36 NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 38	9. 429. 6. 449.
800 1000	80.3 16.3 77.8 13.8	81.6 17.8 79.2 15.1	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED FPNL = 96.3 E	PNDR
1250 1600	76.9 14.8	78.3 16.3 77.2 19.7	DELTA = (ARP866) = 2.2 EDELTA = -0.7 E	PNDB PNDB
2500 2500 3150	77.3 25.1 73.5 21.5	79.3 26.2 79.4 3J.2 76.3 26.1	DELTA S = -0.1 E DELTA FN/D = -).3 E PEE EDNI EN/D = 97 4 4	
4000 5000	67.7 14.4 65.3 11.4	71.5 18.8 69.8 15.5		
6300 8000 10000	68.2 13.0 64.2* 8.0 51.7 2.7	74.5 20.1 73.1* 14.9 64.2 6.5		
PNL TM	101.3 PNDB 101.9 PNDB	103.6 PNDB 174.2 PNDB		

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

### TABLE C-6.1

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{e} = 35^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-17-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75

Ň

PAGE 1

### MODEL DC-9-31 REG. ND. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- LANDING APPROACH DATA CLASS -- H POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -6978.0, Y = 198.0, Z = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLD REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -6076.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMEN	NT INFO		AIRPLANE	AND ENGINE D	ΑΤΑ	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER	6	FUSE. ND.	741	AVG. NIRT =	4542. RPM	AMB. TEMP. = 55.8 F
MIC. DRIENT	GRAZING	RUN	42	A/P HEADING	= 30. DEG	ABS. HUM. = 5.1 GM/M3
TEST SITE	YUMA	HEIGHT	= 356.7 FT	FLAP POS. =	34.9 DEG	WIND SPEED = 7. KN
TEST NUMBER	JOB 511	SLNT-RNG.	= -192.5 + 1 = 405.2 FT	PATH ANG. =	-2.8 UEG	STA. PRESS = $30.07$ IN HG
JOB REEL	Ā5359	PATH SPD.	= 131.5 KN	GR. WEIGHT =	104000. LB	RT. THETA = .9979

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 9-56-37.6 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 9-56-39.0 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 9-56-37.6

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

#### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866 (REV)
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	(DB REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR)
AVERĀGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD,

a de l'Alexandre a la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la

TABLE C-6.1 (CONTINUED)

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{\rm E} = 35^{\rm O}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

	FAR PART 36 CALCULATED	NOISE LEVELS		PAGE = Z
MODEL DC-9-31	FUSELAGE ND. 741	REGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST DATE 2-01-75
FLIGHT ND. 20	TEST RUN ND 42	MICROPHONE NO.	6	MIC. LOCATION 10

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN 35 DEG APPROACH USING FINAL CORR CURVE SLOPE

ADJUSTED

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

PNLTM= 99.7 PNDB DCF= -6.2 DB EPNL= 93.5 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

MEASURED

### NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

FREQUENCY	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS)	REF. TEST
50 63 80	70.6 1.9 65.6 1.7 62.4 1.7	71.4 2.1 66.4 1.8 63.2 1.8	AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0 55.8 REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0 45.0
100 125 160 200	67.3 3.4 74.4 6.7 79.8 11.2 79.4 12.5	68.1 3.6 75.2 7.2 80.6 11.8 80.2 13.2	PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) 146.9 131.5 AVE FN/D (LBS) 3810.0 3204.8
250 315 400 500	71.1 7.4 79.8 14.5 77.4 13.4 78.3 14.2	71.9 7.8 80.6 15.3 78.2 14.1 79.1 15.0	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 369. 405. NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 371. 407.
630 800 1000 1250	77.6 13.6 78.3 14.2 77.0 13.0 75.8 13.8	78.4 14.3 79.1 15.0 77.8 13.7 76.7 14.6	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = 93.5 EPNDB DELTA 1 (ARP866) = 1.9 EPNDB
1600 2000 2500 3150	76.7 19.1 74.9 19.2 72.0 18.1	77.7 20.4 76.0 20.9 73.5 20 70.3 17.3	DELTA 2 = -0.4 EPNDB DELTA S = -0.5 EPNDB DELTA FN/D = 0.5 EPNDB REF. EPNL EN/D = 95.0 EPNDB
4000 5000 6300 8000	65.3 12.2 70.8* 16.7 71.0 15.8 59.9 6.0	68.4 15.2 74.6* 21.7 76.5 23.1 67.8 10.3	
10000 PNI	52•4 2•9 98•2 PNDB	63.6 6.3 100.4 PNDB	
PNETM	99.7 PNDB	IOI.7 PNDB	

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

1 de la como		
		्य : च
		<b>-</b>
	TABLE C-6.2	-
	$\delta_{\rm F} = 35^{\circ}$ FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY	
	FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS	
	DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION	
	DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75 PAGE 1	-
	MDDEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638	
	DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST	
	FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS	
	ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES	
	TYPE OF FLYOVER LANDING APPROACH DATA CLASS H POWER	
	MEASUREMENT TYPE BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT Recording AT X = -6978.0, Y = 198.0, Z = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLD Reference recording location X = -6076.0, Y = -0, Z = -0 FEET	
	MEASUREMENT INFO AIRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA	
	MIC. NUMBER 6 FUSE. NO. 741 AVG. NIRT = 5210. RPM AMB. TEMP. = 56.0 F MIC. LOCATION 10 FLIGHT 20 AVG. EPR = 1.187 REL. HUM. = 46.2 PCT	
	MIC. ORIENT GRAZING RUN 43 A/P HEADING = 30. DEG ABS. HUM. = 5.3 GM/M3 TEST SITE YUMA HEIGHT = 368.5 FT FLAP POS. = 34.6 DEG WIND SPEED = 7. KN	
	TEST NUMBER JOB 511 SUNT-RNG. = 416.9 FT PITCH ANG. = $-2.3$ DEG WIND DIK. = 15. DEG TEST NUMBER JOB 511 SUNT-RNG. = 416.9 FT PITCH ANG. = $1.7$ DEG STA- PRESS = $30.07$ IN HG	
	JUB REEL AD309 PAIN SPD. = 100.0 KN GR. WEIGHT = 103000. LB RT. INCLA = .9991	
	TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10- 4-29.2	
	REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT	
	DESCRIPTION OF AUGUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING	
	CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL	
رب ب	AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS DATA TYPES 1/3 DCTAVE, DVERALL, A-WTD,	
29		

REPRESENTATION CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

TABLE C 3.2 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F = 35^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY ÷ PAGE = 2 FAR PART 36 CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS TEST DATE 2-01-75 MIC. LOCATION 10 REGISTRATION NO. MICROPHONE NO. N54638 MODEL DC-9-31 FLIGHT ND. 20 FUSELAGE ND. TEST RUN ND 741 -43 6 REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN 35 DEG APPROACH USING FINAL CORR CURVE SLOPE SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS. DCF= -5.9 DB EPNL= 95.7 SPNDB PNLTM= 101.6 PNDB SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS MEASURED SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS) ADJUSTED SPL NOISINESS NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS FREQUENCY REF. TEST THŽJ 50 (DB) (NOYS) WEATHER AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 2.7  $\frac{1}{2}$ 73.9 72.8 70.7 77.0 56.0 63 (PCT) 70.0 46.2 REL. HUM. 64.8 80 72.5 100 PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) AVE FN/D (LBS 80.2 10 146.9 150.8 84 15 160 O 3810.0 4603.6 (LBS) 83.3 16 200 15.0 9.6 250 FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 83.6\* 82.6\* 369. 417. 80.7 81.8 6.8 NDISE PATH DIST. (FT) 394. 445. 82.5 ۰0 500 .5.3 80.4 ίO CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = 0 DELTA 1 (ARP866) = 9.7 800 95.7 EPND8 2.1 EPND8 -0.5 EPND8 0.1 EPND8 1000 8.6 (.8 250 DELTA 2 DELTA S DELTA FN/D REF. EPNL FN/D 8.3 = 1600 = 2000 9.4 -0.6 EPNDB 96.7 EPNDB Ξ 8.2 .8 2500 Ξ 8 3150 5 4000 72\_4 5000 76.0 69.9 14. 6300 8.2 73.1 14 • 8 8000 64.5 <u>51.9</u> 64.Ō 6.5 10000 102.9 PNDB 103.7 PNDB PNL PNLTM 100.8 PNDB 101.6 PND8 \* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

ъ.

 $\circ 9$ 

330

### TABLE C-6.3

STATISTICS OF

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{\rm E}$  = 35°) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75 PAGE 1

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION --- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- LANDING APPROACHDATA CLASS -- H POWERMEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRTRECORDING AT X = -6978.0, Y = 198.0, Z = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLDREFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -6076.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO	AIRPLANE	AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 6	FUSE. ND. 741	AVG. N1RT = 4854. RPM	AMB. TEMP. = $56.1 \text{ F}$
MIC. LOCATION 10	FLIGHT 20	AVG. EPR = $1.149$	REL. HUM. $=$ 45.3 PCT
MIC. ORIENT GRAZING	RUN 44	A/P HEADING = 30. DEG	$ABS_HUM_{\bullet} = 5.2 GM/M3$
TEST SITE YUMA	HEIGHT = $363.6$ FT	FLAP PDS. = 34.7 DEG	WIND SPEED = 8. KN
TEST DATE 2-01-75	↓ LAT. DEV. = -195.5 FT	PATH ANG. = $-2.7$ DEG	WIND DIR. = $20.DEG$
TËST NUMBER JOB 511	SLNT.RNG. = 412.8 FT	PITCH ANG. = 2.8 DEG	$STA_{PRESS} = 30.07$ IN HG
JOB REEL A5359	PATH SPD. = 137.5 KN	GR. WEIGHT = 102000. LB	RT. THETA = .9988

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-13- 5.3 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 10-13- 7.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10-13- 5.4

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION _ GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP366(REV)
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	(DB REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WID, PNI, PNITE EPNI

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

DCF= -5.8 DB

MODEL DC-9-31 FLIGHT ND. 20

PNLTM= 99.9 PNDB

PNL PNLTM

332

TABLE C-6.3 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F$  = 35°) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

EPNL= 94.1 EPNDB

REGISTRATION NO. MICROPHONE NO.

FAR PART 36 CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS

741 44

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN 35 DEG APPROACH USING FINAL CORR CURVE SLOPE

SUMMARY	OF DEL1	TAI CALCULAT	IONS	
FREQUENCY (HZ) 50 63 80 100 125 160 200 250 315	MEASURI SPL N( (DB) 70.3 68.6 64.4 68.2 75.7 89.9 75.2 80.1	ED DISINESS (NOYS) 1.9 2.2 2.0 3.6 7.5 12.1 12.9 10.0 14.7	ADJUST SPL N (DB) 71.2 69.6 65.4 69.2 76.7 81.9 80.9 76.1 81.0	ED DISINESS (NDYS) 2.1 2.4 2.2 3.9 8.1 12.9 13.8 10.6 15.7
400 500 630 800 1000 1250 1600 2500 3150 4000 6300 8000	80.7 80.4 78.1 76.4 75.3 76.4 75.3 76.4 75.3 76.4 75.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.6 70.7	16.8 16.5 15.3 14.0 12.5 13.1 18.5 19.4 20.3 15.8 12.7 14.7 15.8 12.7 14.7 15.4 20.3 15.8 12.7 14.5 15.4 20.3 15.4 20.3 15.4 20.4 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5	81.7 81.43 80.04 77.67.43 77.67.43 77.67.42 77.67.42 77.67.42 71.93.96 70.3 70.3 70.3	18.64 1764.4 13764.4 12212.8 1693.6 1222.8 1693.6 1232.6 1232.6 1232.6 1232.6 1232.6 1232.6 1232.6 1232.6 1232.6 1232.6 1232.6 124.6

98.9 PNDB 101.1 PNDB 99.9 PNDB 102.0 PNDB

**\*** BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

N54638

6

PAGE =

TEST DATE 2-01-75 MIC. LOCATION 10

2

		REF.	TEST
AMB. TEMP. REL. HUM.	(DEG F) (PCT)	77.0	56•1 45•3
PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED AVE FN/D	(KN) (LBS)	146.9 3810.0	137.
FLIGHT PROFIL MINIMUM DI NOISE PATH	LE GEOMETRY STANCE (FT) DIST. (FT)	369. 413.	412. 462.
CALCULATED N MEASURED E DELTA 1 (A DELTA 2 DELTA S DELTA FN/D REF. EPNL	DISE LEVELS PNL = RP866) = = - = - = FN/D = 9	94.1 EPND 2.1 EPND -0.5 EPND -0.3 EPND 0.0 EPND 95.5 EPND	8 8 8 8 8 8 8

02

FUSELAGE ND. TEST RUN NO

#### TABLE C-6.4

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{\mu}$  = 35°) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75 PAGE 1

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. ND. N54638

DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

FAR PART 56 NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- P&WA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- LANDING APPPOACH DATA CLASS -- H POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -6978.0, Y = 198.0, Z = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLD REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -6076.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO C. NUMBER 6 C. LOCATION 10 C. DRIENT GRAZING AIRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA WEATHER\_DATA EMP. FUSE. NO. 741  $AVG_{\bullet}$  NIRT = 4851. RPM 5 1.149 FLIGHT 20 AVG. EPR REL 5 PCT = 30. DEG 34.7 DEG RUN A/P HEADING = 9 GM/M3 46 ABS HEIGHT = 377.8 FT LAT. DEV. = -202.7 FT FLAP POS. ΚN SITE YUMA = WIND DEG 2-01-75 PATH = -2 DEG WIND 018 20. DATE ANG. • 6 = 30.07 IN HG 428.7 FT 137.8 KN TËST NUMBER JOB 511 Job Reel A5359 SENT RNG. PATH SPD. DĒĞ LB = PITCH ANG. = 5 PRESS = 99600. THETA , 999c Ŧ GR. WEIGHT =

> AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-31-41.6 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 10-31-43.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10-31-41.7

> REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

#### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LOUTL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECUNDS	UB REL. D.DUUZ MICKUBARJ
AVERAGING TIME = 1.000 SECUNDS	DATA TIPES ITS UCTAVEL OVERACLE A-WIDE DNL DNLT E EDNI

ů u TABLE C-6.4 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{\rm F}$  = 35°) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

## FAR PART 36 CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS PAGE =

MODEL DC-9-31 FUSELAG	E ND. 741	REGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST DATE 2-01-75
FLIGHT ND. 20 TEST RI	IN ND 46	MICROPHONE NO.	6	MIC. LOCATION 10

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN 35 DEG APPROACH USING FINAL CORR CURVE SLOPE

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

PNLTM= 99.9 PNDB DCF= -5.7 DB EPNL= 94.2 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

334

FREQUENCY (HZ) 50 63 80 100 125 160 200 250 315 400 500 630 1000 1250 1600 2500 3150 4000 5000 6300 8000 10000	MEASURED SPL NOISINESS (DB) (NOYS) 70-2 1.9 66-0 1.7 61-9 1.7 68-7 3.8 75-8 7.5 80-0 11.3 80-5 13.5 72-8 8.4 80-6* 15.3 77-8 13.7 79.4 15.3 77.8 13.7 79.4 15.3 77.8 13.8 76.9 12.9 75.7 13.6 76.3 18.5 75.4 20.0 74.7 21.8 69.6 16.5 65.4 12.3 67.8 13.6 69.5 14.2 69.5 14.2 61.0 6.4 49.4 2.3	ADJUSTED SPLNOISINESS (NOYS) $71 \cdot 5$ $2 \cdot 1$ $67 \cdot 2$ $2 \cdot 0$ $63 \cdot 2$ $1 \cdot 8$ $70 \cdot 0$ $4 \cdot 2$ $77 \cdot 1$ $8 \cdot 4$ $81 \cdot 3$ $12 \cdot 3$ $81 \cdot 8$ $14 \cdot 7$ $74 \cdot 0$ $9 \cdot 2$ $81 \cdot 9 *$ $16 \cdot 8$ $79 \cdot 0$ $15 \cdot 0$ $80 \cdot 7$ $16 \cdot 8$ $80 \cdot 0$ $16 \cdot 0$ $79 \cdot 2$ $15 \cdot 1$ $77 \cdot 3$ $22 \cdot 8$ $77 \cdot 1$ $25 \cdot 6$ $72 \cdot 9$ $20 \cdot 7$ $69 \cdot 9$ $16 \cdot 8$ $73 \cdot 2$ $19 \cdot 7$ $77 \cdot 0$ $23 \cdot 8$ $71 \cdot 6$ $13 \cdot 4$ $64 \cdot 2$ $6 \cdot 5$
PNL	99-0 PNDB	101.7 PNDB
PNLTM	99-9 PNDB	102.6 PNDB

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

and and the set of the

### NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

	REF.	TEST
AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) REL. HUM. (PCT)	77.0 70.0	57.2 40.5
PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) AVE FN/D (LBS)	146.9 3810.0	137.8 3752.5
FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) NDISE PATH DIST. (FT)	369• 992•	428. 454.
CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = DELTA 1 (ARP866) = DELTA 2 = DELTA 5 = DELTA FN/D = REF. EPNL FN/D =	94.2 EPNDE 2.7 EPNDE -0.3 EPNDE 0.0 EPNDE 0.0 EPNDE 96.0 EPNDE	

#### TABLE C-6.5

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{e} = 35^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75

والارداب فلاذ أعربتني كارتفاقا المادين تعير عارطت وترجع فالمتنا فتقاف فتقاف فالمقا عشائله

PAGE 1

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. ND. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

#### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER --- LANDING APPROACH DATA CLASS --- H POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE --- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -6978.0, Y = 198.0, Z = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLD REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -6076.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO	AIRPL	ANE AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 6	FUSE. ND. 741	AVG. N1RT = 4836, RPM	AMB. TEMP. = 57.8 F
MIC. LOCATION 10	FLIGHT 20	AVG. EPR = 1.149	REL. HUM. = $40.7$ PCT
MIC. ORIENT GRAZING	RUN 48	A/P HEADING = 30, DEG	ABS. HUM. = 5.0 GM/M3
TEST SITE YUMA	HEIGHT = 356.4	FT FLAP POS. = 34.7 DEG	WIND SPEED = 7. KN
TEST DATE 2-01-75	LAT. DEV. = -195.7 (	ET PATH ANG. = $-2.7$ DEG	WIND DIR. = $360$ , DEG
TEST NUMBER JOB 511	$SLNT_RNG_{-} = 406.6$	FT PITCH ANG. = 3.8 DEG	$STA_{PRESS} = 30.07$ IN HG
JOB REEL A5359	PATH SPD. = 135.1	KN GR. WEIGHT = 97600. LB	RT. THETA = .9998

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-49- 9.6 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 10-49-11.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 10-49- 9.6 REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	(DB REL. 0.0002 NICROBAR) DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE. DVERALL, A-WTD, PNL PNL 5 EPNI
#### TABLE C-6.5 (CONTINUED)

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F = 35^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

#### FAR PART 36 CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS

2 PAGE

77.0

4 EPNDB 2.0 EPND8 ĒPNDB EPNDB FPND6

146.9 135.1 3810.0 3756.1

TEST

57.8

406. 435.

MODEL DC-9-31 FUSELAGE ND.	741	REGISTRATION NO.	N <b>546</b> 38	TEST DATE 2-01-75
FLIGHT NO. 20 TEST RUN ND	48	MICROPHONE NO.	6	MIC. LOCATION 10

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN 35 DEG APPRDACH USING FINAL CORR CURVE SLOPE

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

PNLTM= 100.2 PNDB DCF= -5.8 DB EPNL= 94.4 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

\_\_\_\_

336

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED	NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS
FREQUENCY (HZ)	SPL NUISINESS (DB) (NUYS)	(DB) (NOYS)	REF.
<u> </u>	70.5 1.9	71.3 2.1	WEATHER
63	68-8 2-3	69.6 2.5	AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) 77.0
.80	62.9 1.8	63.7 1.9	REL. HUM. (PCT) 70.0
195	90+1 3+5	58•2 3•2	
122			DATH COEED (KN) 146.0
200	80.7 13.7		AVE EN/0 (185) 3810.0
250	73.2 8.6	74.0 9.2	
315	80.8 15.5	81.6* 16.4	FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY
400	79.3 15.3	80.1 16.2	MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) 369.
500	79.8 15.7	80.6 16.6	NOISE PATH DIST. (FT) 396.
630	78.9 14.9	79.7 15.7	
800	<u>79.1 15.1</u>	79.9 15.9	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS
1000	10.0 12.1	11.4 13.4	MEASUKEU EPNL = 94.4 EPN DELTA I (ADDG66) = 3.0 EDNI
1220	13•3 13•9		DELIA 1 LAKYODD/ = 240 EFN DELIA 20 6 EDN
2000			
2500	74-6 21-7	76.4 24.6	DELTA EN/D = 0.0 EPN
3150	69.9 16.8	72.4 20.0	REF. EPNL EN/D = $95.6$ EPN
<b>40</b> 00	65.6 12.5	69.2 16.0	
5000	68.3 14.0	72.7 19.0	
6300	69.8* 14.5	76.2 22.5	
8000	61.8 6.8	71.0 12.8	
10000	50.6 2.5	63.6 6.2	
PNL	99.3 PNDB	101.4 PNDB	
PNLTM	100.2 PNDB	102.1 PNDB	

\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

## <u>Ö</u>2

#### TABLE C-6.6

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_e = 35^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

00

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75

PAGE 1

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- LANDING APPROACH DATA CLASS -- H POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -6978.0, Y = 198.0, Z = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLD REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -6076.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT, INFO AIRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA AVG. NIRT = 49 WEATHER DATA IC. NUMBER 6 FUSE. NO. 741 FLIGHT 20 4948. RPM ANB. TENP. = 58.4 F 20 ° AVG. EPR = 1.160 # 38.0 PCT REL. HUN. OR IENT GRAZING 30. DEG 34.1 DEG -3.1 DEG **4**9 A/P HEADING = 4.7 GM/M3 RUN ABS. HUMS **4** HEIGHT = 368.0 FT LAT. DEV. = -189.8 FT FLAP POS. = WIND SPEED = AMJY 4. KN SITE WIND DIR. = 350. DEG STA. PRESS = 30.07 IN HG PITCH ANG. = GR. HETCH TEST DATE 2-01-75 TEST NUMBER JOB 511 414.0 FT SLNT.RNG. = 1.8 DEG JOB REEL Ă5359 PATH SPD. = 138.8 KN GR. WEIGHT = 96300. LB RT. THETA = 1.0006

> AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 11- 0-26.2 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 11- 0-28.0 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 11- 0-26.2

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	BASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS	IDB REL. 0.0002 MICKUBAK)
AVERAGING TIME - 1.500 SECONDS	PNL. PNLT & EPNL

## TABLE C-6.6 (CONTINUED)

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F = 35^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

	FAR PART 36 C	CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS		PAGE =
MODEL DC-9-31	FUSELAGE NO.	741 REGISTRATION NO.	N54638	TEST DATE 2-01
FLIGHT ND, 20	Test run no	49 MICROPHONE NO.	6	MIC. LOCATION

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN 35 DEG APPROACH USING FINAL CORR CURVE SLOPE

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

PNLTM= 100.2 PNDB DCF= -5.3 DB EPNL= 94.9 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAI CALCULATIONS

	MEASURED	ADJUSTED		
FREQUENCY	SPL NOISINESS	SPL NOISINESS		
(HZ)	(DB) (NOYS)	(DB) (NOYS)		
50 63	71.5 2.1 71.8 3.0 73.7 4.5	72.5 2.3 72.8 3.3 74.7 4.9		
100	77.0 7.6 79.9 10.5	78.0 8.3 80.8 11.2		
160	82.7 13.7	83.7 14.6		
200	81.4 14.3	82.3 15.3		
250	74.2 9.3	75.2 10.0		
315	80.4 15.1	81.4 16.2		
400	78.5 14.4	70.4 15.4		
500	80.1 16.1	81.1 17.2		
630	78.8 14.7	79.7 15.7		
800	77.9 13.9	78.9 14.9		
1000	77.1 13.1	78.2 14.1		
1250	75.1 13.1 76.4 18.6 75.2 10.6	76.3 14.2 77.7 20.4 76.8 23.0		
2500	74.1 20.9	76.2 24.3		
3150	70.0 16.9	72.9 20.7		
4000	65.5 12.4 67.3 13.1	69.7 16.6 72.3 18.5		
6300	69.1* 13.9	76.3* 22.7		
8000	60.9 6.4	71.1 12.9		
	47+1 2+2 90 4 DNDB	03+4 0+2 101.7 DNDR		
DNI TM	100.2 PNDB	102-4 PND8		

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

#### NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

2

-75

115 A THES	REF.	TEST
AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) Rel. HUM. (PCT)	77.0	58.4 38.0
PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) AVE FN/D (LBS)	146.9 3810-0	138.8 3994.2
FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) NOISE PATH DIST. (FT)	369. 389.	414. 436.
CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = DELTA 1 (ARP866) = DELTA 2 = DELTA S = DELTA FN/D = REF. EPNL FN/D =	94.9 EPNDE 2.2 EPNDE -0.5 EPNDE -0.2 EPNDE -0.1 EPNDE 96.2 EPNDE	

#### TABLE C-6.7

DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F = 35^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-17-75 DATA PROCESSED 07/01/75

والمراجعة فيكرو والمناتية المنافية والمنافية المنافية والمتلك والمراجع المتأوية والمناف

ů,

PAGE 1

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

#### FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- P&WA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- LANDING APPROACH DATA CLASS -- H POWER MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECURDING AT X = -6978.0, Y = 198.0, Z = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLD REFERENCE RECURDING LOCATION X = -6076.0, Y = .0, Z = .0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO		AIRPLANE	AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 6	FUSE. NO.	741	AVG. NIRT = 4982. RPM	AMB. TEMP. = 58.2 F
MIC. LOCATION 10	FLIGHT	20	$AVG \cdot EPR = 1.161$	$REL \cdot HUM \cdot = 37 \cdot 8 PCT$
MIC. ORIENT GRAZING	RUN	50	A/P HEADING = 30. DEG	ABS. HUM. = 4.7 GM/M3
TEST DATE 2-01-75	HEIGHI LAT DEV	= 307+4 F1 = 193 4 ET	$PLAP PUS_{\bullet} = 33 \bullet f DEG$	WIND SPEED = 2.0 KN HIND DIP - 240 DEC
TEST NUMBER JOB 511	SINT BNG	= -103.4  FT	$PITCH ANG_{*} = -2.4 \text{ DEG}$	STA. PRESS = $30.07$ IN HG
JOB REEL A5359	PATH SPD.	= 142.5 KN	GR. WEIGHT = 95100. LB	RT. THETA = 1.0006

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 11-11- 5.3 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 11-11- 6.5 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 11-11- 5.3

REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION _ GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START Sample Interval for Basic Data = .500 seconds	BASIC UNIT SDUND PRESSURE LEVEL (DB REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD, PNL, PNLT & EPNL

TABLE C-6.7 (CONTINUED) DC-9 REFAN LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F = 35^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE TEST CONDITION SUMMARY

### FAR PART 36 CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS

FLIGHT NO. 20 TEST RUN NO 50 MICROPHONE NO. 6 MIC. LOCA
---

REFERENCE CONDITIONS-DC-9 REFAN 35 DEG APPROACH USING FINAL CORR CURVE SLOPE

SUMMARY OF MEASURED NOISE LEVELS.

340

PNLTM= 99.6 PNDB DCF= -5.6 DB EPNL= 94.0 EPNDB

SUMMARY OF DELTAL CALCULATIONS

FREQUENCY	MEASURED SPL NOISINESS	ADJUSTED SPL NOISINESS
(HZ) 50	(DB) (NOYS) 78-1 4-1	(DB) (NOYS) 79.4 4.7
63 80	76-9 4-8 74-8 4-9	78-2 5-5 76-1 5-5
100	74-8 6-4	
160	19.7 11.1	<u>81.0 12.1</u>
250	71-2 7-5	72.5 8.2
400		
500 630	80.0* 16.0 77.3 13.3	81.3* 17.5 78.6 14.5
800 1000	76-8 12-8 76-1 12-2	78.1 14.1 77.5 13.4
1250	75.0 13.0	76.5 14.4
2000	74.7 19.0	76.7 21.9
3150	69-1 15-9	72.4 20.0
5000	65.4 11.5	
6300 8000	66.9 11.9 58.7 5.5	74.4 20.0 69.4 11.5
10000	47.6 1.9	62.4 5.8
PNL PNLTM	98.4 PNDB 99.6 PNDB	101.1 PNDB 102.3 PNDB

#### **\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION**

#### NOISE ADJUSTMENT PARAMETERS

PAGE =

UCATUED	REF.	TEST
AMB. TEMP. (DEG F) REL. HUM. (PCT)	77.0 70.0	58.2 37.8
PREFORMANCE PATH SPEED (KN) AVE FN/D (LBS)	146.9 3810.0	142.5 4038.0
FLIGHT PROFILE GEOMETRY MINIMUM DISTANCE (FT) NOISE PATH DIST. (FT)	369. 369.	428. 428.
CALCULATED NOISE LEVELS MEASURED EPNL = DELTA 1 (ARP866) = DELTA 2 = DELTA 5 = DELTA FN/D = REF. EPNL FN/D =	94.0 EPN08 2.7 EPN08 -0.6 EPN08 -0.1 EPN08 -0.2 EPN08 95.7 EPN08	

i	· · · )	
OF	TABLE C-7.1 TYPICAL SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE DATA	
PO	FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS	
She was	CATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION	
QUAL	EATA DIGITIZED 2-1-15 DATA PROCESSED 04/15/75 PAGE 1	
	MUCEL DC-9-31 REG. NC. N54638	
· ·	DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST	
	MEASURED NOISE LEVELS	-
	NGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES	
	TYPE OF FLYGVER SIMULATED T.C. CLIMB EATA CLASS FN/DLT = 9500 LBS MEASUREMENT TYPE25 NMI SIDELINE, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECERDING AT X = 53E.C, Y =-1461.0, Z = 4.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY	
MEA MIC. MIC. MICST TEST JOB R	IREMENT INFOAIRPLANEAND ENGINE CATAWEATHER DATAIMBER9FLSE.NO.741AVG.NIRT =7463.RPMAMB.TEMP. = $52.5$ FICATION 16FLIGHT16AVG.EPR $1.726$ REL.HUM. = $35.1$ PCTIENT GRAZINGRUN16A/P HEADING = $210.$ DEGABS.HUM. = $3.6$ GM/M3ITEYUMAFEIGHT958.4 FTFLAP PCS. $\pm$ UP $2.1$ DEGNIND SPEED = $4.$ KNITE1-29-75LAT. DEV. =1498.5 FTPATH ANG. = $10.5$ DEGNIND DIR. = $260.$ DEGIMBER JOB 511SLNT.RNG. =1778.7 FTPITCH ANG. $\mp$ $15.0$ DEGSTA. PRESS = $29.81$ IN FGILA5282PATH SPD. =175.0 KNGR. WEIGHT = $99900.$ LBRT. THETA = $.9956$	
	AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-42-22.8 Other performance data is for time of PNLTM OF 12-42-26.5 Time of Aircraft at Minimum distance from Microphene Location 10-42-22.1	
	DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL CATA PROCESSING	
ANALY CISA SAMPL Avfra	ER TYPE / RESCLUTION GRI921(CISA) / 0.25 CB DE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START INTERVAL FOR BASIC CATA = .500 SECONDS ING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	

342

. . . .

### TABLE C-7.1 (CONTINUED) TYPICAL SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

MEASURED SPL H	HISTORY D 42 14	/ 9.00C	MCCEL Rag.	CC-9-31 N54638	. FL1 Run	16 16	Ņ	VIC 9 LCC 16	(1)	EST D	TE 1-	-29-75	PAGE	2
1/3 C.P.	AMB	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4•0	4.5	5.0	5.5	6+0
64 (HZ) 50 63 80	SPL 52.4 57.1 50.9	65.2 67.1 67.7	65.2 68.2 68.5	65.1 69.J 68.8	67.7 68.7 69.5	68.9 68.8 70.0	69.5 70.1 71.0	65.4 70.4 72.0	69.9 71.5 73.1	70.5 71.1 73.9	7C.7 71.6 73.C	7C.8 71.3 72.6	72.0 72.1 72.0	72•5 72•5 73•5
(2) 1 <u>CO</u> 1 25 1 60	49.3 49.0 47.5	68.4 69.9 69.9	69.4 71.0 71.1	7C.1 7C.7 70.9	70.9 71.1 69.9	71.9 71.0 69.2	71.7 70.1 68.6	71.6 65.7 67.5	71.2 69.2 65.2	71-1 69-3 65-7	70.2 68.0 67.3	69.8 66.3 69.3	69.2 64.4 71.7	71•7 64•9 73•7
200 250 315	46•2 44•4 42•3	66.6 64.9 60.7	67.1 64.7 61.2	66.1 61.9 63.2	64.0 61.2 68.6	62.5 65.2 72.6	63.9 70.5 75.6	66.6 73.6 77.4	71.1 77.4 79.5	73.5 79.1 80.2	75.6 8C.7 81.0	77.5 8C.8 81.1	79.4 82.1 81.7	81.2 82.8 82.8
400 600 630	39.8 37.2 35.5	£3.3 66.4 68.3	68.5 7).3 71.2	71.6 73.0 71.8	73.5 74.0 71.4	76.7* 74.1 69.6	78.6 73.1 70.5	75.4* 72.5 74.1	79.C 72.4 77.2*	77.8 74.3 78.2*	77.0 75.8 78.1*	75.7 78.0 77.2	74.9 79.8* 76.7	75.2 82.0* 77.3
ECO 1000 1250	35.0 34.6 30.9	67.8 61.9 58.1	68.1 64.8 61.1	66.3 66.8 62.3	66•6 67•9* 62•6	11.7 69.0 66.2	73.3* 69.4 67.4	74.2 76.5 68.0	73.5 71.9 68.0	72.9 72.0 68.5	72.9 71.9 69.1	74.2 71.4 69.1	76.0 73.0 70.4	77.5 74.2 71.4
16C0 2C00 25C0	26.8 25.7 22.7	53.2 45.9 36.9	55.6 49.2 40.8	56.9 50.3 42.1	57.5 51.3 43.6	60.1 53.8 46.1	62.7 56.0 48.2	63.6 57.3 49.2	64.5 58.8 51.0	64.7 59.8 52.2	65.3 60.1 52.6	66.0 60.5 53.0	66.8 61.5 53.8	67.5 62.1 54.3
3150 4000 5000	21.5 19.9 20.0		20.6	28.4	30.6	34•2	36•5 23•8	38.1 25.5	41.7 20.6	42.8 30.9	43•3 32•0	43.3 33.4	44.0 34.3	45.0 34.9
(3) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	19.3 19.7 21.2										-			
$(4) \frac{1}{(5)} \xrightarrow{\Delta - h}_{FNL} FNL (6) \frac{1}{ACC} FNC -$	60.6 45.5 44.2 44.2 (7)	78.6 72.2 81.1 81.1 25222 2100	£0.2 74.7 £3.5 2358 2026	8C•9 75•7 84•6 84•6 2281 1959	81.6 76.5 85.5 86.6 2176 1901	22.9 78.2 27.6 28.2 2081 1854	84 • 1 79 • 4 89 • 2 90 • 3 1998 1817	85.1 80.6 90.2 90.9 1528 1790	86.2 81.6 90.8 92.2 1871 1774	86.9 82.0 91.2 92.8 1827 1770	87.4 82.4 91.8 93.0 1795 1779	87.6 82.5 92.0 92.0 1776 1795	88.£ 83.5 92.8 94.2 1770 1829	89.9 84.8 94.1 96.0 1776 1871

#### TABLE C-7.1 (CONTINUED) TYPICAL SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

MEASUPEC SPL START TIME	HISTOR 10 42 19	9.00C	NEDEL REG.	CC-9-31	FL1 RUI	<b>16</b> 16		MIC S LOC 16		TEST C	ATE	1-29-75	PAG	E 3
1/3,2,8.	6.5	7.0	7.5	٥.3	8.5	9.0	9.5	16.6	10.5	11.0	11.5	12-0	12.5	13.0
6MF (F27 50 63 80	72.9 73.5 73.1	71.6 75.7 74.6	73.4 76.9 75.4	73.9 77.7 75.6	75.8 77.7 74.7	75.6 78.5 73.4	76•1 79•0 75•5	75.5 75.0 77.2	79.C 80.C 79.7	80.6 81.4 82.2	81.6 81.7 82.9	80-4 82-3 83-2	81.4 82.0 82.2	81.1 83.0 81.9
100 125 160	71.4 65.4 75.6	71.2 66.5 76.5	69.5 66.4 78.2	7C.1 66.2 78.2	72.0 66.7 78.8	73.0 67.2 77.7	73.8 68.4 77.4	73.9 67.8 76.9	74.9 68.3 76.5	76.3 69.6 76.5	77.3 69.9 74.8	77.8 70.9 73.6	78.3 70.9 71.6	78.2 72.0 70.9
200 200 215	82.0 84.1 83.9	82.6 84.2 84.4	83.0 85.2 84.9	83•4 65•8 85•2	83.4 86.1 85.6	83.4 85.8 85.4	83-4 85-0 34-5	82•9 84•7 82•8	82.7 84.1 81.8	ບໍ2•5 84•5 81•8	81.8 83.6 81.9	8C.8 83.6 81.5	79.5 82.2 81.9	79.0 82.2 82.1
400 500 630	76.0 83.7* 77.2	77-4 84-5* 77-3	78-4 85-2* 77-3	78.4 * 84.8* 77.3	78•3 84•9* 78•5	77.3 83.6* 78.0	76.9 82.6* 77.9	75.3 * 80.3* 75.6	74.3 79.0 75.4	74.9 * 78.9* 76.1	75.0 78.2 76.4	75.8 78.2 76.5	74.8 75.8 74.3	74.8 74.8 72.9
1 C C O 1 C C O 1 Z S O	78.3 74.9 71.9	78.4 74.3 71.1	78.9 74.3 70.7	78.3 73.7 65.9	78.7 74.1 70.1	77.9 73.4 69.0	77.3 72.6 63.0	75.6 70.6 65.9	74.9 69.5 65.3	74.4 59.3 64.7	73.7 65.0 64.2	72.4 67.3 63.0	70.5 65.2 61.0	69.1 63.7 59.6
1600 2000 2500	66.9 61.9 53.6	65.8 60.4 52.2	65.2 59.3 51.0	64.7 58.6 50.2	64.6 58.2 49.3	63.4 56.9 48.5	62.7 56.4 47.5	61.C 54.6 44.8	59•7 52•9 42•8	59.1 51.8 41.5	58.6 50.7 40.4	56-8 49-6 39-2	54.5 45.7 36.0	52.1 43.5 33.6
3150 4000 5000	44.2 34.2	43.1 32.8	41.2 31.1	40.6 29.3	39.6 28.2	37.9 26.8	35.8 24.4	32.8	36.3	28.8	27.0	)		
63CC 8CCO 1CCCO										•				
GVERALL A-WTD FNL FNLT ACT RNG	90.9 85.8 95.2 97.5	91.4 86.1 95.6 98.0	52.1 86.6 56.1 58.5 1856	92.2 86.5 95.9 98.2 1500	52.5 86.8 96.1 98.2 1951	\$2.0 86.1 55.4 57.4 2009	91.6 85.4 94.9 96.6 2074	96.7 83.8 95.4 2142	90.6 32.9 93.4 94.8 2216	91.2 83.0 93.7 94.9 2294	91.1 82.6 93.2 93.2	91.0 82.4 93.0 93.0 2461	90-4 81-1 91-5 91-5 2548	90.3 80.6 91.7 91.7 2637
CPT RNG	1923	1984	2054	2132	2216	2307	2403	2505	2610	2720	2834	e 295C	3069	3191

المحاجب وأوقاه والعاجف وأجار المحر وبألتك ومراققه والمقطور والمتعارف

### TABLE C-7.1 (CONTINUED) TYPICAL SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

1

ME SŤ	ASUPEC SPL ART TIME	. HISTORY 10 42 19		MCDEL REG.	CC-9-31 N54638	L FLT Rui	T 16 N 16		MIC 9 LCC 16		TEST D	ATE 1.	-29-75	PAGE	4
	1/2,6.8.	12.5	14.C	14.5	15.0	15.5	16.0	16.5	17.0	17.5	18.0	18.5	19.C	19.5	20.0
	50 63 80	81.6 82.0 81.7	81.2 82.1 81.7	E1.7 81.0 81.8	81.3 81.7 82.2	81.2 81.7 81.8	81.5 81.7 81.6	82.3 81.9 80.7	81.6 81.8 8C.C	81.8 81.8 78.8	82.0 61.2 77.9	81.6 80.8 78.3	80•3 80•3 77•6	79.7 79.9 77.1	80.1 79.1 77.6
	100 125 160	78.2 71.3 70.1	77.5 71.5 68.4	78.4 71.6 67.4	78.6 72.1 66.7	78-8 73-3 66-7	78.0 73.7 66.3	77.5 74.0 65.7	76.7 72.8 55.4	75.5 71.8 64.8	74.4 70.3 64.3	75.5 71.5 64.6	75.9 71.3 64.9	77.2 71.3 64.6	76.9 7C.3 63.6
	200 250 315	77.7 80.1 81.6	75.5 79.5 80.2	75.3 79.4 E0.1	74.3 75.4 80.8	74.3 79.0 81.5	14.1 78.8 62.3	74.2 78.9 82.1	78.2 81.5	70.9 76.4 79.5	67.5 73.3 77.1*	65.0 72.7 77.6*	65.1 73.1 78.6*	64•4 72•2 78•5*	63.6 71.8 78.1*
	400 500 630	74.9 71.4 71.9*	75.3 76.7 71.5	76.3 71.2 72.3	77.2 65.5 70.9*	78•1 70•0 72•2*	78.5 69.4 72.0*	79.0 68.9 71.3*	78.C 68.C 69.6*	75.8 65.8 66.2	72.1 63.4 64.0	73.3 64.2 63.5	74.7 64.6 63.8	75.1 64.9 62.8	75.0 64.6 61.7
	ECC 10C0 1250	65.1 61.8 55.8	63.7 63.7 54.7	64.0 61.5 54.5	63.5 60.9 52.5	65.1 62.1 53.4	65.5 61.4 52.6	65.4 60.3 51.6	64.2 57.8 45.1	61.6 54.1 47.C	58.7 51.7 43.9	58.4 50.6 43.3	58.8 50.6 43.5	58-4 48-9 42-6	57.9 48.1 42.4
	1600 2000 2500	47.9 39.2 28.2	45.ê 37.1	45•4 36•4	43.6 33.6	44.3 34.1	43.3 32.1	42.6 30.8	40.3	38-4	34.6	34.2	33.8	32•1	30- 8
	3150 4000 5000														
	63C0 8CC0 1CCC0														
	OVERALL A-NTC FNL FNLT ACC BNG	89.6 79.3 90.7 91.9 2729	89.1 79.5 89.6 91.1 2823	89.1 78.8 89.7 51.2 2919	89.3 78.9 85.9 91.3 3016	89.4 79.5 90.4 92.0 3115	89.6 79.9 90.7 92.2 16	89.5 79.7 90.5 91.9 3317	88.5 78.5 85.7 90.8 3420	88.0 76.7 87.7 88.3 3523	86.5 74.0 85.5 86.2 3628	87.0 74.4 85.7 86.6 3733	86.6 75.3 86.2 87.1 3839	86.4 75.3 86.0 86.9 3945	86-3 75-0 85-6 86-5 4052
	GPT BAC	3315	- 3440	3368	3658	3828	3700	4093	4221	4303	4477	4030	4113	7711	2042

وحذ فالمغنه

## <u>9</u>9

### TABLE C-7.1 (CONTINUED) TYPICAL SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

1

MEASUREC SPL	HISTGRY	MCCEL CC-9-31	FLT 16	#IC 9
START TIME	10 42 19.000	REG. N5463 4	RUN 16	LCC 16
1/3 ( B.	20.5 21.0	21.5 22.0	22.5 23.0 2 79.1 79.2 7	3.5 24.C 9.0 77.9
50 63 60	8C.3 80.4 78.4 78.6	EC-2 78-9 78-2 78-8	78.8 79.7 7 78.8 78.1 7	9.9 78.9 8.0 77.4
1CC	76.6 75.1	74.5 74.2	74.3 74.4 7	5.4 75.3
125	70.6 70.8	69.8 69.0	68.2 69.8 7	0.5 70.5
160	64.1 64.1	64.7 64.3	64.4 63.6 6	2.8 62.2
2C0	63.6 64.4	65.7 65.6	64.8 62.5 6	1.1 55.1
250	71.1 72.1	72.3 71.1	69.7 63.6 6	8.1 66.2
315	78.3 79.5	79.2 77.9	75.7 75.0 7	4.8 72.9
4C0	76.7 78.1	78.4 77.7	76.1 75.7* 7	4.8* 73.3
5C0	66.4 67.7	69.4 65.3	68.5 66.7 6	5.8 64.6
€30	61.4 61.6	62.3 61.0	59.4 56.5 5	5.3 53.0
1000	59.6* 60.8 <sup>4</sup>	* 61.6* 6C.1*	57.8* 54.1 5	3.2 51.9*
1000	47.9 48.0	48.1 46.5	44.9 42.8 4	2.6 41.5
1250	43.0 43.6	43.5 41.5	38.2 36.3 3	7.7 36.8
16C0 2CC0 25CC	30.2			
315C 4CCC 5CCC				
62CC 8CCO 1CCCO				
OVERALL	86.7 86.9	86.6 86.1	E5.7 E5.6 E	5.6 E4.6
Å-NTC	75.8 77.0	77.1 76.3	74.6 73.9 7	3.3 71.7
FNL	86.0 86.5	87.0 86.3	E5.0 E4.3 E	13.7 E2.3
FNLT	87.1 -88.2	88.4 87.7	86.2 E5.1 E	14.4 E2.4
ACC PNG	416C 4265	4378 4487	4596 4101 4	016 6154
CPT RNG	5188 5327	5466 5604	5742 5879 6	

TEST DATE 1-29-75

PAGE 5

#### TABLE C-7.1 (CONCLUDED) TYPICAL SIDELINE FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

#### DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-35

.

22

#### DATA PROCESSED 04/15/75

NCCEL DC-9-31 REG. NC. N54638 FLIGHT 16 RUN 16 MIC 9 LOC 16 TEST CATE 1-29-75 HIGHLIGHTS CF MEASURED FLYOVER NCISE LEVELS FOR SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB PATH SPEED 175.0 KN, SLANT RANGE 1770.1 FT. FUR TIME AT MIC 10 42 22.8 AVERAGE THRUST 12855.4 LBS

FREGLENCY (HZ)	SPL'S FOR PALM (TIME 10 42 26.5) SPL ADISIAESS (CB) (NGYS)	SPLIS FOR PNLTM (TIME 10 42 26.5) SPL NGISINESS (DB) (NCYS)	MAX SPL'S 1/3 0.8.	MAX 1/1 FCR CC SPL N	LO.B. SPL'S CMPOSITE PNL VOISINESS
50 63 80	73.4 2.6 76.9 4.5 75.4 5.2	73•4 2•6 76•5 4•9 75•4 5•2	82.2 83.0 83.2	86.9	12.0
10C 125 16C	65.5 4.1 66.4 3.5 78.2 10.0	69.5 4.1 66.4 3.5 78.2 10.0	78.8 74.C 78.8	80.1	10.6
200 250 315	33.0 16.0 85.2 20.0 84.9 20.7	83.0 16.0 85.2 20.0 34.9 20.7	83.4 86.1 85.6	90.0	27.8
40C 50C 63C	78.4 14.3 85.2 22.9 77.3 13.3	78.4 14.3 85.2* 22.9 77.3 13.3	79.4 85.2 78.5	86.5	25-2
800 1000 1250	78.9 14.E 74.3 10.8 70.7 9.7	78•9 14•8 74•3 10•8 76•7 9•7	78.9 74.9 71.9	80.6	16.7
1600 2000 2500	65.2 8.6 59.8 6.8 51.0 4.3	65•2 8•6 55•8 6•8 51•C 4•3	67.5 62.1 54.3	68.8	12.6
4CCC 5CQC	41•2 2•3 31•1 1•2	41.2 2.3 31.1 1.2	45.C 34.9	45.4	3.1

**\*** BAND PRODUCING TENE CORRECTION

	PNLC =	97.0	PNDB
CURATION FACTOR = -2.2 CB	PNLM =	86.8 56.1	DBA PNDB
INTEGRATION TIME = 14.0 SECONDS (FAR PART 36 TO 1.0 SECOND) MEASURED EFFECTIVE PERCEIVED NOISE LEVEL, EPNL = 96.3 EPNDP	PNLTM =	\$8.5	PNDB

 $\circ$ 

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

02

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75

٠

PAGE 1

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

DATA PROCESSED 04/10/75

DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

MEASURED NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- TAKEOFF CORR FLYOVER DATA CLASS -- POWER MEA SUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z =-81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY

MEASUREMENT INFO		AIRPLANE	AND ENGINE DATA	WEATHER DATA
MIC. NUMBER 1	FUSE. NO. 74	41	AVG. NIRT = 7598. RPM	AMB. TEMP. = 50.4 F
MIC. LOCATION C6	FLIGHT 16	5	AVG. EPR = 1.755	REL. HUM. = 34.5 PCT
MIC. DRIENT GRAZING	RÚN ÍÓ	)	A/P HEADING = 210. DEG	ABS. HUM. = 3.3 GM/M3
TÊST SITE YUMA	HEIGHT =	2428.8 FT	FLAP POS. = UP $2.1$ DEG	WIND SPEED = 2. KN
TEST DATE 1-29-75	LAT. DEV. =	-82.9 FT	$PATH ANG_{\bullet} = 9.1 DEG$	WIND DIR. = $335$ . DEG
TEST NUMBER JOB 511	SLNT.RNG. =	2430.2 FT	PITCH ANG. = $18.9$ DEG	STA. PRESS = 29.81 IN HO
JOB REEL A5282	PATH SPD. =	178.3 KN	GR. WEIGHT = 106400. LB	RT. THETA = .9902

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 9-48-34.2 OTHER PERFORMANCE DATA IS FOR TIME OF PNLTM OF 9-48-42.0 TIME OF AIRCRAFT AT MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM MICROPHONE LOCATION 9-48-32.9

DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION _ GR1921(CISA) / 0+25 DB		
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTU-START	BASIC UNIT	SUUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECUNDS		(DB REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	DATA TYPES	1/3 UCTAVE, UVERALL, A-WIU,

NER ER STE BELLEN BERKELLEN BELLEN BERKELLEN BERKELLEN BELLEN BERKELLEN BERKELLEN BERKELLEN BERKELLEN BERKELLEN

MEASURED SPL START TIME	HI STOR 9 48 2	Y 7.500	REG.	DC-9-31 N54638	L FL RU	T 16 N 10		MIC 1 LOC C6		TEST D	ATE	1-29-75	PAG	E 2
1/3 0-B-	AMB	0 <b>.</b> 0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.5	6.0
50 63 80	51.6 52.9 55.3	58.6 61.5 65.8	59.0 63.0 65.5	60.0 64.6 64.5	62.8 64.8 61.9	64.4 65.2 59.5	65.1 64.8	66.8 65.0	67.5 63.5 62.1	68.4 61.6 63.9	67.9 60.3 65.8	67.6 64.8 67.1	65.9 68.1 67.3	65.5 69.0 67.0
100 125 160	56.1 53.7 52.5	66.2 62.1 61.9	63.6 63.8 64.3	61.7 63.9 65.4	61.6 63.9 65.6	61.3 <sup>.</sup> 62.7 66.7	63.0 62.9 69.1	64.7 64.7 71.3	65.3 65.7 72.9	65.0 69.0 74.2	64.9 73.3 75.6	66.2 * 76.0* 76.6	67.1 76.2 77.6	70.0 76.9 78.2
200 250 315	53.6 43.7 43.1	66.8 71.8 70.4	68.2 71.8 69.6	69.2 71.8 68.6	69.7 70.9 67.3	71.4 71.6 66.9	72.6 72.4 66.6	74-6 73-3 67-2	75.5 73.5 68.2	76.4 73.3 70.9	76.7 72.0 72.9	76.8 71.1 75.5	77.2 70.6 76.9*	76.7 71.6 77.8
400 500 630	42.6 40.8 35.7	64.5 66.4 65.1	64.1 67.2 64.0	65.4 * 68.1* 63.5	67.6 68.8 64.2	70.1 70.3 66.5	72.6 70.5 68.4	74.4 70.1 69.9	75.0° 69.5 70.5	* 75.7* 69.9 70.5	75.1 71.6 70.4	75.1 73.1 71.2	74.0 73.7 71.8	74.4 74.4 72.8
800 1000 1250	35.4 39.3 35.4	62.4 57.2 51.6	62.9 56.9 52.0	63.4 57.3 51.9	64.3 59.2 53.0	65.2 60.7 54.6	66.1 62.0 56.2	67.0 62.2 57.1	67.7 63.1 58.0	68.8 64.0 59.6	69•1 64•9 60•1	70.0 65.6 61.0	70.1 66.1 60.7	70.5 66.9 61.8
1600 2000 2500	31.4 30.2 27.9	43.7	44.7 33.8	44.9 34.7	46.3 36.4	48.0 38.0	49.4 39.2	50.0 40.4	50.2 41.1	51.9 42.3	52.9 43.3 31.4	54.8 45.1 33.4	55.0 46.4 35.1	55.9 48.9 38.2
3150 4000 5000	33.0 32.8 27.4											·		
6300 8000 10000	21.3 20.6 21.8													
DVERALL A-WTD PNL PNLT ACD RNG	62.6 49.4 57.2 58.5	77.6 70.9 79.9 80.4 3712	77.6 70.9 80.2 81.2 3577	77.8 71.1 80.3 81.5 3447 2862	77.9 71.6 80.2 80.2 3321	78.9 73.1 81.6 81.6 3200	80.1 74.3 83.1 83.1 3086	81.4 75.3 84.6 84.6 2978 2588	82.1 75.8 85.3 86.3 2878 2537	82.9 76.5 86.2 87.0 2786 2493	83.5 76.9 86.4 86.9 2704	84.5 77.8 87.1 87.9 2631 2432	85.0 78.1 87.6 88.3 2569 2413	85.5 78.8 88.4 89.2 2516 2404

MEASUPED SPL START TIME	HISTOR 9 48 2	<b>7.</b> 500	REG.	DC-9-31 N54638	L FL RU	T 16 N 10		MIC 1 LOC C6		TEST D	ATE 1	- 29- 75	PAG	E 3
1/3 0.8. GME(H7)	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5	9.0	9.5	10.0	10.5	11.0	11.5	12.0	12.5	13.0
50	65•4	68.3	70.5	72•4	73.2	72.3	71.9	71.7	73.3	73.0	73.5	74.1	76.1	<b>76.6</b>
63	69•2	68.7	68.1	67•7	66.8	67.1	68.0	70.2	71.6	71.7	71.9	73.2	75.7	76.0
80	64•6	65.6	66.5	68•2	69.4	69.6	70.8	71.3	72.3	72.1	74.6	74.9	75.7	74.4
100	73.1	74.2	75.2	74.7	76.1	75.9	77.0	78.1	79.1	80.2	80.1	80.1	78.8	79.7
125	76.2	77.2	77.3	79.5	79.5	80.7*	80.8	82.4*	82.5	82.5	82.6	83.5	84.4	84.8
160	78.3	78.8	78.5	78.4	78.0	78.3	79.3	79.9	80.9	81.1	81.7	82.2	55.4*	86 <b>.4</b> *
200	76.7	75.5	75.2	74.1	74.2	74.1	74.4	76.2	76.4	77.2	77.1	77.8	78.9	80.8
250	72.7	74.4	75.4	76.8	77.6	78.7	79.2	80.6	81.9	82.4	83.0	82.7	82.8	82.2
315	78.5*	80.0	80.81	81.0*	80.8	80.5	81.1	81.9	83.3	83.7	84.3	84.1	84.1	84.1
400	74.7	76.0	76.8	77.9	78.5	79.2	80.0	80.5	80.8	80.8	81.1	81.4	81.4	80.8
500	75.1	75.9	76.1	76.9	77.4	17.4	77.8	79.0	80.0	80.1	79.6	79.4	79.2	79.3
630	74.3	75.5	76.4	76.7	76.9	76.8	77.5	77.8	78.1	78.1	78.2	78.3	77.9	77.4
800	71.5	72.0	73.6	74.6	75.4	75.5	75.3	75.2	74.7	74.7	75.0	75.3	75.2	74.6
1000	68.2	68.9	69.8	70.1	70.5	70.4	70.3	70.0	69.5	69.3	69.2	69.3	70.1	70.6
1250	62.6	63.6	64.7	65.8	66.7	66.9	66.9	66.5	65.9	65.1	64.4	64.0	63.9	63.8
1600	57.2	58.6	59.5	60.1	61.2	61.6	61.6	60.7	59.5	58.6	57.8	57.9	56.9	56.1
2000	51.0	51.9	52.5	53.3	53.9	53.9	52.5	51.4	50.2	50.0	49.5	49.1	48.5	48.2
2500	40.0	41.2	41.9	42.5	42.9	42.3	41.3	40.7	40.6	40.3	39.2	38.2	36.2	35.3
3150 4000 5000														
6300 8000 10000														
OVERALL A-WTD PNL PNLT ACO RNG OPT RNG	85.9 79.6 89.1 89.9 2474 2404	86.8 80.5 90.3 91.1 2442 2413	87.3 81.3 91.0 91.8 2419 2431	87.9 82.0 91.5 92.0 2406 2457	88.2 82.3 91.7 91.7 2403 2492	88.5 82.5 91.7 92.3 2409 2534	89.0 82.9 92.3 92.3 2423 2585	90.0 83.5 92.9 93.5 2445 2643	90.7 84.0 93.7 93.7 2475 2708	91.0 84.2 94.0 9511 2781	91.3 84.3 94.4 94.4 2553 2859	91.5 84.4 94.4 2601 2943	92.2 84.5 94.6 95.2 2655 3033	92.5 84.4 94.7 95.3 2715 3128

,

CAMBRE SAME STORES

MEASURED SPL START TIME	HISTORY 9 48 27	, 1.500	REG.	DC9-31 N54638	FL RU	T 16 N 10		MIC 1 LOC C6		TEST D	ATE	1-29-75	PAG	E 4
1/3 0.B.	13.5	14.0	14.5	15.0	15.5	16.0	16.5	17.0	17.5	18.0	18.	5 19.0	19.5	20.0
50	76.4	76.8	80.2	80.8	80.2	77.9	78.5	79.0	79.6	79.7	81.3	81.5	81.6	81.5
63	76.0	74.7	78.0	79.9	81.9	81.9	81.3	79.9	82.3	82.7	83.0	82.0	82.8	82.1
80	74.9	74.7	74.9	74.9	75.5	76-0	76.5	76.5	76.9	77.9	80.1	81.4	81.5	80.8
100	79.9	80.6	79.1	78.0	76.3	75.7	74.4	74.2	73.2	73.2	72.1	73.5	73.9	74.4
125	84.6	84.1	83.8	83.4	82.8	81.5	80.4	79.9	79.2	78.9	78.1	77.2	75.7	74.2
160	87.1*	87.3	87.7	87.9	87.2	86.3	84.8	84.1	83.1	82.8	81.4	80.4	80.0	79.6
200	82.9	84.1	84.9	86.6	87.3	87.4	86-4	85.6*	85.41	* 84.8	84 - 1	83.5	83.3	82.9
250	82.1	81.7	80.5	79.0	77.2	76.7	77-3	78.2	79.2	80.1	80 - 4	81.0	81.2	81.0
315	84.5	85.0*	85.41	85.4*	85.4*	84.9*	84-5*	83.6	82.6	81.3	80 - 5	79.2	78.3	77.7
400	80.3	80.3	80.2	80.0	79.3	80.0	80-6	81.3	82.0	82.2*	83.	* 83.3*	83-6*	82.7
500	79.2	80.0	79.5	79.6	78.9	80.1	79-8	79.2	77.8	76.5	75.	75.2	74-6	73.6
630	77.7	77.5	77.3	76.0	75.2	74.8	74-2	73.5	72.8	73.3	73.	75.0	75-0	74.2
800	74.3	73.6	72.7	71.8	71.3	72.0	71.6	71.3	70.2	70.0	70.4	69.8	69.7	67.8
1000	71.2	70.6	69.9	68.8	68.0	67.4	66.7	65.7	64.5	63.3	63.	63.2	63.0	61.2
1250	64.0	64.2	63.7	62.4	61.0	60.5	60.6	60.1	59.0	57.5	57.	5 56.9	56.2	53.1
1600 2000 2500	55.4 47.5 34.6	55.0 46.3 33.7	54.7 44.7 32.1	53.6 43.4	52.7 42.4	52.0 41.3	51.9 40.4	51.7 39.5	50.6 38.4	49.1 36.7	47.4 35.4	46. <i></i>	45.5	43.1
3150 4000 5000														
6300 8000 10000														
OVERALL	92.9	93.1	93.3	93.6	93.4	93.1	92.4	91.8	91.7	91.5	91.	91.3	91.3	90.8
A-WTD	84.5	84.7	84.6	84.5	84.2	84.2	83.8	83.4	83.0	82.6	82.	82.5	82.5	81.7
PNL	95.0	95.2	95.4	95.2	95.0	94.9	94.2	93.6	93.4	93.1	93.	93.3	93.4	92.5
PNLT	95.5	95.9	96.2	96.2	96.1	96.0	95.1	94.4	94.1	93.7	94.	94.3	94.6	93.7
DPT RNG	3226	3328	3435	3545	3659	3775	3893	4014	4137	4262	43.89	4518	4648	4779

ÓQ

Contrad trans-

MEASURED SPL START TIME	HI STORY 9 48 2	/ 7.500	MODEL REG.	DC+9-31 N54638	L FL Ru	T 16 N 10		MIC 1 LOC C6		TEST D	ATE 1	- 29- 75	PAG	E 5
1/3 C.B. GME(H7)	20.5	21.0	21.5	22.0	22.5	23.0	23.5	24.0	24.5	25.0	25.5	26.0	26.5	27.0
50 63 80	81.1 82.1 79.7	80.9 80.9 80.5	79.9 80.3 82.1	79.1 79.9 82.8	77.5 78.7- 82.9	75.5 78.2 81.6	74.8 77.3 80.3	75.9 78.0 79.7	77.2 77.4 79.2	78.5 76.7 79.6	78.0 77.3 78.4	76.2 76.8 77.9	74.0 77.0 77.1	74.8 75.3 77.1
100 125 160	74.8 73.0 79.0	75.0 71.9 77.3	77.3 71.4 77.1	78.7 71.4 76.6	80.1 72.2 76.7	80.2 72.7 75.4	80.3* 72.8 75.9	80.4* 73.3 75.3	80.4 <sup>3</sup> 73.6 75.4	* 80.3 75.5 74.5	79.4 76.7 74.4	78.2 77.4 73.7	77.6 76.8 73.5	77.4 76.2 73.0
200 250 315	81.4 80.7 76.7	79.4 80.5 75.9	78.6 79.9 74.3	78.4 79.2 73.7	77.8 78.2 74.5	76.7 78.7 75.1	76.9 79.0 75.9	76.3 78.3 75.9	76.0 77.4 76.2	75.8 76.3 76.5	76.5 76.0 77.0	77.7 75.6 76.9	78.5 75.8 76.2	79.2 76.7 75.1
400 500 630	81.5* 72.7 72.3	79.7 <b>*</b> 73.5 70.8	78.84 73.4 70.0	* 77.4* 73.4 69.4	76•8* 72•7 68•3	75.9 73.5 67.4	76.0 74.1 66.7	74.8 74.1 65.8	73.4 73.4 64.7	71.5 72.4* 63.4	70.4 72.2* 62.7	69•4 71•3* 62•2	68.1 70.2* 61.3	67.4 68.3* 60.7
800 1000 1250	66.9 59.8 51.3	64.9 58.5 49.1	63.5 58.1 48.1	62.4 57.2 47.3	61.4 55.8 46.5	61.2 55.0 46.0	62.1 55.4 46.7	61.7 54.6 45.6	61.2 53.2 43.9	59.3 50.7 40.6	58.6 48.8 39.1	57.8 47.5	57.2 46.3	56.3 45.2
1600 2000 2500	42.2	40.2	38.9	37.4	35.6									
3150 4000 5000														
6300 8000 10000														
OVERALL A-WTD PNL PNLT ACO PNG	90.0 80.6 91.5 92.6 3989	89.2 79.5 90.2 91.0 4090	89.0 78.8 89.6 90.4 4191	88.8 78.0 88.8 89.4 4293	88.6 77.5 88.3 88.9 4396	88.0 77.3 87.9 87.9 4501	87.8 77.6 88.1 88.7 4606	87.6 77.1 87.6 88.3 4712	87.4 76.5 87.0 87.7 4819	87.3 75.8 86.6 88.2 4926	87.1 75.8 86.7 88.6 5034	86.7 75.5 86.3 88.1 5142	86.3 75.1 85.9 87.7 5251	86.1 74.7 86.0 87.4 5361
NPT RNG	4912	5046	5182	5318	5455	5593	5732	587Z	6012	6152	6293	6434	6575	6717

MEASURED START TIM	SPL IE	HISTORY 9 48 2	Y 7.500	NODEL REG.	DC-9-31 N54638	L FL RU	T 16 N 10	1	MIC 1 LOC C6		TEST D	ATE 1	<del>29</del> 75	PAGE
1/3 0. GME(HZ	8.	27.5	28.0	28+5	29.0	29.5	30.0	30.5	31.0	31.5	32.0	32.5	33.0	33.5
50 63 80	) 5 )	75.0 75.0 76.5	74.3 74.5 75.6	74.5 74.7 74.2	74.4 74.7 73.8	75.1 73.4 73.0	74.0 71.5 72.1	75.2 72.0 70.5	74.2 72.8 69.8	74.0 73.9 70.1	73.6 73.8 71.4	74.1 73.4 72.5	74.7 72.3 72.0	73.8 70.9 70.8
100 125 160	) 5	77•7 75•3 72•6	77.0 75.6 72.2	76.0 74.8 72.2	75.8 75.0 71.5	75.9 74.8 70.3	75.6 74.3 68.7	74.4 74.2 67.1	73.0 73.6 66.6	72.9 73.5 66.1	71.9 72.5 66.6	71.4 71.2 66.1	70.9 70.6 65.4	70.8 69.4 64.5
200 250 315	) ] 5	79.5* 77.5 74.3	79.2* 77.5 73.8	78.5* 76.8 73.1	78.0* 76.3 72.6	76.7* 77.1 71.8	76.0 77.3* 71.7	73.6 77.0* 71.9	72.7 75.9* 72.3	71.8 76.1* 72.7	71.1 76.3* 72.7	70.4 76.2 72.8	69.0 74.8* 71.9	68.2 73.1* 71.4
400 500 630		67.5 67.3 60.6	68.4 67.1 61.4	68.0 66.4 60.6	67.3 65.6 60.4	65.4 64.0 58.7	65.4 63.4 59.1	65.8 62.3 58.2	66.3 61.7 57.5	66.6 61.6 57.3	66.8 61.6 57.2	67.7 61.6 57.3	67.0 60.3 56.6	66.2 58.7 55.7
800 1000 1250		55.1 44.0	54•5 43•8	53.5 43.5	53.3 43.4	52.1 42.7	50.9	49.7	48.6	49.1	48.1	48.1	46.1	44.9
1600 2000 2500														
3150 4000 5000	}													
6300 8000 10000														
OVERAL A-WT PN PNL		86.1 74.6 86.0 86.7	85.7 74.5 85.8 86.5	85.1 73.8 85.0 85.7	84.8 73.3 84.6 85.3	84.4 72.8 84.2 84.9	83.9 72.7 83.9 84.7	83.3 72.1 83.4 84.2	82.7 71.6 82.6 83.2	82.7 71.7 82.6 83.3	82.6 71.7 82.7 83.4	82.5 71.7 82.5 83.2	81.8 70.6 81.4 82.0	80.7 69.6 79.9 80.5
ACD RN	iG IG	5471 6859	5582 7000	5693 7142	5804 7284	5916 7426	6027 7569	6139 7711	6252 7854	6364 7996	6476 8139	6589 8282	6702 8424	6815 8567

فعوذاها محدية محمودا التربط

Stree Ac

المقتم الأشفيل ويرودون والدراري الراب المعادية المحاف المحافظ والمهاد والمرادين المارون والمحافظ المعالي

£5

#### DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75 DATA PROCESSED 04/10/75

PAGE 7

1

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638 FLIGHT 16 RUN 10 MIC 1 LOC C6 TEST DATE 1-29-75 HIGHLIGHTS OF MEASURED FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS FOR TAKEOFF CORR FLYOVER ED 178.3 KN, SLANT RANGE 2402.7 FT. FOR TIME AT MIC 9 48 34.2 AVERAGE THRUST 12538.1 LBS PATH SPEED

	SPE'S FOR PNEM	SPE'S FUR PNLIM		TAX 1/1	L U.B. SPL'S
	(TIME 9 48 42.0)	(TIME 9 48 42.0)	MAX SPL'S	FOR CC	DMPOSITE PNL
FREQUENCY	SPL NOI SINE SS	SPL NOISINESS	1/3 C.B.	SPŁ N	DISINESS
(HŽ)	(DB) (NOYS)	(DB) (NDYS)	(DB)	(08)	(NOYS)
50	80.2 5.1	80.2 5.1	81.6		
63	78.0 5.4	78-0 5-4	83.6	86.8	11.9
ลัด	74.9 5.0	74.9 5.0	82.9		
100	79.1 9.1	79.1 9.1	80.6		
125	83.8 13.8	83.8 13.8	84.8	89.6	20-5
160	87.7 19.2	Ř7.7 19.2	87.9		2
200	84.9 18.3	84.9 18.3	87.4		
250	80.5 14.4	80.5 14.4	83.0	89.7	27.2
315	85.4 21.4	85.4* 21.4	85.4		
<b>40</b> 0	80.2 16.2	80.2 16.2	83.6		
ร์ก้ถ้	79.5 15.5	79.5 15.5	80.1	84.7	22.1
630	77.3 13.3	77.3 13.3	78-3		
ลี่ถี่ถั	72.7 9.7	72.7 9.7	75.5		
1000	Å9.9 7.9	69.9 7.9	71.2	77.1	13.1
1250	63.7 5.9	63.7 5.9	66.9		
1600	54.7 4.2	54.7 4.2	61.6		
2000	44.7 2.4	4.7 2.6	53.4	62-3	8-1
2500	32.1 1.2	32.1 1.2	42 á	<b>**</b> ••••	
3150	<i>J241</i>	JE11 115	-207		
<u> ភីភិភ័ភ័</u>					
5000					
6300					
8000					
10000					
TAAAA					

**\* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION** 

		PNLC	=	96.4 PND	8
		LĂM	Ξ	84.7 DBA	
OURATION FACTOR =	0.4 08	PŇLM	#	95.4 PND	8
INTEGRATION TIME =	24-0 SECONDS (FAR PART 36 TO 1-0 SECOND)	PNETM	≠	96-2 PND	ã.
MEASURED EFFECTIVE	PERCEIVED NOISE LEVEL. EPNL = 96.6 EPND8	}			-

υī

C. Can

#### TABLE C-7.3 TYPICAL TAKEOFF WITH.CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

#### FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

#### DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75 DATA PROCESSED 04/10/75

PAGE 1

#### MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638

#### DC-9-31 REFAN FLYOVER NOISE TEST

#### MEASURED NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYDVER --- SIMULATED T.C. CLIMB DATA CLASS -- FN/DLT = 9500 L8S MEASUREMENT TYPE --- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -7301.0, Y = .0, Z =-81.0 FEET FROM WEST-MOST END OF RUNWAY

MEASUREMENT	INFO	AIR	PLANE AND E	ENGINE DATA		WEATHER	DATA
MIC. NUMBER	1 805	SE. NO. 741	AVG.	N1RT = 649	90RPM AMB	, TEMP. = 5	52.5 F
MIC. LOCATION	C6 FL1	IGHT 16	AVG.	EPR = 1	.445 REI	HUM. =	35.1 PCT
MIC. ORIENT GR	RAZING RUN	N 16	A/P H	IEADING = _ 2	210 DEG A8	5. HU4. =	3.6 GH/N3
TEST SITE YI	JMA HEI	[GHT = 2288.	O FT FLAP	POS = UP	2.1 DEG WI	ID SPEED =	4. KN
TEST DATE	L-29-75 LAT	$f_{-}$ DEV. = -134.	B FT PATH	ANG. =	4-0 DEG WI	ID DIR. =	260. DEG
TEST NUMBER JO	DB 511 SLM	$NT_RNG_ = 2292_$	O FT PITCH	$ANG_{\bullet} = 1$	LL_4 DEG <u>ST</u> A	$I_{\bullet}$ PRESS =	29.81 IN HG
JOB REEL AS	5282 PA1	TH SPD. = 174.	4 KN GR. 1	IEIGHT = 999	900.LB RT	.THETA =	•9911

AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-42-49.9 Other performance data is for time of pnltm of 10-42-56.0 Time of Aircraft at minimum distance from Microphone Location 10-42-49.3

#### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECONDS AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	BASIC UNIT Data types	SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL (DB REL. 0.0002 MICROBAR) 1/3 OCTAVE, DVERALL, A-WTD,
		PNL. PNLT & EPNL

initial terretrick to the state of the second state of the state of the second state of the second state of the state of the second state of

### 

β

#### TABLE C-7.3 (CONTINUED) TYPICAL TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

ſ

Contraction of the second

00

. 1

M E S T	ASURED SPL ART TIME	HISTOR 10,42 4	¥ 4.000	MODEL REG.	DC-9-31 N54638	L FL RU	T 16 N 16		MIC 1 LOC C6		TEST D	ATE 1	- 2 <del>9-</del> 75	- PAG	E 2
	1/3 0.8. GME(HZ)	AMB	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.5	5.0
	50 63 80	47.4 51.5 51.2	55.6 57.0 58.8	57.7 59.6 58.7	58 <b>.8</b> 61.1 57.4	59.6 62.0 55.3	60.7 60.0 54.6	60-6 58-2 55-6	61.0 55.9 56.6	61.2 56.4 57.5	61.2 57.9 57.6	61.5 59.6 58.0	59.7 60.8 57.8	60.9 63.7 57.0	61.4 55.4 56.6
	100 125 160	55.2 45.9 42.9	56.9 55.1	56.4 57.9	56.5 59.7	58.1 61.7	59.7 63.3	58-8 59.9 64.4	59.4 61.9 65.9	63.6 66.7	59.4 68.0 68.3	60•8 69•6* 69•7	63.9 70.2 71.0	65.9 69.8 71.3	66.6 69.8 71.0
	200 250 315	41.0 37.1 33.3	60.4 63.5 61.0	61.4 63.3 60.2	63.5 64.4 60.4	65.0 65.2 59.9	67.2 65.7 59.7	68.4 66.0 61.1	69.8* 65.5 65.7	69.9 64.5 67.5	70.6* 63.5 68.4	70.1 63.0 68.9	69.9 64.3 69.6*	68.7 65.7 70.4*	67.9 66.7 70.7*
	400 500 630	30.2 31.3 28.2	56.7 58.9 55.9	59.9 61.4 56.9	62.5 62.5 58.8	64.5 63.2* 61.4	66.8 62.8 62.8	68.3* 62.9 63.8	69.6 65.4 64.8	69.3 66.2 64.7	68.5 67.0 65.1	67.1 66.9 65.7	66.5 67.3 66.5	66.5 67.6 67.0	66.8 68.1 67.2
	800 1000 1250	27.9 30.5 27.5	57.0 50.9 45.7	58.0 52.6 47.2	58.3 53.5 47.7	59.4 54.6 49.4	60 <b>•8</b> * 55•8 50•7	62.7 57.5 52.3	64-6 59-9 54-3	64.6* 60.0 54.3	64.1 63.0 54.3	63.0 59.6 54.0	64.0 60.4 55.5	64.9 61.9 57.0	65.0 61.9 57.3
	1600 2000 2500	24.7 21.9 19.7	39.4 30.7	40.4 32.2	41.1 32.7	42.6 34.3 25.0	44.2 35.6 25.9	45.6 37.5 27.9	48.0 39.3 28.7	48.3 39.3 28.7	48.7 39.4 29.1	48.6 41.3 31.1	50.9 44.7 35.1	52•8 46•5 36•7	53.0 46.8 37.3
	3150 4000 5000	18.1 17.7 18.3											22.4	24.7	25.3
	6300 8000 10000	18.8 19.3 19.7													
	DVERALL A-WTD PNL PNLT ACO RNG OPT RNG	58.7 41.5 42.7 43.8	69.7 63.2 71.2 71.2 3393 2830	70.6 64.4 72.4 72.4 3270 2752	71.9 65.6 73.7 73.7 3153 2679	73.0 67.0 75.1 76.4 3042 2611	74.1 68.2 76.6 78.6 2937 2548	75.1 69.4 78.1 79.2 2840 2492	76.6 71.1 79.9 80.6 2749 2441	76 ± 8 71 • 2 79 • 7 82 • 2 2665 2397	77.5 71.4 79.9 80.7 2590	77.8 71.1 79.7 80.4 2522 2329	78.4 71.7 80.7 81.4 2462 2308	78.7 72.3 81.4 82.1 2411 2293	78.9 72.5 81.7 82.3 2369 2287

355

C

#### TABLE C-7.3 (CONTINUED) TYPICAL TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

ME. St.	ASURED SPL ART TIME	HISTOR	Y 4.000	MODEL REG.	DC-9-31 N54638	L FL RU	T 16 N 16		MIC 1 LOC C6		TEST D	ATE	L <del>-</del> 29- 75	PAG	E 3
	1/3 0.8. GME(H7)	6.5	7.0	7.5	8.0	8. 5	9.0	9.5	F0-0	10.5	11.0	11.5	12.0	12.5	13.0
	50 63 80	62.9 65.5 61.6	64.0 63.9 62.7	64.6 60.8 64.8	66.5 59.6 65.1	66.9 63.0 65.3	67.1 64.3 64.5	65.9 65.6 63.4	65.3 66.0 64.9	66.2 66.9 65.9	66.6 65.3 66.0	68.7 66.4 64.9	69.9 67.1 64.3	70.7 67.6 63.6	71.2 69.0 65.1
	100 125 160	68.3 71.6 71.5	68.7 72.4 72.2	70.8 73.1 72.2	70.9 72.8 71.6	72.2 73.9 71.4	71.7 73.9 71.4	72.4* 74.8 71.7	72.6 74.4 73.4	72.3 74.8 74.5	72.7 74.2 75.4	72.1 74.8 75.4	72.8 75.7 75.8	71.9 76.0 76.6	71.4 75.1 76.6
	200 250 315	68.2 69.1 72.7*	68.1 70.7 73.6	68.0 71.5 73.8	67.0 70.9 73.1	67.0 71.0 73.1	67.5 71.8 73.5	68.1 73.5 74.6	68.9 74.6 75.9	69.8 74.7 76.2	71.0 75.0 76.6	72.3 74.6 76.9	73.6 74.8 77.5*	74.9 73.7 77.2*	76.2 72.4 76.6*
	400 500 630	68.4 69.5 69.0	70.0 70.7 70.1	70.5 70.4 70.2	71.0 70.0 69.1	71.1 70.7 69.7	71.6 71.1 70.1	72.5 72.1 71.5	73.6 72.1 71.1	74.0 72.5 70.4	74.4 72.7 70.1	73.9 72.9 69.5	73.9 73.1 69.9	72.3 71.9 67.9	71.2 70.2 66.8
	800 1000 1250	65.7 62.7 57.8	67.5 64.5 59.9	<b>67.8</b> 64.7 60.0	67.8 64.4 60.1	67.3 62.8 58.6	67.9 63.3 50.0	67.7 63.2 59.7	66.9 62.7 59.5	65.7 61.5 57.3	65.5 61.1 56.9	65.0 60.1 55.7	64.8 60.3 56.1	63.3 60.4 55.9	61.5 59.7 54.8
	1600 2000 2500	53.1 47.5 37.5	54.8 48.5 38.1	55.3 48.8 38.3	55.2 48.0 37.7	53.9 46.7 37.9	54.6 47.3 39.4	54.7 48.0 40.3	54,9 48.3 40.3	53.5 47.1 38.7	52.7 45.6 36.6	50.1 42.7 32.9	50.0 42.0 32.1	49.6 41.8 31.6	49.0 41.1 31.0
	3150 4000 5000	27.1	29.2	29.7	29.4	29.4	30.3	30.6	29.9	28.5	26.3	23+4	21.7		
	6300 8000 10000														
	DVERALL A-WTD PNL PNLT ACD RNG	80.3 73.9 83.3 84.0 2335	81.2 75.2 84.5 85.1 2311	81.6 75.4 84.9 85.4 2295	81.3 75.0 84.3 84.3 2287	81.7 75.0 84.4 84.4 2289	81.9 75.5 84.8 84.8 2298	82.7 76.4 85.7 86.2 2315	83.3 76.7 86.4 2340	83.6 76.6 86.4 86.4 2372	83.9 76.8 86.6 86.6 2410	84.0 76.7 86.6 86.6 2454	84.5 77.0 87.1 87.6 2505	84.3 76.2 86.7 87.4 2561	84.0 75.3 86.1 86.9 2621
	OPT RNG	2 290	2300	2319	2347	2382	2426	2477	2536	2602	2675	2754	2838	2928	- 30

#### TABLE C-7.3 (CONTINUED) TYPICAL TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

MEASURED SPL START TIME	HISTOR <sup>1</sup> 10 42 44	Y 4.000	NODEL REG.	DC-9-3 N54638	L FL RU	T 16 N 16		MIC 1 LOC C6		TEST D	ATE 1	L- 29- 75	PAG	E 4
1/3 0.B. CME(H7)	13.5	14.0	14.5	15.0	15.5	16.0	16.5	17.0	17.5	18.0	18.5	19.0	19.5	20.0
50 63 30	70•7 68 <sub>2</sub> 9 65•4	71.7 69.9 66.3	71.5 69.9 65.7	72.9 71.1 65.3	72.0 71.9 65.0	71.1 71.9 64.3	<b>69.</b> 6 71.8 65.3	72.1 71.3 65.9	72.6 70.9 67.2	73.0 70.4 67.6	71.9 69.9 70.3	71.4 69.9 70.9	70.4 69.4 71.3	70.4 69.2 70.6
100 125 160	70.5 74.3 77.2	69.5 74.4 77.8	68.4 74.5 78.6	66.4 73.4 78.5	63.8 71.0 77.2*	60.8 68.9 75.3	67.2 73.4	66.3 71.7	58.8 64.5 70.7	62.4 63.2 70.8	66.1 62.9 71.2	66.9 62.1 70.9	66.7 60.8 69.8*	66.0 59.1 68.7
200 250 315	77.0 71.0 76.5*	77.6 69.8 76.8*	77.4 70.8 77.1*	77.6 71.0 76.0*	77.0 71.4 74.3	76.4* 71.4 71.8	74.8 70.7 70.7	73.3 69.9 69.3	71.9 68.7 66.1	72.1 70.6 65.7	71.9 71.1 65.5	71.8 71.8 66.1	70.8 71.3 66.3	70.4 71.0 66.6
400 500 630	70.8 73.9 66.8	70.8 71.3 66.9	72.2 72.0 67.0	71.1 70.0 64.7	71.2 68.3 62.8	70.5 64.3 61.6	71.14 63.2 60.8	70.3* 62.5 61.2	68.7 59.3 60.3	* 68.1* 60.9 60.8	68.51 62.6 60.5	69.4* 64.4 61.0	69.3 64.5 60.7	68.4 64.3 60.9
800 1000 1250	62.6 60.5 54.6	63.1 60.0 53.8	64.0 60.5 54.7	62.2 58.6 53.1	60.9 56.9 51.8	59.1 55.6 50.1	58.0 54.1 48.9	58.2 53.6 48.2	56.4 51.7 46.1	56.4 51.4 45.6	56.0 50.8 44.7	55.1 50.0 42.9	54.2 48.7 42.0	53.9 48.8 41.9
1600 2000 2500	48.6 40.2 29.9	48.2 39.1 28.6	48.8 39.3 28.8	47.3 37.1 27.2	45.5 35.1 24.7	43.2 33.3	41.1 32.1	40.0 30.8	38.0 27.5	37.6 26.7	36.7	35.8	34.9	33.9
3150 4000 5000														
6300 8000 10000														
OVERALL A-WTD PNL PNLT ACO RNG OPT RNG	84.1 75.4 86.0 86.9 2687 3122	84.4 75.7 86.1 87.2 2756 3221	84.7 76.2 86.5 87.4 2829 3323	84.3 75.1 85.5 86.3 2906 3442	83.4 74.0 84.5 85.1 2986 3554	82.2 72.5 83.4 83.9 3068 3670	81.0 71.7 81.9 82.9 3154 3789	80.3 70.8 80.7 81.5 3237 3910	79.5 69.0 79.4 80.4 3324 4034	79.7 69.3 79.6 80.4 3423 4160	79.9 69.6 79.9 80.6 3515 4287	80.1 70.2 80.3 81.0 3611 4415	79.6 69.9 79.9 80.6 3707 4546	79.2 69.5 79.2 79.9 3806 4678

357

ของได้ไม่มาที่ได้มีที่ได้มีก็ได้มีในมีเสียง และ คระ คิวมาที่จะ และการกา

ς.

MS	EASURED SPL	HISTOR 10 42 44	Y 4.000	MOCEL I REG.	DC-9-3 N54638	1- FLT 10 RUN 10	5 MI 5 LO	C 1 C <b>C6</b>	TEST DATE	1-29-	75
-	1/3 0.8.	20.5	21.0	21.5	22.0	22.5				PAGE	5
	63 80	71.0 69.8 70.2	71.5 70.5 71.1	70.8 70.1 71.3	70.5 69.5 71.4	70.8 68.5 70.7					
	100 125 160	66.9 59.9 67.5	68.9 61.3 65.5	69.3 62.5 64.3	68.9 62.5 61.6	67.8 61.8 58.5					
	200 250 315	69.8 70.1 66.3	70.0 69.1 66.3	69•2 68•4 65•5	67.6 66.5 64.6	63.0 64.9 61.3					
	400 500 630	65.6 62.2 58.1	61.9 61.4 56.6	59.0 60.3* 53.0	57.5 59.8* 52.4	54.9 57.9* 51.6					
	800 1000 1250	51.2 45.1 37.9	50.7 44.5 36.5	49.1 42.2 34.3	48.9 41.7 33.5	47.8 39.5 31.4					
	1600 2000 2500	29+1									
	3150 4000 5000										
	6300 8000 10000										
	OVERALL A-WTD PNL PNLT	78.7 67.8 78.0 78.0	78.9 66.9 77.3 77.3	78.4 65.8 76.4 77.8	77.8 64.6 75.0 76.6	76.7 62.3 72.8 74.4					
	ACD RNG	3905 4810	4006 4944	4108 5079	4211 5215	4315 5352					

#### TABLE C-7.3 (CONCLUDED) TYPICAL TAKEOFF WITH CURBACK FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

j

02

#### DATA DIGITIZED 2-1-75

#### DATA PROCESSED 04/10/75

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638 FLIGHT 16 RUN 16 MIC 1 LOC C6 TEST DATE 1-29-75 HIGHLIGHTS OF MEASURED FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS FOR SIMULATED T.O. CLIMB ED 174.4 KN, SLANT RANGE 2286.8 FT. FOR TIME AT MIC 10 42 49.9 AVERAGE THRUST 8341.5 LBS PATH SPEED

	SPL'S FOR PNLM	SPL'S FOR PNLTH		- MAX 1/J	LO.B. SPL"
	(TIME 10 42 56.0)	(TIME 10 42 56.0)	MAX SPL'S	FOR CO	MPOSITE PN
REQUENCY	SPL NOI SINESS	SPL NUISINESS	1/3 0.8.	SPL N	UISINESS
				(DP)	INUTS1
50			71.0	75.8	6.6
ŘÓ	64.3 2.0	64.3 2.0	71.4	1240	707
100	72.8 5.4	72.8 5.4	72.8		
Ĩ25	75.7 7.4	75.7 7.4	76.0	80.3	10.8
1 60	75.8 8.5	75-8 8-5	78.6		
200		73.6 8.3	11+0	00 T	11.6
200		77 5± 12 3	17+U 77 5	8V+ (	14+0
400	73.9 10.5	73.9 10.5	74.4		
ŚŎŎ	73.1 9.9	73.1 9.9	73.1	77.5	13.5
630	69.9 7.9	69.9 7.9	71.5		
800	64.8 5.6	64.8 5.6	67.9		
1000			64 • (	70.0	8.0
1200			QU - 1		
2000	42.0 2.0	42-0 2-0	48.8	56.2	5.3
2500	32.1 1.2	32.1 1.2	40.3		202
3150	21.7 0.0	21.7 0.0	30.6		
4000				30.6	1.1
5000					
0000					
10000					
TAAAA					

#### \* BAND PRODUCING TONE CORRECTION

PNLC	=	87.8	PNDB
LAM	*	77.0	DBA
	=	87.6	PNUB

PAGE 6

DURATION FAC TOR = -0.5 DB INTEGRATION TIME = 19.0 SECONDS (FAR PART 36 TO 1.0 SECOND) MEASURED EFFECTIVE PERCEIVED NOISE LEVEL, EPNL = 87.2 EPNDB



# TABLE C-7.4 (CONTINUED) TYPICAL LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{\rm F}$ = 50°) FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

MEASURED SPI START TIME	L HISTOR 10 14 4	Y 7.500	MODEL Reg.	DC9-31 N54638	L FL RUI	T 19 N 27		MIC 6 LOC 10		TEST D	ATE 1	l-31-75	PAG	E 2
1/3 D.B. GME(H7)	AMB SPI	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.3	4.5	5.0	5.5	6.0
50	59.4	71-1	72.5	72•3	74.2	73.7	74.0	73.2	74.2	74.9	76.7	76.9	77.7	77.9
63	61.1	69-4	69.4	69•9	71.2	71.9	72.3	71.6	71.8	73.1	75.3	76.4	77.7	77.9
80	59.9	69-4	70.J	70•6	68.9	68.9	67.6	67.6	67.1	69.3	73.3	76.6	78.0	79.0
100	55.6	68.5	<b>69.1</b>	69.1	67.9	66.7	66.6	70.0	71.6	72.3	71.4	71.4	72.5	73.2
125	57.4	66.8	65.7	65.3	63.7	67.9	73.2	76.3	78.1	78.6	77.7	75.7	72.6	71.1
160	54.4	61.3	60.4	63.4	70.2	74.2	78.4	81.0	83.0	83.8	84.1	83.2	81.0	76.7
200	54.0	62.8	67.6	72.3	76.4	78.4	79.9	81.2	82.9	85.5	87.)	87.1	85.3	81.4
250	53.8	68.4	71.5	75.6	77.0	77.9	76.7	75.9	75.9	80.8	84.1	85.9	85.9*	84.1*
315	52.5	71.2	73.3	74.7	74.3	73.6	74.4	78.)	81.9*	84.1	84.1	82.9	83.1	80.1
400	48•9	72.6	72.8	71.5	71.6	75.7	77.8	78.6	80.6	84.2	85.1	84.5	80.6	76.7
500	47•8	67.8	69.0	71.9	73.9	74.2	75.7	77.9	80.8	82.1	82.4	81.9	83.1	77.4
630	48•7	67.1	73.3	71.7	72.8	74.0	76.4	78.2	79.7	80.7	80.7	79.7	77.8	75.4
800	43.0	69.0	70.3	71.8	72.8	74.1	76.4	78.9	80.5	80.7	79.5	77.0	73.9	71.5
1 333	41.7	65.8	68.8	70.6	71.8	72.7	74.4	76.3	77.7	78.0	76.9	74.8	71.2	69.3
1 250	40.5	65.2	67.5	69.5	70.7	71.6	72.9	74.7	76.5	77.0	76.0	73.5	69.7	67.1
1600	39.2	62.4	64.9	66.8	68.7	73.2	72.2	74.2	76.0	76.4	75.4	72.7	68.7	66.2
2000	35.1	60.0	62.1	64.3	66.8	68.7	71.6	74.8	78.0	78.7	77.8	74.1	69.2	67.0
2500	30.9	57.3	59.6	62.8	65.5	67.8	70.1	74.5	78.3	79.2	78.3	74.6	70.3	67.9
3150	24.5	53.0	56.3	60.0	64•2	68.9	71.3	73.4	75.4	75+8	75.1	72.1	68.7	65.2
4000	24.3	55.14	57.64	61.0*	65•2*	67.9	68.8	69.2	69.7	69+9	69.0	66.2	62.5	59.2
5000	23.8	44.6	48.9	52.4	56•6	60.3	63.0	65.3	67.7	68+6	68.2	66.3	62.5	59.4
6300	23.2	39.0	45.1	47.6	53.3	59.5	63.8	67.6	70.7	72.0	71.5	69.1	64.3	59.8
8000	22.3	38.7	43.9	46.5	53.3	59.2*	61.7	64.2	67.4	68.9*	68.8	* 66.3*	61.5	56.2
1 JOJ J	24.4	35.5	44.5	44.7	45.6	48.3	52.4	55.3	56.9	57.4	56.4	54.0	50.3	46.2
OVEPALL A-WTD PNL PNLT ACO RNG OPT RNG	67.2 54.7 65.0 66.1	80.6 75.6 86.7 88.9 1035 842	82 • 1 77 • 5 88 • 4 90 • 1 9 ) 7 74 3	83.6 79.3 90.2 91.7 783 648	85.0 80.8 92.4 94.0 667 561	86.3 82.3 94.9 95.5 563 486	87.9 84.2 97.1 97.1 477 430	89.7 86.4 99.2 99.2 419 398	91.8 88.6 101.9 102.5 395 399	93.4 89.7 103.0 103.6 436 433	93.9 89.4 102.7 103.4 443 491	93.5 87.9 100.5 101.2 498 567	91.9 85.2 98.4 98.9 565 654	89.9 82.8 96.1 96.7 640 749

# TABLE C-7.4 (CONTINUED) TYPICAL LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F = 50^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

MEASURED SPL	HISTORY 10 14 47	.500	MODEL REG.	DC-9-31 N54638	EL T RUN	19 27	MIC	6 10	TEST	DATE	1-31-	75
1/3 0.8.	6.5	7.)	7.5								PAGE	3
63 80	77.9 77.9 79.0	77.3 77.2 79.4	75.5 76.9 78.5									
100 125 160	74.0 71.8 70.9	73.8 73.3 69.5	74.3 73.8 70.1									
200 250 315	75.5 80.7 78.7	71.3 75.0 77.3	67.3 70.3 72.5									
400 500 630	76.4 71.6 73.6*	76.6 68.7 70.2	75.7* 69.2 66.6	1								
800 1000 1250	69.4 67.5 64.7	67.7 66.2 62.7	66.8 63.8 61.4									
1600 2000 2500	64.1 64.8 65.6	62.2 62.4 63.5	60.6 60.1 61.3									
3150 4000 5000	61.4 56.4 56.4	59.4 53.6 53.2	57.5 57.8 50.6									
6300 8000 10000	55•4 49•6 45•0	50.8 43.5 36.9	46.7 37.9									
OVERALL A-WID PNL PNLT ACC RNG OPT RNG	87.7 8).7 93.3 94.3 721 849	86.3 78.1 91.1 91.1 8J4 951	85.0 76.1 89.4 90.2 889 1056									

# TABLE C-7.4 (CONCLUDED) TYPICAL LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{\rm F}$ = 50°) FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

#### 2-3-75 DATA PROCESSED 04/10/75

00

PAGE 4

DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75

.

		MODEL DC-9	-31 REG. NO.	N54638
	FL1GHT 19	RUN 27	MIC 6	LOC 1) TEST DATE 1-31-75
	HIGHLIGHTS OF	MEASURED FLYOVE	R NOISE LEVELS	FOR LANDING APPPOACH
ΡΔΤΗ	SPEED 135.9 KN.	SLANT RANGE	394.6 FT. FOR	TIME AT MIC 10 14 49.9
	AVERI	AGE THRUST	1	5451.) LBS

	SPETS FUX PALM	SPETS FOR PNETM		- 948 171 9+8+ 586*3	
	(TIME 10 14 51.5)	(TIME 10 14 51.5)	MAX SPLIS	FOR COMPOSITE PNI	L
FREQUENCY	SPL NOTSINESS	SPL NOTSINËSS	1/3 0.8.	SPL NOISTNESS	
(Ĥ7)		(DB) (NOYS)	<u> </u>		
50	74.9 3.0	74-9 3-0	77.9		
63	73.1 3.4	73.1 3.4	77.9	83.1 8.6	
šň	60.3 3.1	69.3 3.1	79.4	0501 000	
100	72 2 5 1	72 2 5 1	76 2		
125				46 2 16 1	
127			10+0	09+2 19+1	
120	02+0 14+1	05+0 14+1	84+1		
200	82•2 17•4	82.7 19.0	87 • F	00 / 00 /	
230	80.8 14.7	80.8 14.7	82.9	93.4 28.0	
315	84.1 19.6	84.1 19.6	84 • L		
400	84.2 21.4	84+2 21+4	82-1		
500	82.1 18.5	82.1 18.5	82+4	87.9 27.7	
630	80.7 16.8	80.7 16.8	80.7		
800	80.7 16.8	80.7 16.8	80.7		
1000	78.0 13.9	78.0 13.9	78.0	83.6 2).5	
125)	77.) 14.9	77.0 14.9	77.0		
1600	76.4 18.6	76.4 18.6	76.4		
2000	78.7 25.0	78.7 25.0	78.7	83.0 33.8	
2533	79.2 29.7	79.2 29.7	79.2		
3150	75.8 25.2	75.8 25.2	75.8		
4000	69.9 16.8	69.9 16.8	69.9	77.4 28.2	
51.35	68.6 14.4	68.6 14.4	68.6		
6300	72.0 17.0	72.0 17.0	72.0		
1000	68 0 11 1	ÁŘ 9± 11.1	6 8 0	73 0 15 7	
1 10 1 1		57 6 6.1	57 4	1247 1241	
1 7 7 7 7	2167 401	* 94ND PPODUCTNC TONE	- COD 2207 TON		
		- DWWD FRONDCTWG HIME	CURRECTING		
				DNIC - 102 7 0008	

DURATION FACTOR = -6.2 DB INTEGRATION TIME = 5.0 SECONDS (FAR PART 36 TO 1.0 SECOND) PNLM = 103.0 PNDR MEASURED FEFECTIVE PERCEIVED NOISE LEVEL, EPNL = 97.4 EPNDB

363

2.25

TABLE C-7.5 TYPICAL LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_{z} = 35^{0}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

FAR PART 36 FLYOVER NOISE LEVELS

DATA IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75 DATA PRECESSED 04/04/75 PAGE 1

MUDEL DC-9-31 REG. NG. N54638

#### NC-9-31 REFAN FLYUVER NLISE TEST

#### REFERENCE-WEATHER AND FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

ENGINE/NACELLE CONFIGURATION -- PEWA JT8D-109 ENGINES WITH ACOUSTICALLY TREATED NACELLES

TYPE OF FLYOVER -- LANDING APPROACH MEASUREMENT TYPE -- BENEATH FLT PATH, 4 FEET ABOVE SANDY DIRT RECORDING AT X = -6976.0, Y = 198.0, Z = -1.0 FEET FROM THRESHOLD REFERENCE RECORDING LOCATION X = -6076.0, Y = -.0, Z = -.0 FEET

MEASUREMENT INFO AIRPLANE AND ENGINE DATA WEATHEP DATA 4854. RPM FLSE. NO. 741 AMB. TEMP. = 56.1 FAVG. NIRT = LOCATION 10 CRIENT GRAZING = 45.3 PCT 1.149 FLIGHT REL. HUM. 20 AVG. EPR A/P HEADING = 30. DEG **RUN** A8S. HUM . 5.2 GM/M3 44 34.7 ČĒĞ YUMA HEIGHT 363.6 FT FLAP POS. = WIND SPEED = B. KN SITE WIND DIR. = 20. DEG LAT. DEV. = -195.5 FT -2.7 CEG PATH ANG. CATE 2-01-75 = PITCH ANG. = STA. PRESS = 30.07 IN HG SLNT.RNG. = 412.8 FT 2.8 DEG TEST NUMBER JOB 511 RT. THETA = PATH SPD. = GR. WEIGHT = 132000. LB . 9988 JOB REEL A5359 137.5 KA

> AIRPLANE SPACE POSITIONING IS RELATIVE TO MIC FOR TIME AT MIC OF 10-13- 5.3 Other performance data is for time of PNLTM of 10-13- 7.5 Time of Aircraft at minipum distance from Microphene Location 10-13- 5.4

> REFERENCE SURFACE WEATHER CONDITIONS TEMP = 77.0 F & REL. HUM. = 70.0 PCT

#### DESCRIPTION OF ACOUSTICAL DATA PROCESSING

ANALYZER TYPE / RESOLUTION GR1921(CISA) / 0.25 DB	ATMOSPHERIC ATTENUATION SAE ARP866(REV)
CISA MODE 1 PASS WITH AUTO-START	PASIC UNIT SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL
SAMPLE INTERVAL FOR BASIC DATA = .500 SECENDS	(DB REL. 0.0002 MICKOBAR)
AVERAGING TIME = 1.500 SECONDS	CATA TYPES 1/3 OCTAVE, OVERALL, A-WTD,
	PNL. FNLT & EPNL

# TABLE C-7.5 (CONTINUED) TYPICAL LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F = 35^{\circ}$ ) FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

and the second

REF-WEA SPI START TIME	L HISTO 10 13	RY 3.000	MODEL REG.	DC-9-31 N54638	EL' RUI	T 20		MIC 6 LOC 10		TEST D	ATE 2-	•01 <del>-</del> 75	PAGE	E 2
1/3 G.B.	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.5	6.0	6.5
63 63	66.6 66.6 65.7	67.3 66.6 66.1	67.2 67.5 65.9	67.3 68.3 66.7	67.1 68.7 66.5	68.5 68.3 65.9	08.3 67.3 63.9	69.6 68.2 62.5	09.8 68.7 61.2	70.3 68.6 54.4	69.7 69.0 66.9	72.8 71.4 76.0	72.8 72.0 72.0	72.9 71.8 72.2
100	65.4	65.5	65.7	65.5	64.9	64.1	64.5	60.9	68.6	63.2	67.0	65.9	67.7	68.8
125	65.4	64.6	63.8	63.2	65.7	65.2	72.1	74.1	75.6	75.7	74.8	71.7	68.0	66.1
160	61.0	61.2	62.3	65.2	69.5	73.9	76.2	78.3	79.8	80.9	81.1	79.5	76.4	70.0
200	58.9	62.6	66.3	69.9	73.0	75.0	75.9	76.8	77.9	79.9	86.7	80.8	79.1	70.4
250	62.5	66.9	70.2	72.6	74.0	74.1	73.0	71.3	71.0	75.1	77.8	79.0	78.4	76.3
315	66.5	68.9	70.4	70.8	70.7	72.7	75.1	77.9	79.3	80.0	78.7	77.4	75.4	75.9
400	69.2	69.6	66.5	69.0	71.8	74.2	75.U	75.1	77.0	80.7	81.9	81.0	77.9	71.9
500	66.3	66.1	67.0	65.4	71.1	72.5	74.7	76.3	78.7	20.3	30.2	79.3	76.6	75.2
630	62.6	66.1	67.9	69.0	70.1	72.3	74.6	76.7	77.8	79.3	78.8	77.8	74.5	72.9
1000 1250	65•9 64•2 63•9	66.8 65.2 64.9	68.6 67.6 65.9	65.9 69.1 68.2	71+4 70+4 69+8	73.1 71.8 71.1	74.8 73.1 72.3	76.5 74.8 73.5	77.6 75.7 74.9	78.0 76.3 75.1	76.9 75.2 74.2	75.1 73.5 71.5	72.1 69.9 68.0	70.7 68.6 65.7
1600	61•4	62.5	63.9	66.J	67.9	65.3	71.3	73.9	76.1	76.3	75.0	71.3	67.2	64.9
2000	59•7	60.5	61.3	63.7	66.2	68.8	70.9	73.4	75.1	75.2	73.7	70.4	66.9	54.8
2500	57•7	58.6	59.4	62.2	65.0	67.5	69.3	72.4	74.1	74.2	72.5	68.9	66.2	64.2
3150	55+8	57•7	59.2	63.0	68.5*	70.6*	71.2*	* 70.6	70.6	70.3	68.8	66.0	63.2	61.0
4000	52+8	54•5	57.5	60.4	63.9	66.0	66.7	67.4	67.9	68.0	67.6	64.8	62.0	59.7
50C0	46+2	49•4	52.9	56.4	60.6	63.8	65.7	67.3	70.6	71.8	71.5	69.9	66.7	63.4
6300 8000 10000	40.7	46.J 44.4	51.J 49.9	58+8 58-1* 46+2	65.6 63.5 53.2	69.9 65.7 56.4	70.9 65.d 57.6	72.1* 66.1 58.9	73.9 67.6 60.3	75.4* 59.0 61.5	75.6* 69.2 61.5	74.0* 67.3 59.9	70.5* 63.4 55.2	66•6* 53•7 50•2
OVERALL	77.4	78 • 4	79.5	81.1	d2.9	84.7	86+0	87.5	89.0	90-2	90-1	89.2	87.0	84.9
A-WTD	73.2	74 • 3	75.8	77.7	79.9	81.8	83+3	84.9	86.4	87-3	86-7	35.0	82.0	75.8
FNL	84.1	85 • 5	86.8	39.7	93.5	55.5	36+5	97.6	99.1	59-5	99-0	93.0	95.0	92.2
FNLT	84.1	85 • 5	86.3	90.4	95.0	96.8	97+6	98.5	100.0	100-7	100-5	98.9	96.0	93.1
ACO RNG	1040	909	785	870	569	487	435	412	424	462	510	585	661	742
OPT RNG	344	745	652	567	495	441	414	418	453	513	569	678	774	875

and the market of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the

TABLE C-7.5 (CONTINUED)
TYPICAL LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F$ = 35°) FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

STARTEAIMEPL	13 13	¥.000	MCDEL REG.	DC-9-31 N54638	rdn 22	tor 301	TEST DATE	2-01-75
1/3 0.0. GMF(F7)	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.5				PAGE 3
50 63 80	69.9 71.0 72.2	69.5 70.4 71.4	68.8 71.8 71.8	67.1 70.9 7C.8				
100 125 160	70.0 67.3 67.8	71.J 68.8 66.8	70.9 68.8 67.8	65.9 62.9 68.5				
200 250 315	71.1 73.4 74.5	66.7 65.5 13.0	65.7 66.7 70.0	64.9 64.0 67.0				
400 500 630	70.7 70.6 71.5	71.3 66.5 69.1	72.0 66.8 64.5	71.2 57.7 61.9				
800 1000 1250	67.6 66.3 63.2	66.1 64.9 61.8	65.6 62.7 60.6	ć4.2* 60.0 59.6			•	
16C0 2CC0 2500	61.9 61.7 50.9	60.1 59.7 59.6	58.8 58.7 57.6	57.5 57.4 56.5				
3150 4600 5000	56.5 56.0 59.6	55.0 54.6 57.5	53.4 52.8 55.7	52.3 51.3 53.3				
6300 8000 10000	61.5* 53.0	58•7 <b>*</b> 50•2	55.84 44.5	53.4				
OVERALL A-WTC PNL PNL ACC RNG OPT RNG	82.6 17.0 89.3 90.1 826 579	81.3 75.2 87.7 88.5 912	80.6 73.7 86.7 87.7 1331 1194	75.7 72.5 85.4 86.5 1091				

#### TABLE C-7.5 (CONCLUDED) TYPIGAL LANDING APPROACH ( $\delta_F$ = 35°) FLYOVER-NOISE DATA

DATA PROCESSED 04/04/75

PAGE 4

#### DATA DIGITIZED 2-3-75

MODEL DC-9-31 REG. NO. N54638 FLIGHT 20 RUN 44 MIC 6 LDC 10 TEST DATE 2-01-75 HIGHLIGHTS OF REF-WEA. FLYDVER NOISE LEVELS FOR LANDING APPROACH ED 137.5 KN, SLANT RANGE 412.3 FT. FOR TIME AT MIC 10 13 5.3 AVERAGE THRUST 3736.0 LBS PATH SPEED

	SPI 5 P	FOR PNLM	SPI IS F	CR PNLTM		- MAX 1/1	0.8. Sf	PL •S
	(ŤÍME 10	13 7.5)	(ŤÍME 1)	13 7.5)	MAX SPL*S	FOR CO	MPOŠĪTĒ	<b>PNĽ</b>
FRECUENCY	SP1 N	OISINESS	SPL N	DISINESS	1/3 0.8.	SPL N	<b>UISINES</b>	S AČU RNG
(FZ)	(DS)	(NOYS)	(CB)	(NCYS)	ĨĹDĒĴ	(DĒ)	(NOYS)	(FEET)
50	7.1.3	1.5	70.3	1.9	72.9			-
63	68.6	2.2	68.6	2.2	72.0	77.1	5.0	742
ăă	64.4	210	64.4	2.5	72.2			
100	68.2	3.6	68.2	3.6	71.5			
125	75.7	7.5	75.7	7.5	75.7	82.2	12.3	462
160	80.9	12.1	80.9	12.1	81.1		_	
200	79.9	12.9	79.9	12.9	80.8			
25 <b>0</b>	75-1	-9-5	75.1	5.9	79.0	84.1	18.5	585
315	80.J	14.ć	80.0	14.6	80.0			
400	86.7	16.8	80.7	16.8	¥1+9			<b>-</b> /-
500	80.3	16.4	80.3	16.4	80.3	85.3	23+1	518
630	79.3	15.2	79.3	15.2	79.3			
800	78.0	13.9	78.0	13.9	<u>[8.0</u>			
1000	76.3	12.4	76.3	12.4	<u>1</u> 6.3	81.4	11+0	402
1250	15-1	13.1	<u>[</u> <b>?</b> •1	13.1	<u> </u>			
1600	<u>{</u> <u></u>	18+3	15+1	18+7	42+3	00 1	27 4	467
2000	()•2	17.1	12+2		1202	00+L	21.0	402
4728	44•4	<u>{</u>	74+2	<u> </u>	51.5			
<b>4000</b>	68.0	14.6	68.0	14.8	68.0	75-1	24.0	462
5000	71.8	17.5	71.8	17.9	71.9		2100	10L
6300	75.4	21.3	75.4*	21.3	75.6			
8000	6.0	11.2	69-0	11.2	69-2	76.7	19-0	518
10000	61.5	5.4	61.5	5.4	61.5			
10000	0147	2 Q T	* BAND 280	DUCTNG TON	E COBŘĚČÍTON			
			· DANS FRO	2001-00 1000				
						PNLC =	99.9 P	NDB
						LĂŇ =	87.3 D	BA T
		*				DALL M	00 0 0	

OURATION FACTOR = -5.6 DB INTEGRATION TIME = 4.0 SECONDS (FAR PART 36 TO 1.0 SECOND) REF-WEA. EFFECTIVE PERCEIVED NOISE LEVEL, EPNL = 95.1 EPNDB PNLM = 99.9 PNDB PNLTM = 100.7 PNDB

#### APPENDIX D

#### Summary of Data Analyses

The data resulting from the processing and noise exposure analysis of the flyover-noise measurements are summarized in table D-1. The data resulting from the processing and lateral noise attenuation analysis of the flyover-noise measurements are summarized in table D-2. For the microphone locations not listed, data analyses were not performed because of unacceptable recorded noise or aircraft operational performance measurements.

Table D-1 is a listing of the measured data, the applied corrections and adjustments, and the resultant reference-day noise levels for the analyzed noise exposure flyover data.

The columns contain the following information:

- a. Flight Number
- b. Run Number
- c. Microphone Number
- d. Microphone Location
- e. Slant range, (ft) from measurement location to closest point of aircraft (CPA)
- f. Reference Weather (EPNdB) adjusted to reference day conditions (77°F and 70 percent relative humidity)
- g. Reference Weather Tone Correction (EPNdB) determined by FAR Part 36, Appendix B
- h. Tone Correction Frequency (Hz) center frequency of 1/3-octave band containing tone
- i. True Airspeed (kn) measured airspeed
- j. Reference Airspeed (KNOTS) airspeed to which data are normalized for plotting
- k. Airspeed Correction (EPNdB) EPNL adjustment to reference airspeed
- 1. Average  $F_N/\delta$  (LB) average thrust of two engines at time of PNLTM
- m. EPNL (adjusted) = f + g + k
- n. Reference Weather dB(A) neasured dB(A) adjusted to reference day conditions (77°F and 70 percent relative humidity).

# PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

Table D-2 is a listing of the measured data and the determined lateral noise attenuation for the flyover data analyzed.

The columns contain the same flyover and measurement information as table D-1, and the following additional data:

- a. Height (ft) altitude of the aircraft above the ground surface at time of CPA
- b. Elevation Angle ( $\beta$ ) Angle between ground surface and aircraft at CPA
- c. Sideline EPNL (EPNdB) EPNL as measured at sideline location
- d. Overhead EPNL (EPNdB) EPNL measured beneath a flyover at the same distance and power setting as the Sideline EPNL
- e. Lateral Attenuation (EPNdB) difference between overhead EPNL and Sideline EPNL.

Presented in table D-3 is a summary of the aircraft performance, spacepositioning, and ambient conditions at the flyover CPA for the 10-meter pole-mounted microphone data. This information was used to prepare an engine cycle deck for analysis of the acoustic data. Both 0.5 second and 1.5 second digital time averaging data are listed.

Table D-4 is a listing of the pseudotone adjustments made to the FAR Part 36 reference noise levels.

	/	' /	/ /		3		\$ x	Boomer		ē /0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		/ y 2
			8	. 00					0 14 0		Juec. I	(B)	
/	/	. /	, with the second secon	AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	AND STREET	y & /							
		<sup>8</sup> / 3	§ / §				¥ / Š	0. 9. 				22	
/ 🏹	/ ~	<u> </u>	*	<u> </u>	62	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1 2 2	48	<u> </u>	/ <del>*</del> *	
16	12	1	C6	2270 2270	87,8 97.1	0,8	315 315	175,3	180 180	-0.1 -0.1	9,426 13,869	87.0 96 1	76.5 84 9
	9	1	C6	2270	96.7	0.9	315	179.6	180	0	13,750	95.8	84.1
	10	1	C6	2270	97.0	0.8	315	178.3	180	0	13,876	96.2	85,1
	11	1	C6	2270	88,0	0,6	315	175.4	180	-0.1	9,026	87.4	76.9
ļ	16		C6	2270	87.3	0.5	315	174.4	180	-0.1	9,111	86.8	76.9
	17		C6 C6	2270	86.9 87 6	0,0 A A	315	175.0	180	-0.1	9,080	87.0	77.1
	19		C6	2270	87.2	0.5	160	174.7	180	-0.1	8,949	86.7	76.9
	20		C6	2270	86.8	0.6	315	176.1	180	-0.1	8,929	86.2	76.8
	21	1	C6	2270	87.5	0.6	315	175.5	180	-0,1	9,154	86.9	76.9
	22	1	C6	2270	88.7	0.8	315	176.4	180	-0.1	8,933	87.9	78,7
	23		C6	2270	88.9	1.0	315	178.5	180	<u> </u>	9,071	87.9	78.0
19	24	4	C4	550	97.0	0.5	8000	152.6	140	0.4	6,756	96.5	87.0
	25	4	C4	550	94.1	0.6	8000	132.9	140	-0.2	5,514	93.5	84.4
	27	4	C4	550	94.5	0.6	8000	136.4	140	-0.1	5,521	93.9	84.7
	28	4	C4	550	94.0	0.6	8000	138.3	140	-0.1	5,067	93.4	84.0
	29	4	C4 C4	550	93.4	0,0	8000	126.2	140	-0.5	5,200 5,196	93.6	84.1
	32	4	C4	550	94.4	0.0	315	136.5	140	-0.1	5,566	93.8	85.0
	33	4	C4	550	92.6	0.6	8000	135.6	140	-0.1	4,500	92.0	83.2
	34	4	C4	550	92,3	0,7	6300	138.1	140	-0.1	4,331	92,3	82.8
	35	4	C4	550	92.0	0.8	6300	142.8	140	0.1	3,963	92.0	83.1
	36	4	C4	550	91.5	1.0	6300	138.3	140	-0.1	3,543	91.5	82.2
	37	4	C4	550	92.2	1.3	5000	141.0	140	0	3,174	92.2	82.8
	30 38	4		550	94,7	0.6	5000	140.3	140	-01	2 746	94.1 91.0	81.6
					01.0						-,,		
	30	6	10	400	97.8	0.6	8000	140.2	140	0	5,558	97.2	89.7
	25	6	10	400	97.9	0.7	8000	132.1	140	-0.3	5,483	97.2	80.0
	27	6	10	400	96.7	0.0	8000	134.8	140	-0.1	5,059	96.1	88.5
	29	6	10	400	96.5	0.9	315	125.3	140	-0.5	5,225	95,6	88.7
	31	6	10	400	96.7	0.7	8000	134.1	140	-0.2	5,209	96.0	88.9
	32	6	10	400	97.3	0.6	8000	137.1	140	-0.1	5,517	96.7	89.5
	33	6	10	400	95,5	0.7	6300	137.6	140	-0.1	4,461	95,5	87,8
	34	6	10	1 400	95.4	0.7	6300	139.6	140		4,285	95.4	87,8 97 2
	35	6	10	400	94,8	0.8	6300	138,3	140	-0.1	3,973	94.8	866
	30	6	10	400	94.9	1.2	5000	139.9	140	0	3,199	95.1	85.6
	24	6	10	400	100.1	0	-	152.6	140	0.4	6,706	100,1	91.8
	38	6	10	400	93.1	1.4	5000	136.1	140	-0.1	2,737	93,1	84.4
	20	75	10	400	906	0.6	8000	125.4	140	-0.5	5 217	99.0	91.6
	30	7F	10	400	101.2	0.8	8000	140.2	140	0	5,558	100.4	92.7
	24	75	10	400	104.5	0,9	8000	11.2.6	140	0,4	6,706	103.6	94.9
	25	76	10	400	101.3	0.9	8000	102.1	140	-0.3	5,483	100,4	92.9
•	27	7F	10	400	100.9	0.5	8000	135.7	140	-0.1	5,508	100.4	92.5

TABLE D-1 SUMMARY OF DC-9 REFAN AIRCRAFT NOISE EXPOSURE ANALYSIS

ORIGINAL PAGE IS . OF POOR QUALITY

Brazel Latter Row Brancher

「ない」というないないにないというときを

Or Of

Terenter Construction of the second s 40.000 WEATING REFERENCE - MEERICE MEERICE MEERICE MEERICE TRUE 41920EE OF HOUSE MUCOONNO CONTRACTOR 14 14 Safe Clow PEErence Measured REFERENCE ALROSEED - PONE COMPECTION FREQUENCETTON 4 4 6 for a construction of the construction o Sawrande (11) MICROPHONE NO l ELGHT NO ( \*UNNO 10 400 100.4 0.6 8000 134.8 140 -0.2 5.059 99.8 91.4 19 28 7F 140 5,209 99,5 91,9 31 7E 10 400 100.0 0.5 8000 134.1 -0.2 8000 140 5,513 92.3 32 7F 10 400 100.4 0.5 137.1 -0,1 99.9 4,460 33 7F 10 400 98.8 0.7 8000 137.6 140 ---0,1 98.1 91.1 90.5 7F 10 400 98.7 1.0 2500 139.7 140 0 4,278 98,7 34 35 7F 10 400 97.6 0.7 6300 138.3 140 -0.1 3,973 97.6 90,2 5000 3,522 89.3 7F 400 97.1 138.1 140 -0,1 97.1 36 10 1.1 400 97.9 5000 138,9 140 3,199 97.9 88.8 37 7F 10 1.4 0 7F 400 1.5 5000 136,1 140 2,737 96.5 87.5 38 10 96.5 -0.181.8 C6 800 92.2 0.8 315 140.9 140 0 5,628 91.4 30 1 140 6,766 83.7 C6 800 95.1 0.7 315 150.5 0.3 94.4 24 1 140 5,522 81.6 C6 800 92,1 0.7 315 135.6 -0.1 91.4 25 1 5,090 81.3 28 1 CG 800 91.2 0.7 315 138.8 140 **D** 90.5 800 0.7 315 128.7 140 -0.4 5,269 90.0 80.8 29 1 C6 90.7 800 91.1 500 137.9 140 -0.1 5,236 89,8 80.9 31 1 C6 1.3 83.2 C6 800 93.4 0.5 315 132.3 140 -0.2 5,683 92.9 32 1 4,544 79.4 1 C6 800 89.0 1.0 2500 138.9 140 0 89.0 33 4,307 80.3 **C**6 800 90,5 1.0 500 142.2 140 0.1 89.5 34 1 6300 4.036 89.4 79.5 35 C6 800 89.4 0.7 140.3 140 0 1 0.8 315 132.7 140 -0.2 3,653 87.1 78.6 36 C6 800 87.9 1 135.9 3,244 87.0 78.6 37 1 C6 800 88.1 1.1 500 140 -0.1 92.5 0.7 315 138.1 140 -0.1 5,559 91.8 80,8 27 C6 800 1 38 C6 800 86.3 0.9 6300 134,7 140 -0.2 2,770 86.3 76.5 1 6300 3,753 92.6 83.9 20 2 C4 550 92,6 0.8 141.4 140 0 46 315 3,858 92.0 84,4 47 2 C4 550 92.8 0.8 138.8 140 0 2 C4 550 94.8 0.7 160 145,8 140 0,2 5,427 94.1 85,0 51 2 C4 93.8 0.8 315 151.7 140 4,583 93.0 85.3 43 550 0.3 C4 0.9 83.8 2 6300 3,776 92.0 48 560 92.0 134.0 140 -0.249 2 C4 550 92.9 0.7 6300 138.4 140 -0.1 4,030 92.9 84.1 2 C-1 550 92.1 1.1 6300 143.4 140 0.1 3,041 92.1 82.8 52 2 C4 928 0.8 315 144.6 140 0.1 4,064 92.0 84.6 50 550 6,847 C6 0.6 315 94.1 83.5 1 800 94.7 154.7 140 04 40 315 6,123 92.9 82.3 41 1 C6 800 93.5 0.6 146,7 140 0.2 141.6 89.4 6300 140 3,163 89.4 79.4 42 1 C6 800 1.0 0 1 Ċ6 800 89.6 0,7 6300 140.2 140 0 3,792 89.6 79.9 44 46 1 C6 800 90.1 0,8 315 141,2 140 0 3,785 89.3 80.2 C6 800 90.4 0,7 315 0 89.7 81.0 1 139,9 3,852 47 140 C6 800 90,5 0.8 315 140.0 0 4,084 89.7 80.8 49 1 140 89.9 90.7 0.8 4,067 C6 800 315 143,9 0.1 81 2 50 1 140 1 **C**6 800 92.3 0.7 315 145.3 140 0.2 5,487 91.6 82,4 51 1 C6 800 89,0 0.8 315 149.7 140 0.3 3,023 88.2 79.8 52 89.2 80.5 48 1 C6 800 89,2 0.8 6300 -0.1 3,798 137.i 140 40 2 C4 550 97,0 0.6 315 154.2 140 0.4 6,933 96.4 87.1 2 144,5 C4 6,082 94.8 86.1 550 95.5 0.7 315 140 0.1 41

TABLE D-1 (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF DC-9 REFAN AIRCRAFT NOISE EXPOSURE ANALYSIS

Ô.

ŌĮ
					<u> </u>					·,		· /		
		/	/	/ /	· /			<u>@</u> /						
			' /		æ /		ã/a	2 <sup>2</sup> /		≈ /~	2		/ 44	ã/a /
				18	\$ /		2 / 4	£/	/ ô	- / y	12	/	/ 3	§ / ¥ /
				18		/ 🦉	158	1.8	1 3	18	18	હે 🤅	1 2 2	15 /
	/		/ 20.	/ 0	/ ぎ /	' <u>-</u>	145	1 8 2 1	0	181	5 /	~~~/	531	
,		/	1 4	/ ພ /	" d" /	48 1	1 4 4 /	1 A.	4	45 /	S /	ا تخرر	£8 /	4
	/ <sup>ب</sup> کد		' ই /	§ /	\$ / 3	≥.œ /	<u> </u>	£¥ /	å /	£ / £	ວັ /	ω / .	QS / :	ž /
	£ / .	oʻ / .	\$ / .	₹/.	8 / 8	2 / 2	98/0	5/ 3	7 / 2	ÿ ja / jä	2/ 5	r / Ş	2 / 3	. /
/ č	<u>د / ۶</u>	₹ / å		ੇ / ਵ		7 / 4	¥ / ¥	9/ 4	14	ç / Ş	5 / 3	/ నే	7 / 5	हे /
12	12	1 30	1 8	15	/ 43	1 42	1 22	18	188	। २१	1 2	/ 73	/ दे हैं	
$\vdash$		$\sum$	<u> </u>	L	/	L	/	/	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			l
20	42	2	64	550	91.6	1.3	5000	136.5	140	-01	3 168	91.6	828	[- 
	44	2	C4	550	92.3	08	6300	139.8	140	0	3 792	92.3	83.6	
	<u> </u>													
	39	6	10	400	100.0	0.6	160	151.7	140	0.3	6 4 4 0	99.4	914	
	40	6	10	400	100.9	10	315	152.5	140	04	6 9 3 1	99.9	92.0	
	41	6	10	400	99.1	0.6	315	143.5	140	0.1	6.068	98.5	91.1	
[	44	6	10	400	95.1	0.8	6300	137.5	140	-0.1	3,763	95.1	87.6	
1	46	6	10	400	95.7	0.9	315	137.8	140	-0.1	3,753	94.8	87.9	
1	49	6	10	400	96.1	0.8	6300	138.8	140	0	3,994	96.1	87.6	l
	43	6	10	400	97.1	0.8	315	150.8	140	0.3	4,604	96.3	88.9	
1	48	6	10	400	95.3	0.8	315	135.1	140	-0.2	3,756	94.5	87.7	
1	42	6	10	400	94,2	1.3	5000	131.5	140	-0.3	3,205	94.2	86.9	
1	51	6	10	400	97.7	0.6	200	141.9	140	0,1	5,441	97.1	89.6	
	52	6	10	400	93,8	1,1	5000	139.9	140	0	3.059	93,8	85.4	
1	50	6	10	400	95.6	1.1	500	142.5	140	0,1	4,038	94.5	87.0	
)								·	<u>}</u>		<u> </u>	h		
•	39	7F	10	400	102.6	0	- 1	151.7	140	0.3	6,440	102.6	94,7	
	40	7F	10	400	104.0	0	-	152.5	140	0.4	6,928	104,0	95,5	
(	41	7F	10	400	101.9	0	-	143,5	140	0,1	6,066	101.9	94.3	
1	44	7F	10	400	98.7	0.8	6300	137.5	140	-0.1	3,764	98,7	91.0	
}	46	7F	10	400	98.7	0.8	6300	137.9	140	-0.1	3,739	98.7	91.0	
ļ	49	7F	10	400	99.3	0.6	6300	139.0	140	0	3,990	99.3	90.9	
J	51	7F	10	400	100.7	0	-	141.9	140	0.1	5,434	100,7	92.8	
1	52	7F	10	400	97.1	1.1	5000	139.9	140	0	3,064	97.1	88.9	
[	43	7F	10	400	100,0	0.6	6300	150,8	140	0,3	4,604	100,0	91.6	
ł	48	7F	10	400	98.6	0.7	6300	135.1	140	-0,2	3,756	98.6	91.0	
1	42	7F	10	400	97.3	1.2	5000	131.4	140	0.3	3,195	97.3	89.7	
ļ	50	7F	10	400	98,3	0.7	6300	142.5	140	0.1	4,030	98,3	90.5	
<u> </u>									<u> </u>	·				1
21	53	6	10	1000	104.2	0.5	315	180.7	180	0	13,602	103.7	95.3	
l	54	6	10	1000	104,3	0.6	315	181.8	180	0	13,507	103.7	94.7	
1	55	6	10	1000	103.9	0.5	160	179.7	180	0	13,467	103,4	95.0	ĺ
	56	6	10	1000	102.3	0.5	160	180.0	180	0	12,643	101.8	92.9	
ł	57	6	10	1000	101.9	0,6	160	178.6	180	0	12,593	101,3	93,5	
j	59	6	10	1000	100.5	0.7	160	178,5	180	0	11,860	99.8	91.6	
1	60	6	10	1000	100,3	0.6	315	179.5	180	0	11,924	99.7	91.3	
1	61	6	10	1000	98.7	0.6	315	179.2	180	0	11,024	98.1	89.9	
1	62	6	10	1000	97,3	0.6	160	179.4	180		10,640	96.7	88.1	
1	60	25	00	2202	07.0			101.0	100		13 100	07.0	07.4	1
1	50	27		2200	3/.0	0	200	101.2	100	0	12,100	57.0	01.4 0E 0	ł
1	50			2200	20,3 20,3	0.9	200	101.3	100	<b>7</b>	12017	05/.4	00.9	ł
	50			2200	04.1	0,9	515	100.2	100		12,027	02.4	00.0	l
	60		00	2200	34.2	1.1	210	100,1	100	0	12,034	020	04.7	Í
1	60			2200	94.0	1.0	510	101,2	100	, v	12,102	93.0	04.3	i
1	60			2200	93,3	1.1	210	170.2	100	0	10.762	92,2	01.2	
ł				2200	92.3 007		215	179.3	100	n n	13 660	07.2	91.0	ł
1	66			2200	98./	10.9	210	175.0	190	Å	12 421	0.10	07.0	1
ļ	57		00	2200	0,0	1.0	215	170.0	100	۰ م	12 704	0,0 0/7	00.0 QA A	l
<b>h</b>	L	<b>_</b>		2200	30,7		315	1/9.0		l	12,704			ł

the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

TABLE D-1 (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF DC-9 REFAN AIRCRAFT NOISE EXPOSURE ANALYSIS

.

i

į.

0 0

÷.,

ŧ

and and

	/	$ \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $		$\overline{7}$		_7		(ale			1	7	1	$\sim$
					5		A LAND	2 3	/ <sup>1</sup> 20		L. S.	4	E E C	HER
				/ <sup>0</sup>	<u>"</u>		E E E	L'AN L'AN L'AN	E.	A143	Que e		E BUE	E ME
	2 <sup>30'</sup>	o' /	10 74	and a	Real Providence		<u> 8</u> 8 / 8					yy / 2	Real Providence	S. /
Eres .				25	We Fe			, July and a	Ref.			2	1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	r /
21	53	3	11	500	108.4	0,6	125	178.3	180	0	13,717	107.8	100.7	(
	54	3	11	500	107.6	0.6	200	182.1	180	0	13,539	107.0	100,3	
	55	3	11	500	108,3	0,6	315	180.0	180	0	13,497	107,7	100.5	
	56	3	11	500	106.5	8.0	315	181.8	180	0	12,657	105.7	99.0	
	57	3	11	500	106.5	0,8	315	181,2	180	0	12,581	105.7	99.8	
	59	3	11	500	105,4	0.7	315	181,2	180	0	11,814	104.7	100,0	
	60 61	3	11	500	104.0	0.0	215	100.1	180		10,000	104.0	90.2	
	67	2	11	500	103.1	0.0	160	177.7	180	_01	10,557	102,5	97.9	
					102.0				100		10,000			
22	65	21-	C6	2200	101.9			176.7	180	-0.1	14,074	101,9		
	60	25	06	2200	98.4	1.4	500	176.7	180		14,052	97.3	07.3	
	60	20	C6	5750	93,1			180.0	180	n n	14,040	010		
	70	1	C6	4700	817	13	500	174 1	180	_01	9 767	80.4	71.6	
	70	2F	C6	4700	85.6	0.5	315	173.8	180	-0.2	9,774	85.1	-	
	72	1	C6	3900	80.7	3.0	500	174.3	180	0.1	8,357	80.7	69.5	
	74	2F	C6	3900	85,3	1.0	-	173.3	180	-0,2	8,091	64.1		
	73	1	C6	3800	8∜.6	1.1	500	176.0	180	-0.1	9,712	83.5	74,8	
	75	2F	C6	4100	98.1	1.6	3150	173.0	180	<b>⊸0.2</b>	13,871	98.1	-	
	75	1	C6	4100	91.8	1.2	315	173.0	180	-0,2	13,879	90.6	82.3	
	77	1	C6	2500	87.0	1,2	315	175.6	180	-0.1	8,642	85.8	76.5	
	77	2F	C6	2500	90.3	1.0	800	175.6	180	-0.1	8,647	90.3		
	65	3	11	500	109.1	0.6	315	172.2	180	-0.2	13,787	108.5	102.0	
	65	6	10	1000	105.1	1.0	315	175.6	180	0.1	13,848	104.1	95,9	
}	77	3	11	1400	92.2	0,5	315	174,5	180	-0.1	8,470	91.7	84.0	
	77	6	10	1800	90.8	0.6	315	174.8	180	-0,1	8,576	90,2	80.3	
	79	6	10	1500	88.8	1,0	315	175.2	180	0,1	6,981	87.8	79,3	
	82		11	1500	88.8	0.5	160	173.1	180	-0,2	7,060	88.3	80.6	
	79	3	11	1200	90,	0.6	315	174,0	180	-0,1	5,945	90,1	02.7	
1	19 97		10	1700	00.3 99.2	1.1	4000	175.0	190	0.1 0.1	7,011	88.2	70.0	
	82	1	C6	2300	85.2	12	315	173.6	1 180	-0.7	7,050	84.0	74.7	
ł	82	2F	C6	2:300	88.8	1.3	800	173.9	180	-0.1	7.175	88.8		
	83	1	C6	2500	83.8	0.9	315	173.1	180	-0.2	7,277	82,9	74.9	
	66	1	C6	6000	87,4	1.3	500	180,0	180	0	13,995	86,1	74.1	
	69		66	5750	88.2	1.0	400	180.0	180	0	14,216	87.2	75.3	
	67	1	C6	5350	91.3	1.1	315	180.0	180	0	13,933	90.2	75.4	
í	66	6	10	4500	91.5	1.2	315	180.0	180	0	13,897	90.3	77.4	
	69	6	10	4500	92,0	1,0	315	180,0	180	í O	14,006	91.0	78.7	
	66			4000	92.4	0.7	315	180,0	180		13,881	91,7	78.5	
ł	09	2		4000	92.9		315	180,0	1 180		13,994	91,9	79.5	}
ļ	70	0 2	10	3000	04.8 97.4	0.8	215	100.0	180		9,/20	04,0	71 1	l
]	74	1		3000	817	1.9	500	180.0	180		8,219	01.0 80.4	70.6	
	74	a l	10	3900	81.2	0		180.0	180	ň	8 0 28	81.2	66.2	
	67	6	10	3850	93.2	1.1	315	180.0	180	ŏ	13,956	92.1	79.5	

ويروحهم والمروح والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والم

TABLE D-1 (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF DC-9 REFAN AIRCRAFT NOISE EXPOSURE ANALYSIS

	/	/	T		3		THE A	ON FEW	No.	Ref O	CTION	à	ENCE	111 ES
/	Ö	9   .	ONE NO	Partonie (OC	Rew CE	HERCE EPWL	COREWE	DUE WCY	IL SOFE	TSINCE AIR.	TS;O CORRE	ET. N'ALL	THEA REE EPNE REM	ace me
/ i				1			\$ <u>,</u> 5 4		Ref.	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A VE	A CO		
22	70	3	11	3800	89.5	0.7	315	180.0	180	0	9,738	88.8	78.7	
1	72	3	11	3350	95,1 88,4	0.7	315	180.0	180	0	8,191	94.0 87,7	78.4	
	74	3	11	3250	82.8	0		180.0	180	0	7,992	82.8	69.7	
23	87	3	11	350	102.4	0.6	160	184.6	180	0.1	9,355	101,8	96.7	
	86	3		350	110.3	0.5	125	159.5	180	0,5 0.5	13,636	109.8	104,4	
	85	3	10	400	109,0	0.0	1 215	171 /	180	-0.5	13,501	108.2	101.7	
}	86	6	10	400	108.9	0.5	400	167.7	180	-0.3	13 581	108.3	101.6	
	87	6	10	400	101.5	0.8	400	187.7	180	0.2	9,306	100.7	95.5	
	90	3	11	400	102.4	0.8	315	172.7	180	-0.2	9,368	101.6	95.7	
	90	6	10	400	102.6	0.7	315	174.1	180	-0.1	9,383	101,9	95.4	
	91	3	11	400	102,5	0,9	315	166.5	180	-0,3	9,474	101.6	96.2	
- {	91	6	10	450	101.0	0	-	175.0	180	0,1	9,498	101.0	94.6	
	90	1	C6	500	101.3	1.0	315	175.4	180	0.1	9,367	100.3	93.6	
	90	2F	C6	500	104.8	0	-	175.4	180	-0,1	9,358	104.8	97.2	
ļ	84	3	11	540	108.0	0	-	172.9	180	-0.2	13,756	108,0	01.5	
	91		C6	580	100,7			176.4	180	0,1	9,439	99.6	91.5	
· ·	91	21		600	09.5	0	216	176.4	180	-0.1	9,413	07 7	916	
	87	25	C6	600	101.6	0.0		176.8	180	-0.1	9 475	101.6	94.9	
	85	1 1	C6	800	102.6	11	315	174.6	180	-0.1	13,418	101.5	93.6	
	85	2F	CG	800	105.5	o	-	174.6	180	-0.1	13,440	105.5	97.1	
	86	1	C6	800	102,5	0.9	315	173.9	180	-0.2	13,545	101.6	94.0	
	86	2F	C6	800	106.0	0	_	173.9	180	0.2	13,545	106.0	97.8	
j	84	6	10	1000	105,2	0,7	315	174.9	180	-0.1	13,891	104.5	96.1	
25	95	1	C6	2400	84,0	0.8	400	148.8	140	0.3	5,919	83.2	70.8	
Į	96	1	C6	2400	83,5	1,1	2000	151.2	140	0.3	5,872	83.5	70,4	1
1	<b>j</b> 97	1	C6	2400	83.0	1.1	315	150.3	140	0.3	5,580	81,9	70.7	
	98	1	C6	2400	82.1	0.5	200	150,5	140	0.3	5,347	81.6	70.6	
1	101		C6	1810	82.5	0.8	400	148.4	140	0.3	3,455	81.7	70.2	[
Ì	102		C6	1810	82.0	0.7	315	143.3	140	0.1	3,092	81.3	60.0	
	103		C6	1810	81.0		400	139.7	140		2,957	81.0	09,9	
	104			1810	81.0	1.3	400	143.5	140	0.1	3,173	80.3	70.5	
)	105		00	1810	80.8	25	5000	140.1	140	0.2	2 127	80.5	70.8	}
	100	i	C6	1810	83.3	0,8	315	151.8	140	0.4	3,926	82.5	70.6	
	107	2	C4	1220	84.0	0	_	145.9	140	0.2	2,084	84.0	74.9	
1	101	2	C4	1220	85.5	0.5	250	149,3	140	0.3	3,514	85.0	74.4	
	100	2	C4	1220	86.6	0,5	250	151.6	140	0.3	3,892	86.1	75,1	ł
	102	2	C4	1220	85.5	0.8	315	144,0	140	0,1	3,055	84.7	73.3	1
ļ	103	2	C4	1220	83.5	U	-	139.7	140	0	2,918	83.5	73.2	
1	104	2	C4	1220	84.7	2.3	630	145.5	140	0.2	3,133	84.7	/1.4	
1	1 105	2		1220	85.1	0.5	160	1 05,3	140	0.2	3,066	84.6	/4,1	1
	1 106	<u>-</u>		1220	84,6	0,5	250	1 18.0	140	0.3	3,1/3	84.1	1	ł

TABLE D-1 (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF DC-9 REFAN AIRCRAFT NOISE EXPOSURE ANALYSIS

See Street

4

# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

1

「「日日」

un and a second s	On the	mc.	MICH NO	States Cocarie	Renter (I) UC	REEENLEENLEE	TONE CONREMENTING	TRUE ALL	REFERENCE OFFWOR	Alforer Alforer al	<sup>4</sup> VERACTION	10. 16. 1. 16. 1. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	REFERENCE	and ance mean the
25	107	6	10	1060	85,9	1,5	5000	145.6	140	0.2	2,045	85,9	77.2	
	100	6	10	1060	88.6	0.8	315	151.5	140	0.3	3,871	87,8	77.9	ĺ
26	112	2	C4	1220	84,3	1.5	10,000	142,1	140	0.1	2,260	84.3	72.6	
	109	2	C4	1220	82.9	0	<del>-</del> '	144.8	140	0.1	1,391	82.9	72.5	1
	110	2	C4	1220	84.1	1.2	5000	142.0	140	0.1	1,876	84.1	73.1	
	108	2	C4	1220	85.0	0,5	125	147,3	140	0.2	3,183	84.5	73.9	
	112	1	C6	1810	80,3	2.6	800	142.4	140	0.1	2,257	80.3	67.7	
	108	1	C6	1810	81.0	0.7	315	147,0	140	<b>0.2</b>	3,467	80.3	71.2	
	109	1	C6	1810	79.2	3,4	500	144.8	140	0,1	1,399	79.2	68.7	
	110	1	C6	1810	79.7	2,9	800	141.4	140	0	1,894	79.7	68.4	1
	112	6	10	1060	84.8	1.3	5000	144.4	140	0.1	2,231	84.8	75,8	
	108	6	10	1060	86.8	1.2	5000	148.5	140	0,3	3,033	86.8	75.1	
	109	6	10	1060	85.3	1.4	4000	145.2	140	0.2	1,368	85,3	74.6	
	110	6	10	1060	85.8	2.0	5000	144.5	140	0,1	1,870	85,8	76.4	

# TABLE D-1 (CONCLUDED) SUMMARY OF DC-9 REFAN AIRCRAFT NOISE EXPOSURE ANALYSIS

;

Statistical Strategy

FLIGHT	RUN	міс		F <sub>N</sub> /b (LB)	SLANT RANGE '(ft)	HEIGHT (ft)	β (DEG)	SIDELINE EPNL (EPNdB)	OVERHEAD EPNL (EPNdB)	LATERAL ATTENUATION EPNdB)
16	21	7	S18	13,762	2204	1597	46.4	95.2	96.6	1,4
1	9	7	\$18	13.641	2154	1527	45.2	94.2	96,5	2.3
Į	11	7	S18	13.620	2203	1595	46.4	94.8	96.3	1.5
1	9	9	S16	13,476	1775	915	31.0	93.1	97.8	4.7
	9	10	S20	13,449	1775	926	31.5	95,5	97.8	2.3
1	10	9	516	13.746	1811	982	32.8	95.5	98,1	2,6
1	10	10	S20	13,756	1811	993	33.3	96.0	98.1	2,1
1	1 11	9	516	13,521	1789	941	31.7	94.7	97.8	3.1
ļ	11	10	S20	13.521	1789	951	32.1	95.4	97,8	2.4
	12	10	S20	13,404	1802	977	32.8	96.4	97.6	1,2
	12	9	S16	13,420	1802	966	32.4	94,3	97.6	3.3
	13	9	516	13,764	1796	954	32.1	94.9	98.2	3.3
1	13	10	\$20	13,770	1796	964	32.5	96.7	98.2	1.5
	15	9	516	13 605	1876	1098	35.8	95.4	97.6	2,2
	15	10	\$20	13,552	1876	1108	36.2	96.6	97.5	0.9
	16	9	S16	13 485	1798	958	32.2	94.2	97.7	3.5
1	16	10	\$20	13 503	1798	968	32.6	95.6	97.7	2,1
	17	ģ	516	13,506	1774	912	30.9	94.1	97.9	3.8
	17	10	520	13 490	1774	922	31.3	95.3	97.8	2.5
ļ	18	q	516	13 586	1754	872	29.8	94.6	98.1	3.5
1	18	10	\$20	13 589	1754	882	30.2	95.1	98.1	3.0
1	10		516	13 736	1725	814	28.2	95.9	98.4	2.5
1	10	10	510 620	12767	1725	878	28.7	95.4	98.5	31
	20		S16	13,702	1773	910	30.0	95.4	98.1	27
[	20	10	\$20	12672	1773	910	31.2	971	98.1	10
1	20	a	S16	12 706	1766	807	30.6	94.7	98.2	40
[	21	10	S10	12 706	1766	007	20.0	961	98.2	21
1	22		520	12 670	1706	772	26.0	96.6	98.4	18
	22	1 10	510	12 670	1706	782	20.5	95.8	98.4	26
ļ	22		S16	13 668	1665	678	240	96.3	98.6	23
	23	10	\$10	12674	1665	688	24.0	96.2	98.6	2.0
1	23		S10	12 620	1618	546	10.7	96.9	98.8	19
1	9	1 12	519	12 724	1694	A42	16.2	945	99.1	46
1	10		519	12 925	2220	1645	47.3	97.0	96.6	-0.4
		1 12	510	12760	1560	246	128	91.0	99.3	4.5
{	10	1 1 1	S10	12762	1500	467	17.1	97.2	99.1	1.0
	11	11	519	13,702	1588	454	16.6	56.4	98.8	24
1	1	1 12	50	111608	1559	337	12.5	94.5	98.9	44
	1 12	1 5	518	9917	2193	1582	46.2	92.7	88.6	-5.9
1	12	1 11	510	13 433	1584	440	161	95.5	98.6	31
ļ	12	12		13 392	1554	318	118	92.8	98.7	5.9
	13	7	518	13.852	2197	1587	46.3	96.1	96.7	0.6
1	1 17	1 11	510	13 780	1580	424	15.6	94.2	99.2	5.0
	1 12	12	Sn Sn	13 720	1550	307	11 2	917	99.2	7.5
1	15	1 7	518	13 648	2319	1752	49.1	96.2	96.0	0.2
	15	1 11	\$19	13 556	1612	530	19.2	95.9	98.7	2.8
1	15	1 12	so	13 537	1671	394	145	92.4	98.8	6.4
	16	7	519	11 570	2208	1603	46.6	92.8	92.4	-0.4
}	16	1 11	510	13 407	1569	379	14.0	93.6	98.6	50
	1 16	1 12	515	13 320	1544	268	10.0	923	98.6	63
1	17	1 7	S19	9.227	2166	1544	45.5	91.5	87.8	6.3
	1 17	1 11	c10	13 /14	1660	244	127	91.0	98.7	48
1	1 17	1 12	en	12 277	1627	226	96	50.5	98.6	83
	1 10	1 5	610	12 470	2102	1500	46.7	01.0	96.5	47
1	1 10	1 1	1 310	1 13,079	1 4100	1 1002	1 70.4	1 21.0	1	1 70

TABLE D-2 SUMMARY OF LATERAL NOISE ATTENUATION ANALYSIS

DATA OF DOUBTFUL VALIDITY

Section and a section of the section

4

والمراجعة أكتنتها متراد

FLIGHT	RUN	міс	LOCATION	F <sub>N</sub> /6 (LB)	SLANT RANGE (ft)	HEIGHT (ft)	(DEG)	SIDELINE EPNL (EPNdB)	OVERHEAD EPNL (EPNdB)	LATERAJ ATTENUATION (EPNdB)
16	18	12	SO	13,360	1535	216	8,1	90.6	98.7	8,1
	19	7	S18	9,699	216 <del>9</del>	1548	45.5	93.5	88.3	-5.2*
	19	11	S19	13,556	1552	309	11,5	94.7	99,0	4.3
	19	12	S0	13,488	1536	220	8.2	91.8	98. <del>9</del>	7.1
	20	7	S18	9,187	2211	1607	46.6	92.2	87,2	5.0*
	20	11	S19	13,454	1550	299	11,1	94.2	98,8	4.6
	20	12	SO	13,390	1531	182	6.8	92,9	98.8	5.9
	21	11	S19	13,485	1547	287	10.7	95.2	98.9	3.7
	21	12	SO	13,523	1530	177	6.6	92.0	99.0	7.0
	22	7	518	13,717	2096	1444	43.6	94.7	96.9	2.2
	22	11	S19	13,486	1532	186	7.0	93.1	98,9	5.8
	22	12	50	13,313	1524	119	4.5	92.0	98.7	6./
	23		518	9,188	2090	1436	43.4	95.3	87,8	-7.5
	23	12	519	13,000	1520	90	5.2	92.9	99.2	6.3
	23	12	30	13,440	1522			92.2	50.9	0.7
19	25	5	518	5,479	1598	496	18,1	82.0	85,7	3.7
	27	5	\$18	5,544	1603	513	18,7	83.8	85.8	2.0
	27	10	S20	5,517	1551	322	12.0	83.8	86.0	2.2
	34	9	S16	4,266	1560	351	13.0	78,4	84.4	6.0
	34	10	\$20	4,269	1560	363	13,5	79.1	84,4	5.3
	35	5	S18	3,972	1608	529	19.2	79.9	83.7	3.8
	36	9	S16	3,528	1565	372	13.8	77.7	83.5	5,8
	24	5	S18	6,753	1605	517	18.8	86.8	87.4	0.6
	25	10	S20	5,500	1554	334	12.4	80.9	86.0	5,1
	28	5	518	5,034	1588	463	17.0	81.4	85,2 95 r	3.8
	28	9	516	5,055	1543	265	9.9	/9,9	0,00 2,20	5.6
	20		520	5,071	1545	400	10.3	90.4	85.0 95.4	4.3
	25		516	5,200	1553	320	11.0	79.6	85.7	5.0
	20	10	520	5 744	1553	331	12.3	79.2	85.7	65
	31	5	S18	5 183	1614	546	19.8	80.3	85.2	4.9
	31	9	S16	5.213	1556	333	12.4	80.1	85.6	5.5
	31	10	S20	5 176	1556	345	12.8	79.9	85.6	5.7
	33	5	S18	4.521	1616	552	20.0	79.3	84.4	5.1
	33	9	S16	4,454	1559	349	12, <del>9</del>	79.8	84.6	4.8
	33	10	S20	4,473	1559	361	13.4	78,7	84,7	6.0
	35	9	S16	3,946	1562	358	13.3	77.9	84,0	6.1
	35	10	S20	3,956	1562	370	13.7	76.5	84,0	7.5
	36	5	S18	3,541	1630	591	21,3	79.7	83.1	3.4
	36	10	S20	3,546	1500	384	14.2	77.9	83.5	5.6
	37	5	S18	3,174	1582	442	16.2	77.5	82.9	5.4
	37	9	S16	3,180	1540	248	9.3	76.0	83.2	7.2
	37	10	S20	3,180	1540	261	9,8	75.0	83.2	8.2
	34	5	S18	4,347	1609	531	19.3	80.6	84.2	3.6
	25	9	S16	5,331	1554	322	12.0	80.4	85.8	5.4
	38	5	S18	2,755	1591	474	17.3	76.8	82.4	5.6
	38	9	S16	2,753	1548	292	10.9	74.8	82.7	7.9
	38	10	S20	2,726	1548	304	11.3	75.3	82.6	7.3
	28	11	3N	5,081	2617	332	7,3	74.3	80,3	6.0
	31		3N	5,194	2626	406	8.9	75.9	80.4	4,5
	29		3N	5,288	2624	395	8.7	72.2	80.6	8.4
	34	11	3N 3N	4,280	2628	418	9.2 9.3	/3,5 72,1	79.1 78.7	5.6 6.6

## TABLE D-2 (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF LATERAL NOISE ATTENUATION ANALYSIS

ŧ

\*DATA OF DOUBTFUL VALIDITY

1.1

FLIGHT	RUN	MIC		F <sub>N</sub> /6 (LB)	SLANT RANGE (ft)	HEIGHT (ft)	β (DEG)	SIDELINE EPNL (EPNdB)	OVERHEAD EPNL (EPNdB)	LATERAL ATTENUATION (EPNdB)
19	37	11	3N	3,193	2615	317	7,0	69.6	77,7	8.1
	30	11	3N	5,655	2626	408	8,9	76.2	80.9	4.7
	24	11	3N	6,694	2623	386	8.5	82.6	82.6	0
1	25	11	3N	5,491	2624	394	8,6	75,5	80.8	5.3
	30	5	S18	5,555	1610	535	19.4	82.3	85.7	3.4
	30	9	S16	5,572	1557	338	12,5	81.5	86.1	4.6
	30	10	\$20	5,546	1557	350	13.0	81.5	86.0	4.5
[	27	9	S16	5,511	1551	310	11.5	82.9	86.0	3.1
	38	11	3N	2,753	2619	352	7.7	69.4	77.1	7.7
20	44	3	S18	3,792	1600	502	18.3	80.4	83.6	3.2
	44	9	\$16	3,778	1556	332	12.3	78.9	83.8	4.9
	44	10	\$20	3,795	1556	344	12.8	78.8	83.8	5.0
	46	3	S18	3,752	1601	505	18.4	81.1	83.5	2.4
	46	9	S16	3,730	1559	349	12,9	78.8	83.8	5.0
	46	10	S20	3,736	1559	361	13.4	78.3	83.8	5,5
	50	3	S18	4,075	1602	508	18.5	82.3	83.9	1.6
	50	9	S16	4,048	1562	362	13.4	79.2	84.1	4.9
	50	10	S20	4,043	1562	3/4	13.9	/9.8	84.1	4.3
	43	3	518	4,582	1606	527	19.2	83.0	04.5	1,5
	43	1 10	510	4,000	1550	255	12.7	79.6	84.8	4.4 5.2
	43		520	4,555	1604	517	18.8	80.6	835	
	40	0	516	3,771	1554	325	12.1	77 1	83.8	6.7
	40	10	520	3,775	1554	337	12.5	77.9	83.8	5.9
ſ	47	11	3N	3,798	2627	412	9.0	72.9	78.5	5.6
	49	11	3N	3.990	2626	408	8.9	76.8	78.7	1.9
	50	11	3N	4,054	2629	426	9.3	71.3	78.8	7.5
1	40	11	3N	6,899	2627	412	9.0	82.8	82,9	0, 1
	39	11	3N	6,463	2626	403	8,8	77.6	82.3	4.7
	46	11	3N	3,751	2628	417	9.1	72.6	78,4	5,8
ł	44	11	3N	3,785	2626	403	8.8	73.2	78.5	5,3
1	41	11	3N	6,051	2627	413	9.1	77.3	81.6	4.3
	39	3	S18	6,443	1605	520	18,9	85.5	87.0	1,5
}	41	9	S16	6,090	1557	338	12.5	81.2	86.7	5,5
	39	10	S20	6,465	1554	338	12.6	83.7	87.3	3.6
	40	3	S18	6,931	1602	509	18,5	85.9	87.7	1.8
	40	9	S16	6,909	1559	346	12.8	86.7	87.9	
1	40	10	S20	6,801	1559	358	13.3	85.1	8/,/ 865	1.0
	41	3	518	6,076	1002	2510	13.0	04.8	00.0	5.7
	41		520	0,065	1007	351	13.0	20.4	97.0	5.4 26
1	42		016 016	2 200	1090	400	12.1	77.2	82.5	5.0
	42	10	S20	3,209	1554	338	12.6	77.1	83.2	6.1
	<u> </u>	+				<u> </u>		<u>├</u> ─────	·	<u></u>
21	53	11	3N	13,588	2705	784	16.9	92.6	94.6	2.0
	54	11	ЗN	13,533	2723	846	18.1	91,7	94,5	2.8
	55	11	3N	13,434	2753	942	20.0	91.8	94.2	2.4
1	56	11	3N	12,689	2733	878	18.7	91.1	92.9	1.8
1	57		3N	12,591	2779	1019	21.5	88.3	92.0	4.3
1	59		3N N	11,919	2700	1149	24.0	08.1	91.0	2.9
1	60			11,982	2/90	1160	24.1	86.1	91.3	2.9
			JIV - '2N	10.667	2004	1109	24.2	860	87.9	1.0
L	<u> </u>			10,007	2004	1200	40.0	<u> </u>	L	L

#### TABLE D-2 (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF LATERAL NOISE ATTENUATION ANALYSIS

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Time of the

KUR AD-DUS

Ą

R

 $\sim 0 < 1 < 1_{\odot}$ 

100 A

FLIGHT	RUN	міс	LOCATION	F <sub>N</sub> /δ (LB)	SLANT RANGE (ft)	HEIGHT (ft)	<sup>β</sup> (DEG)	SIDELINE EPNL (EPNdB)	OVERHEAD EPNL (EPNdB)	LATERAL ATTENUATION (EPNdB)
21	56	12	6N	12,699	5507	292	3.0	76.8	86.4	9.6
1	62	12	6N	10,575	5551	757	7.8	74,7	80,7	6.0
	55	12	6N	13,432	5509	318	3.3	80.0	87.8	7.8
	57	12	<del>6</del> N	12,591	5514	397	4.1	77.8	86.1	8.3
	60	12	6N	11,871	5518	453	4.7	78.0	84.4	6.4
	54	12	6N	13,511	5504	229	2,4	82.9	88.0	5,1
	53	12	6N	13,706	5504	222	2.3	82.6	88.4	5.8
	59	12	6N	11,920	5526	539	5.6	80.4	84.5	4,1
	61	12	6N	11,000	5528	559	5.8	81.1	81.9	0.8
	53	9	S16	13,604	1656	655	23.3	96.3	98,5	2.2
	53	10	S20	13,604	1656	666	23.7	96.2	98.5	2.3
	54	9	S16	13,510	1678	708	25.0	96.6	98,3	1.7
	54	10	S20	13,510	1678	718	25.3	95.8	98.3	2.5
	55	9	S16	13,467	1719	801	27,8	95.3	98,0	2,7
	55	10	\$20	13,467	1719	811	28.2	95.7	98.0	2.3
	56	9	S16	12,627	1697	753	26.3	95.3	96.7	1.4
	56	10	\$20	12,660	1697	763	26.7	95.3	96.7	1,4
	57	9	S16	12,593	1758	881	30.1	93.4	96.3	2.9
	57	10	S20	12,593	1758	892	30.5	94.3	96.3	2.0
	59	10	\$20	11,860	1825	1019	33.9	93,4	94.6	1.2
	60	9	S16	11,924	1781	926	31.3	92.5	94.9	2.4
	60	10	S20	11,928	1781	937	31.7	92.8	94.9	2.1
	61	9	S16	11,024	1837	1029	34.1	92.0	92,7	0,7
	61	10	\$20	11,009	1837	1040	34,5	91,9	92,6	0.7
	62	9	S16	10,651	1925	1179	37.8	89.7	91.4	1.7
	62	10	\$20	10,659	1925	1 190	38.2	92,5	91.4	1,1
22	66	12	6N	13,919	6653	3750	34,3	84.0	86.8	2.8
	73	11	3N	9,634	4120	3233	51.7	80.0	81.8	1,8
	73	12	6N	9,627	6276	3030	28,9	76.4	76,6	0.2
	74	12	6N	7,997	6305	3090	29.4	75.0	73.0	-2.0
	67		3N	13,933	5153	4486	60.5	88.5	89.4	0.9
	6/	12	6N	13,938	6733	3691	35.3	85,8	86.7	0.9
	69	10	520	13,945	5016	4/8/	72.6	78.9	89.7	10,8-
	70			14,003	4050	4918	62.8	88.3	00.9	0.6
	70			9,720	4900	92060	25.2	74.9	79.8	-0,6
	60	1 12		14.005	6074	4205	200	820	75.6 86.5	1.0
	76	12		13677	5012	2177	21.6	85.7	87.5	2.0
	66	9	SIG	13.923	4509	4241	70.2	90.7	90.7	n -
	88	10	570	13.892	4509	4252	70 6	78 9	90.6	11.7*
	88	11	3N	13 919	5061	4379	59.0	89.2	89.6	n4
	72	11	3N	8 220	4305	3469	537	79.2	78 5	_07
	72	12	6N	8 131	6347	3175	30.0	72 1	73.2	1 1
	69	9	SIG	13 958	5016	4777	72.2	90.0	89.7	-0.3
Į	75	10	\$20	13 738	3158	2774	61.5	94.3	93.6	-0.7
	74	9	516	8 035	3579	3237	64.8	81.8	80.3	-15
	70	9	516	9.740	4454	4183	69.9	82.9	81.1	-18
	72	0 N	SIG	8 176	3691	3360	65.6	26.2	80.2	40
	72	10	\$20	8 176	3691	3372	65.0	76.4	80.2	38
	73	9	516	9 612	3507	3158	64.2	85.1	83.5	-16
	73	10	s20	9 607	3507	3169	64.6	84.6	83.5	-11
	74	10	\$20	8 056	3579	3249	65.2	81.1	80.4	0.7
1	75	9	\$16	13,729	3158	2765	61.1	94.0	93.5	0.5

# TABLE D-2 (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF LATERAL NOISE ATTENUATION ANALYSIS

IDATA OF DOUBTFUL VALIDITY

Or Ol

(

FLIGHT	RUN	міс	LOCATION	F <sub>N</sub> /6 (LB)	SLANT RANGE (ft)	HEIGHT (ft)	µ் (DEG)	SIDELINE EPNL (EPNdB)	OVERHEAD EPNL (EPNdB)	LATERAL ATTENUATION (EPNdB)
22	78	9	S16	8,253	2094	1437	43.3	84.9	86.2	1.3
	78	10	S20	8,256	2094	1449	43.8	86,9	86.2	-0.7
(	74	9	S16	8,035	3574	3232	64.7	81.8	80.4	-1.4
	65	9	S16	13,853	1797	956	32.1	97,0	98.3	1,3
	65	10	S20	13,903	1797	966	32.5	97.5	98,4	0.9
	73	10	S20	9,607	3393	3042	63,7	84.6	83.8	-0.8
	77	10	S20	8,576	2237	1650	47,5	87.3	86.1	-1.2
	79	9	S16	6,983	2267	1679	47.8	84.7	83.4	1.3
1	79	10	S20	6,959	2267	1691	48.2	£5.4	83.4	-2.0
	82	9	S16	7,099	2242	1644	47.2	84.0	83,6	-0.4
	82	10	S20	7,099	2242	1657	47.7	85,1	83.6	-1.5
[	70	9	S16	9,721	4799	4548	71.4	87.9	80.2	-7.7*
ł	74	10	S20	8,056	3574	3243	65.2	81.0	80.4	0.6
	75	9	S16	13,729	3170	2778	61.2	93.9	93.5	-0,4
	77	9	S16	8,572	2237	1639	47.1	87.3	86.1	-1,2
	65	11	3N	13,974	2803	1084	22.8	93.7	95.0	1 1.3
	77	12	6N	8,585	5661	1347	13.8	/3.8	/5./	1,9
[	77		3N	8,585	3101	1727	33.8	83.1	82.9	-0.2
ł	79	11	3N	6,992	3118	1/58	34,3	80,0	80.1	0.1
ł	82 78	11	3N	8,347	2997	1527	30.6	82.7	82,8	0,1
23	84	9	S16	13,881	1784	932	31.5	97,0	98.4	1.4
	85	9	S16	13,469	1587	454	16.6	94.5	98.6	4.1
]	86	9	S16	13,588	1581	436	16.0	95.1	98.9	3.8
1	87	9	S16	9,306	1572	400	14.7	88.0	90.5	2.5
	85	12	6N	13,309	5515	411	4.3	77.5	87.6	10.1
1	86	11	] 3N	13,490	2640	497	10.9	88.2	94.6	6.4
	86	12	6N	13,624	5512	369	3.8	79.7	88.2	8.5
1	84	10	S20	13,885	1784	942	31.8	98.0	98.4	0.4
)	85	10	S20	13,435	1587	467	17,1	92.9	98,6	5.7
	86	10	S20	13,585	1681	448	16.5	92.0	98.9	6,9
1	90	11	3N	9,383	2632	445	9.7	83.8	85.9	2.1
ļ	91	11	3N	9,463	2642	504	11.0	82.8	86.1	3.3
	91	12	6N	9,465	5514	404	4.2	76.4	//.9	1.5
1	90	9	S16	9,383	1574	410	15.1	87.0	90.6	3.6
]	90	10	S20	9,383	1574	423	15.6	88.0	90.6	2.6
	91	9	1 516	9,498	1593	476	17.4	09.1	90.7	1.0
1	91	10	520	9,490	1593	490	11/.9	81.4	90.7	2.3
	84	12	520	9,306	1572	435	4,5	86.9	90,5	3.6
25	96	9	516	5,767	2520	2007	52.8	82.3	81,7	-0.6
	100	9	S16	3,856	1808	976	32.7	79.1	82.5	3.4
ļ	100	10	S20	3,839	1808	987	33.1	82.1	82.4	0.3
	103	9	S16	2,881	1847	1047	34.5	78.1	81.0	2.9
	104	9	S16	3,065	1846	1045	34.5	79.9	81.3	1.4
}	106	9	\$16	3,128	1857	1064	35.0	80.3	81.3	1.0
1	106	10	520	3,138	1857	1075	35.4	79.4	81.3	1.9
	98	11	3N	5,311	3301	2071	38.9	76.1	78.0	1.9
1	106	11	3N	3,124	2829	1152	24.0	746	76.7	2.1
	95	11	3N	5,883	3246	1981	37 6	78.7	79 1	0.4
	101	10	S20	3,455	1850	1064	35.1	81.2	81.7	0,5
ļ	105	9	S16	3,048	<b>18</b> 55	1061	34 9	79.8	81.2	1.4

#### TABLE D-2 (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF LATERAL NOISE ATTENUATION ANALYSIS

IDATA OF DOUBTFUL VALIDITY

,

æ.

Mar Barrannad

0

1.000

ł

FLIGHT	RUN	MIC	LOCATION	F <sub>N</sub> /5 (LB)	SLANT RANGE (ft)	HEIGHT (ft)	μ <sup>β</sup> (DEG)	SIDELINE EPNL (EPNdB)	OVERHEAD EPNL (EPNdB)	LATERAL ATTENUATION (EPNdB)
25	96	10	S20	5,774	2520	2019	53.2	84.0	81.7	-2.3
	97	9	\$16	5,518	2533	2024	53.0	79.8	81.3	1.5
	97	10	\$20	5,511	2533	2036	53.5	81.5	81.2	-0.3
	98	9	S16	5,288	2516	2002	52.7	79.9	81,0	1.1
	98	10	S20	5,295	2516	2014	53,2	82.8	81.0	-1.8
26	110	9	S16	1,863	1839	1033	34.2	75.9	79.8	3.9
	110	10	S20	1,862	1839	1044	34.6	77.8	79.8	2.0
	111	10	S20	1,702	1856	1073	35.2	78.5	79,5	1.0
	112	9	S16	2,205	1847	1047	34.5	77.9	80.2	2.3
	112	10	S20	2,214	1847	1058	35.0	74,3	80.2	5,9
	108	10	\$20	3,019	1851	1065	35.1	80.2	81.2	1.0
	111	9	S16	1,716	1856	1062	34.9	77.0	79.6	2,6
	108	9	S16	3,028	1851	1053	34.7	80.1	81.2	1.1
	109	9	S16	1,324	1853	1057	34.8	77,2	79.1	1.9
	109	10	S20	1,359	1853	1068	35.2	73.1	7 <del>9</del> .1	6.0

## TABLE D-2 (CONCLUDED) SUMMARY OF LATERAL NOISE ATTENUATION ANALYSIS

TEST			TARGET	MICBOPHONE	HEIC OV MICROI - Ho	GHT ER PHONE GEO	PRE	SSURE	AMBIENT		N. K.0.	
COND	RUN	TYPE	LB (N)	LOC/NO.	ft	m	ft	(m)	(PSIA)	м	(RPM)	( <sup>0</sup> R)
1	100	5.5-DEG APPROACH OPTIMUM FLAP	3900 (17,347)	C10/4P C10/5P	[1038] [987]	[316.4] [300.3]	902 851	(274.9) (259.4)	14,22 14,25	0.23 0.23	4919.4 4914.1	514.2 514.3
	101	5.5-DEG APPROACH OPTIMUM FLAP	3500 (15,563)	C10/4P C10/5P	[1092] [1074]	[332.3] [327.4]	957 927	(291.7) (282.5)	14.19 14.21	0.23 0.23	4720.1 4726.1	514.2 514.2
2	25	3-DEG APPROACH 50-DEG FLAP	5800 (25,793)	C6/2P C6/3P	[788] [778]	[240,1] [237,1]	677 667	(206.3) (203.3)	14.34 14.35	0.22 0,22	5473.8 5473.2	506.5 506.6
	27	3-DEG APPROACH 50-DEG FLAP	5500 • (24,464)	C6/2P C6/3P	[775] [760]	[236.2] [231.6]	667 652	(203.3) (198,7)	14.35 14.35	0.22 0.22	5485,4 5487,5	507.5 507.5
3	95	3-DEG APPROACH 50-DEG FLAP	6000 (26,688)	C10/4P C10/5P	[2041] [2027]	[622.1] [617.8]	1780 176£	(542.5) (538,3)	13.77 13.78	0.22 0.22	5603.9 5602.7	511.0 511.2
4	39	3-DEG APPROACH 50-DEG FLAP	6500 (28,912)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	(370) 339 227	[112.8] 103.3 69.2	219 219 211	(66.7) (66.7) (64.3)	14.58 14.58 14.58	0.24 0.24 0.24	5788,1 5788,1 5785,9	510.3 510.3 510,3
	41	3-DEG APPROACH 50-DEG FLAP	6100 (27,133)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	[380] 350 338	[115,3] 106.7 103.0	232 232 217	(70,7) (70,7) (66,1)	14.57 14.57 14.58	0.23 0.23 0.23	5653.3 5653.3 5653.3	511.2 511.2 511,2
5	44	3-DEG APPROACH 35-DEG FLAP	3800 (16,902)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	(369) 340 329	[112,5] 103.6 100.3	209 209 203	(63.7) (63.7) (61.9)	14,59 14,59 14,59	0.22 0.22 0.22	4852.1 4852.1 4854.3	512.2 512.2 512.3
	46	3-DEG APPROACH 35-DEG FLAP	3800 (16,902)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	36 1 354 344	116.1 107.9 104.9	228 228 220	(69,5) (69,5) (67,1)	14,58 14,58 14,58	0.22 0.22 0.22	4851.1 4851.1 4841.5	512.5 512.5 512.7
6	65	TAKEOFF	13,500 (60,043)	C10/4P C10/5P	(946) (966)	[288.3] [294.4]	1108 1128	(337.7) (343.8)	14.12 14.11	0.27 0.27	7587.9 /584.4	511.5 511.3
	84	TAKEOFF	13,500 (60,043)	C 10/4P C10/5P	(940) 968	(286.5) 295.0	1145 1167	(349.0) (355.7)	14.10 14.09	0,27 0,27	7566.3 7564.9	514.1 514.0
7	77	TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK	8000 (35,584)	C10/4P C10/5P	[1626] [1641]	(495.6) [500.2]	1804 1819	(549,9) (554,4)	13.76 13.76	0.27 0,27	6371.6 6371.6	509.4 509.4
	78	TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK	8000 (35,584)	C10/4P C10/5f	(1456) (1456)	[443.8] [443.8]	1640 1640	(499.9) (499.9)	13,85 13,85	0.27 0.27	6308.4 6308.4	510.4 510.4
8	9	TAKEOFF	13,500 (60,043)	C6/2P C6/3P	222 <b>0</b>    2220	[676.7] [676.7]	2583 2583	(787.3) (787.3)	13.37 13.37	0.28 0.28	7570.0 7570.0	501.4 501.4

بمريد وأروار محمد المواد مرد المواد والمعاقي المرد والمحمد

#### TABLE D-3.1 SUMMARY OF 33-FOOT (10-METER) POLE-MOUNTED MICROPHONE DATA 0.5-SEC DIGITAL AVERAGING TIME

 $\textbf{NOTL} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{GEO}} ~\forall \textbf{Fig.ES} ~\textbf{IN} ~\textbf{F} ~\textbf{I} ~\textbf{CALCULATED}$ 

Q

# TABLE D-3.2

# SUMMARY OF 33-FOOT (10-METER) POLE-MOUNTED MICROPHONE DATA 1.5-SEC DIGITAL (AVERAGING TIME

TEST			TARGET		HEIC OV MICROI – H <sub>C</sub>	SHT ER PHONE SEO	PRES	SSURE	AMBIENT PRESS		N₁/√0,	AMBIENT
COND	RUN	ΤΥΡΕ	(LB) (N)	LOC/NO.	ft	m	ft	(m)	(PSIA)	м	(RPM)	( <sup>o</sup> R)
1	100	5.5-DEG APPROACH OPTIMUM FLAP	3900 (17,347)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	1030 [1038] [987]	313.9 [316.4] [300.3]	864 902 851	(263.3) (274.9) (259,4)	14,24 14,22 14,25	0.23 0.23 0.23	4916.8 4919.4 4914.1	514,2 514,2 514,3
	101	5.5-DEG APPROACH OPTIMUM FLAP	3500 (15,563)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	[1037] [1082] [ <b>106</b> 5]	[316.1] [329.8] [324.6]	897 947 918	(273.4) (288.6) (279.8)	14,23 14,20 14,22	0.23 0.23 0.23	4724.6 4717.5 4725.6	514.5 514.3 514.3
2	25	3-DEG APPROACH 50-DEG FLAP	5800 (25,793)	C6/1 C6/2P C6/3P	808 [783] [771]	246.3 [238.7] [235.0]	667 672 660	(203.3) (204,8) (201.2)	14,35 14,34 14,35	0.22 0.22 0.22	5473.8 5473.8 5473.2	506.5 506.5 506.6
	27	3-DEG APPROACH 50-DEG FLAP	5500 (24,464)	C6/1 C6/2P C6/3P	800 (770) (755)	243.8 [234.7] [230.1]	662 662 647	(201,8) (201,8) (197,2)	14.35 14.35 14.36	0.22 0.22 0.22	5487.5 5487.5 5485,4	507.5 507.5 507.5
3	95	3-DEG APPROACH 50-DEG FA.P	6000 (26,688)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	(2071) (2034) (2027)	[631.2] [620.0] [617.8]	1780 1773 1766	(542.5) (540.4) (538.3)	13,77 13,78 13,78	0.22 0.22 0.22	5603,9 5603,3 5602,7	511.0 511.1 511.2
4	39	3-DEG APPROACH 50-DEG FLAP	6500 (28,912)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	362 370 354	110,3 112,8 107,9	211 219 203	(64.3) (66.7) (61.9)	14,58 14,58 14,59	0.24 0.24 0.24	5785,9 5788,1 5783,8	510.3 510.3 510.3
	41	3-DEG APPROACH 50-DEG FLAP	6100 (27,133)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	373 343 330	113.7 104.5 100.6	225 225 209	(68.6) (68.6) (63.7)	14,58 14,58 14,59	0 23 0.23 0.23	5653.3 5653.3 5652.7	511.2 511.2 511.3
5	44	3-DEG APPROACH 35-DEG FLAP	3800 (16,902)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	363 340 323	110.6 103.6 98.5	203 209 197	(61,9) (62,7) (60,0)	14,58 14,59 14,59	0.22 0.22 0.22	4854.3 4852.1 4856.4	512.3 512.2 512.3
	46	3-DEG APPROACH 35-DEG FLAP	3800 {16,902}	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	377 350 340	114.9 106.7 103.6	224 224 216	(68.3) (68.3) (65.8)	14,58 14,58 14,58	0.22 0,22 0,22	4846.3 4846.3 4841.0	512.6 512.6 512.7
6	65	TAKEOFF	13,500 (60,043)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	1038 (987) (966)	316.4 (300.8) [294,4]	1170 1149 1128	(356,6) (350,2) (343,8)	14.09 14.10 14.11	0.27 0,27 0,27	7585.2 7580.9 7584.4	511.2 511.2 511.3
	84	TAKEOFF	13,500 (60,043)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	1017 (987) (987)	310.0 [300.8] [300.8]	1192 1192 1192	(363,3) (363,3) (363,3)	14.07. 14.07 14.07	0.27 0.27 0.27	7568.6 7568.6 7568.6	513.8 513.8 513.8
7	77	TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK	8000 (35,584)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	1685 [1655] [1641]	513.6 [504.4] [500.2]	1833 1833 1819	(558.7) (558.7) (554,4)	13.75 13.75 13.76	0.27 0.27 0.27	6371.6 6371.6 6371.6	509.4 509.4 509.4
	78	TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK	8000 (35,584)	C10/6 C10/4P C10/5P	(1486) (1472) [1456]	[452,9] [448.7] [443.8]	1640 1656 1640	(499.9) (504.7) (499.9)	13.85 13.84 13.85	0.27 0.27 0.27	6308.4 6313.3 6308.4	510.4 510.3 510.4
8	9	TAKEOFF	13,500 (60,043)	C6/1 C6/2P C6/3P	2316 [2264] [2264]	705.9 [690.1] [690.1]	2649 2627 2627	(807,4) (800,7) (800,7)	13.34 13.35 13.35	0.28 0.28 0.28	7570.0 7570.0 7570.0	501.3 501.3 501.3
							Ŧ					

المتعادية فالمتحد فتحد من من المتحد والمتحد والمتحد الما

NOTE: HGEO VALUES IN 1 1 CALCULATED

and .....

. .

		MICROPHONE		TONE CORRECTION			TONE CORRECTION FREQUENCY				
	MEASUREMENT	LOCATION	RUN	S16	S20	C6	C10	S16	S20	C6	C10
	TAKEOFF WITH CUTBACK/SIDELINE	S16, S20, C6	11 12 16 17 • 18 19	2.3 2.1 2.4 2.3 2.0 1.7	1.6 1.0 1.7 2.1 2.1 2.0	0.6 0.7 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.5		500 500 500 500 500 500	500 500 500 500 500 500	315 315 315 160 315 160	
•	TAKEOFF	C6	9 10 13 53 54 55			0.9 0.8 1.0 0.9 1.0 1.0				315 315 315 200 315 315	
	APPROACH – 50 <sup>0</sup> FLAP	C10	27 28 29 30 31 32				0,5 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.6				8000 8000 315 8000 8000 8000
	TAKEOFF/SIDELINE CORRECTIONS	S16, S20, C6	9 10 13 55 55 56 57 57 60 61 62 65 75 77 78 78 79 82 83	2.0 2.0 1.9 1.7 1.6 1.2 1.8 0.9 2.1 NP 2.0 1.2 2.0 0.5 1.4 1.7 0.5 0.9 NP	1.6 1.7 0.5 0.7 1.6 2.0 0.6 1.2 2.3 1.1 0.5 1.4 0.5 1.4 0.7 NP	0.9 0.8 1.0 NP 0.9 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2 0.8 1.1 1.2 1.1		500 500 630 630 500 315 500 500 500 500 500 315 2000 500 200 400	500 500 250 630 500 315 500 500 500 500 500 500 315 400 500	315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 500 315 500 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315	
•	APPROACH CORRECTIONS	C10	24 25 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 51 52				0.5 0.7 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.7 1.4 1.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.8				8000 8000 6300 6300 6300 5000 5000 160 315 315 8000 6300

TABLE D-4 SUMMARY OF PSEUDOTONE ADJUSTMENTS TO FAR PART 36 NOISE LEVELS

The second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

Ű

NOTE: TONE CORRECTIONS IN TERMS OF EPNdB TONE CORRECTION FREQUENCY IN TERMS OF H/

ORIGINAL' PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY ÷

#### APPENDIX E

#### C4 and Có Microphone Location Evaluation

The C6 microphone location is about 21.35 m (70 ft) lower in elevation than microphone C4 which is at the runway elevation and a fairly abrupt declivity lies between C6 and C4. Five level flyovers were devoted to an evaluation of the data from these microphone locations. Noise measurements were made at C4 and C6 during these level flyovers at cutback thrust. Both sets of recordings were adjusted to common cutback reference aerodynamic conditions using the procedure specified in FAR Part 36 to provide a direct comparison of the data.

Only 3 of the 5 test runs produced valid noise data (flight 48, runs 10R, 11R, and 13R). Two microphones were used at each location and were within 3.05 m (10 ft) of each other. Table El lists the noise levels and some of the significant information related to these tests. It will be seen that, for the reference conditions shown, the average noise level at C6 was 0.8 EPNdB higher than at C4. It can also be observed that at the same location and for the same run, differences as great as 0.5 EPNdB occurred between adjacent microphones. No account has been taken of terrain differences at the two locations. Therefore, the observed average noise level differences may be considered to be due to experimental data scatter and local terrain effects.

TABLE E1 - COMPARISON OF MICROPHONE LOCATIONS C4 AND C6

Flight 48, Level Flyover, 2245 feet (684 meters) 180 knots (92.6 m/sec)

Run	Mic	Mic	Flap	F <sub>N</sub> /δ	<b>C</b> 6	C4
Number	Number	Location	Angle	16 <sup>(</sup> N)	EPNL	EPNL
			deg. (rad)			
10R	2	C6	40.5 (0.709)	9075 (40 364)	88.5	
10R	4	C6	40.6 (0.710)	9072 (40 351)	89.0	
10R	9	C4	42.0 (0.735)	9041 (40 212)		87.9
10R	11	C4	42.0 (0.735)	9041 (40 212)		87.4
11R	2	C6	42.5 (0.744)	9242 (41 107)	88.8	
11R	4	C6	42.5 (0.744)	9239 (41 093)	89.2	
11R	9	C4	41.5 (0.727)	9236 (41 080)		88.5
13R	2	C6	43.2 (0.756)	9349 (41 583)	89.0	
1 3R	4	C6	43.2 (0.756)	9349 (41 583)	89.1	
1 3R	9	C4	42.7 (0.748)	9364 (41 650)		88.4
1 3R	11	C4	42.7 (0.748)	9364 (41 650)		88.5
				Average	88.9	88.1

RECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

#### REFERENCES

- Anon., "DC-9 Flight Demonstration Program with Refanned JT8D Engines", Final Report, Volume I, Summary, Douglas Aircraft Company, NASA, CR-134857, 1975.
- Anon., "DC-9 Flight Demonstration Program with Refanned JT8D Engines", Final Report, Volume II, Design and Construction, Douglas Aircraft Company, NASA CR-134858, 1975.
- Anon., "DC-9 Flight Demonstration Program with Refanned JT8D Engines", Final Report, Volume III, Performance and Analysis, Douglas Aircraft. Company, NASA CR-134859, 1975.

7

4

- Anon., "Federal Aviation Regulations, Volume III, Part 36, Noise Standards: Federal Aviation Administration, December 1, 1969.
- 5. Freund and Williams, "Elementary Business Statistics", Prentice Hall, 1964.
- Anon., Proposed Aerospace Recommended Practice, "Procedures for Developing Aircraft Noise Exposure Contours around Airports", Draft SAE ARP 1114, 11 November 1969.
- 7. Thomas, P. (Leo Charbonneau, trans.; Alan H. Marsh, edit.): "Study of Acoustical Interferences' by Reflection-Application to the Sound Pressure Spectra of Jet Noise", AGARD Conference Proceedings CP-42, Article 10, May 1969, Douglas Aircraft Company Report No. MDC J6661, 15 August 1974.
- 8. DeLoach, R., "On the Excess Attenuation of Sound in the Atmosynere, "NASA TN D-7823, March 1975.
- 9. MacCready, Jr., P. B., et al, "Operational Application of a Universal Turbulence Measuring System", NASA CR-62025, November 1966.
- 10. Hinze, J. O., "Turbulence", McGraw-Hill Book Corp., Inc., 1959.
- Schlichting, H., "Boundary-Layer Theory", McGraw-Hill Book Corp., Inc., 1968.
- 12. Hathews, D. C., and Peracchio, A. A., "Progress in Core Engine and Turbine Noise Technology", AIAA Paper No. 74-948, August 1974.
- Bushell, K. W., "A Survey of Low Velocity and Coaxial Jet Noise with Application in Prediction", Journal of Sound and Vibration, Volume 17, No. 2, July 1971, pp. 271-282.
- 14. Anon., Core Engine Noise Control Program, Final Report DUT/FAA Contractor No. FAA-RD-74-125, Volumes 1 to 3, August 1974.
- Ho, P. Y., and Tedrick, R. N., "Combustion Noise Prediction Techniques for Small Gas Turbine Engines", Inter-Noise Proceedings 72, October 1972, pp. 507-512.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

389

- 16. Grande, E., "Core Engine Noise", AIAA Paper No. 73-1026, October 1973.
- Bryce, W. D., and Stevens, R., "An Investigation of the Noise from A Scale Model of an Engine Exhaust System", AIAA Paper No. 75-459, March 1975.
- Huff, R. G., and Clark, B. J., "Interim Prediction Method for Low Frequency Core Engine Noise", NASA TM X-71627, November 1974.
- 19. Cocking, B. J., and Bryce, W. C., "Subsonic Jet Noise in Flight Based on Some Recent Wind-Tunnel Results", AIAA Paper No. 75-462, March 1975.
- von Glahn, U., Groesbeck, D., and Goodykoontz, J., "Velocity Decay and Acoustic Characteristics of Various Hozzle Geometries in Forward Flight", AIAA Paper No. 73-629, July 1973.
- Bushell, K. W., "Measurement and Prediction of Jet Noise in Flight", AIAA Paper No. 75-461, March 1975.
- 22. Ffowcs Williams, J. E., "Some Thoughts on the Effects of Aircraft Motion and Eddy Convection on the Noise from Air Jets", University of Southampton, Report 155, September 1960.
- 23. SAE Committee A-21, Jet Noise Prediction, SAE AIR 876, July 10, 1965.
- 24. Lighthill, M. J., "Jet Hoise", AIAA Journal, Volume 1, July 1963, pp. 1507-1517.
- 25. Beranek, L. L., "Noise and Vibration Control", McGraw-Hill Book Co., (1971) pp. 174-178.
- 26. Maekawa, Z., "Noise Reduction by Screens", Member of Faculty of Engineering, Kobe University 11, 29-53, (1965).
- 27. Fleischer, F., Zur Anwendung von Schallschirmem (on the application of sound barriers), Larmbekampfung 14, 131-136, (1970).
- Hellstrom, G., "Noise Shielding of Aircraft Configurations", A comparison between predicted and experimental results, ICAS Paper No. 74-58, (August 1974).
- 29. Conticelli, V. M., et al, "Noise Shielding Effects for Engine-Over-Wing Installations", AIAA Paper No. 75-474, (March 1975).
- 30. Rudd, M. J., "Note on the Scattering of Sound in Jets and the Mind", Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 26, No. 4, pp 551-560, (1973).
- 31. Chen, C. Y., "Investigation of Far-Field and Near Field Jet Noise", PhD Dissertation, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, (1973).
- 32. Schlichting, H., "Boundary Layer Theory", New York, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., (1968), pp 684-687.
- Anon., "DC-9/JT8D Refan Phase I Final Report", NASA Contractor Report CR-121252 (November 1973).

390

 $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ 

OF

No. Andres

# ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

•

Sec. 1

and a shirt of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

1

0i 0]

í

¥

.0

7

a	Coefficient of spreading term
A 🔨	$h\delta^2_F + L\delta^2_F$
ADDS	Airborne Digital Data System
ADM	Automated Drafting Machine
A <sub>p</sub>	Primary nozzle area, ft <sup>2</sup>
APP	Approach
A <sub>s</sub>	Accumulated excess attenuation, dB
ATA	Air Transport Association
b	Coefficient of atmospheric attenuation term, EPNdB/1000 ft.
BPF	Blade Passing Frequency
c	Speed of sound, m/sec
C	Flap chord length or OASPL directivity correction term
CISA	Controlled Integrating Spectrum Analyzer
СРА	Closest point of Aircraft
с <sub>т</sub>	Temperature structure constant, °C/m <sup>1/3</sup>
с <sub>v</sub>	Wind structure constant, m <sup>2/3</sup> /sec
с <sub>о</sub>	Speed of sound in ambient air, ft/sec
°C	Degrees centigrade
d	Direct path length from source to receiver, meters
dB	Decibel
dB(A)	A-weighted sound level, dB
D <sub>p</sub>	Diameter of primary nozzle, ft.
EGA	Extra ground attenuation, EPNdB
E(K)	Spectral density of velocity fluctuations = 0.061 $C_v^2 \kappa^{-11/3}$
EPNdB	Unit of effective perceived noise level
EPNL	Effective perceived noise level, EPNdB
EPNLR	Reference EPNL = EPNL + $\Delta 1 + \Delta 2 + \Delta thrust, EPNdB$

391

all a

EPNLT	Test EPNL, EPNdB
f	Frequency, Hz
FAR	Federal Aviation Regulation
FDC	Flight Data Center
F <sub>N</sub>	Net Thrust
F <sub>N</sub> /δ	Referred net thrust, pounds
°F	Degrees Farenheit
G	Mean temperature gradient, T/L <sub>o</sub>
GR	General Radio
h Geo	Geometric altitude, feet
, h <sub>p</sub>	Pressure altitude, feet
<sup>h</sup> δ <sub>F</sub>	Vertical distance from the reference source point to edge of deflected flap, meters
Hz	Hertz
ILS	Instrument landing system
k	Acoustic wave number, 1/m
κ	Von Karman constant
kg	Kilogram(s)
KTAS	True airspeed, knots
l	Scattering length
L	Outer scale of turbulence
L/H	Treated duct-length to duct-height ratio
L <sub>N</sub>	Noise level at distance x, EPNdB
L <sub>o</sub>	Noise level at reference distance, EPNdB
LT	Turbulence scale of the longest connection or correlation distance between the velocities of two points of the flow field
L <sub>δ</sub> <sub>F</sub>	Horizontal distance from the reference source point to edge of deflected flap, meters

Ī

м	Mach number
Ma	Aircraft Mach number
<sup>М</sup> с	Eddy Mach number corresponding to static jet
<sup>M</sup> d	Deficit Mach number, $(U_{\infty} - \Delta \bar{u})/c$
<sup>M</sup> r	Eddy Mach number corresponding to inflight jet
MALT	Mobile Automatic Laser Tracking
MART	Mobile Atmospheric Recording Tower
MRI	Meteorology Research, Inc.
N	Newton
N <sub>Tone(s)</sub>	Number of discrete tone(s) in a given band
NR	Noise reduction, dB
Nl	Engine rotor speed
N. Mi.	Nautical mile
PLVLA	Pulsed Light Visual Landing Aid
PNdB	Unit of perceived noise level or tone corrected perceived noise real
PNL	Perceived noise level, PNdB
PNLT	Tone corrected perceived noise level, PNdB
PNLTM	Maximum tone corrected perceived noise level, PNdB
Pref	Reference sound pressure $(2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ N/m}^2)$
<sup>P</sup> Tone(s)	Sound pressure corresponding to the discrete tone(s) in a given band
R	Scale of turbulence value, m <sup>2/3</sup> /sec
r	Wake thickness
R <sub>eL</sub> R <sub>e</sub>	Reynolds number, based on L = $\frac{u'}{v}$ Reynolds number, based on $g = \frac{u'L}{v}$
rpm	Revolutions per minute

•

And the second s

ł

393

z

ŧ

S	90 percent confidence limit sample standard deviation defined by $\sqrt{(X_2 - \overline{X})^2 + (X_2 - \overline{X})^2 + (X_2 - \overline{X})^2}$
	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{1}}$
	V (H-I)
SPL Broadband	Broadband turbomachinery sound pressure level
<sup>SPL</sup> j/c	Jet plus core sound pressure level
SPL Total	Total noise sound pressure level
SPL Turbomachine	erv Total turbomachinery sound pressure level
Δs	10 log <mark>V True (test)</mark> True (ref)
SAE ARP 866	Society of Automotive Engineers Aircraft Recommended Procedure Number 866
SL	Sideline
SPL	Sound pressure level, decibels or dB
<sup>t</sup> (.05)	90 percent confidence level distribution factor
Т	Temperature, °F or °C
<b>T</b> *	Temperature constant (°C)
Т <sub>ј</sub>	Primary jet temperature, ° Rankine
то	Takeoff without cutback
тосв	Takeoff with cutback
т <sub>о</sub>	Sea level standard day temperature, ° Rankine
$\Delta$ thrust	Noise adjustment for difference between reference ${\sf F}_N/\delta$ and test ${\sf F}_N/\delta$ , <code>EPNdB</code>
u*	Friction velocity, m/sec
u '	Fluctuating component of the wind velocity in the direction of propagation, m/sec
∆นี	Velocity deficit, ft/sec
U	Jet velocity, ft/sec
UITS	Universal Indicated Turbulence System
U 👡	Free stream flight velocity, ft/sec

•

t

F

4.4

ĩ

0

٧ <sub>a</sub>	Aircraft speed, ft/sec
V <sub>jp</sub>	Primary jet velocity, ft/sec
V j rel	Primary jet velocity relative to the speed of the aircraft (V $_{jp}$ -V $_{a}$ ), ft/sec
V <sub>True</sub> (ref)	Reference, airspeed, KTAS
V <sub>True</sub> (test)	Test airspeed, KTAS
x	Distance, feet
Х	90 percent confidence limit sample, EPNdB
x	Average of 90 percent confidence limit samples, EPNdB
×o	Reference distance of 250 feet
У <sub>О</sub>	Initial wing/wheel wake thickness, ft.
y(X)	$(y(x^{1/2}) + y(x^{1/3}))/2$ , ft.
Y	$y_0 + \overline{y}(X)$ , ft.
Z	Inboard wing chord thickness, meters
Δ1	Correction for atmospheric absorption and acoustic path differences, EPNdB
Δ2	Duration correction, EPNdB
a	Excess attenuation due to turbulence, nepers/304.8m
β	Elevation angle, degrees
a	Flight path angle, degrees
$\gamma$	Glideslope, degrees
δ	Typical mixing-layer thickness of jet exhaust or difference in path length between source and receiver, meters
δ <sub>Amb</sub>	Ratio of ambient pressure to standard sea level reference pressure
δ <sub>f</sub>	Flap setting, degree(s)
Δ	Relative difference between jet and core noise peak SPLs
E	Dissipation rate, m <sup>2</sup> /sec <sup>2</sup>

•

6. A.

2、12月1日には、11月1日には、11月1日に、11月1日に、11月1日に、11月1日に、11月1日に、11月1日に、11月1日に、11月1日に、11月1日に、11月1日に、11月1日に、11月1日に

 $\hat{\zeta}$ 

والمستقدية موروقية المراقب فالمرافقة والوريقي وموروقة

02 01

.

Ì

¥,

<u></u>.

Ì

η	Engine cant angle, degrees
θ	Angle from inlet or scattering angle
$\theta_{c}$	Difference between true scattering angle and the Bragg scattering angle, degree(s)
$\theta_{\texttt{Inlet}}$	Angle from inlet
$\dot{\theta}_{s}$	Shadow zone, degrees

٨g	Micro scale of turbulence /
μ	90 percent confidence limit, EPNdB
ν	Kinematic viscosity, m <sup>2</sup> /sec
ξ	Mean rate of energy dissipation per unit mass
π	3.1416
ρ	Density of the air, kg/m <sup>3</sup>
$ ho_{\circ}$	Sea level density of the air, kg/m <sup>3</sup>
σ	Scattering cross section
ф(к)	Spectral density of temperature fluctuations = 0.033 $c_T^2 \kappa^{-11/3}$

C